

Comments on application: 2014/2517/P 40 B Rosslyn Hill, NW3 1NH

Dear Mr Tulloch,

I would like to object to the above application. There are several grounds for objections.

- 1- I understand that the building Inspectorate had previously refused a similar application on the basis that the timber screening would be unsightly and inappropriate. I understand there is still timber screening in this application.
- 2- Camden had previously refused a prior application due to overlooking. It is clear by reading the objections already on line that several neighbours would be overlooked.
- 3- This is a listed building and as such the external aspects should not be tempered with.
- 4- There are no other roof terraces at that level and on such a scale within the immediate area.
- 5- The proposed application would also increase the level of noise pollution
- 6- The application is misleading as it refers throughout to proposed changes to "the existing roof terrace" when the area in question is only a portion of roof and not a roof terrace at all.
- 7- The above objections are all the more pertinent that we are located in a conservation area.

This application like the previous application breaches DP 24 (securing high quality design), DP 25 (conserving Camden's Heritage), DP 26 (Managing the impact of development on occupiers and neighbours of the London Borough of Camden Local Development Framework Development Policies and contrary to policy CS5 (Managing the impact of growth and development), CS 14 (Promoting high quality places and conserving our heritage).

We would wish that Camden be somewhat more forceful when applications such as these that clearly breaches several Planning Policies and previous rulings and also are inaccurate by dissuading the applicant to reapply. This is a waste of tax payers money, time for both the neighbours and Camden and unnecessary and unjust stress and hardship imposed on neighbours.

Please reject.

Thank you

Regards

Oliver R Froment
10 Pilgrim's Lane NW31SL