Regeneration and Planning Development Management London Borough of Camden Town Hall Judd Street London WCIH SND



London 16 May 2014

## At Tania Skelli-Vaoz

Application Ref: 2014/2651/P

## Dear Madam.

Further to my previous answer to your letter related to the above application, please find herewith more objections I would like to raise:

- · Planning Regulation DP26 Loss of sunlight and outlook,
- Planning Regulation DP 26 Noise and vibration levels,
- . Planning Regulation DP 5 Loss of privacy.
- Planning Regulation DP 25 Excessive scale and density of numerous extensions,
- · Planning Regulation DP26 Impact on occupiers and neighbours,

As a direct neighbour living in close contact to 84 West End Lane I will be directed affected by the above.

Above all I must highlight that I am disabled receiving DLA higher rate and therefore there is no reason I shall put my live and health in jeopardy for this people.

- . I must also stress that there is something wrong in the way that the owner of 86 West End Lane is placing small Planning Applications one after the other in order.
- . And there is no doubt that he is acting in this way in order to abuse the system and to confuse the Council and acting dishonestly.
- . The Council "Regeneration and Planning Development Management" shall be aware about this kind of action, but it is not because it is not checking previous history at a same address, which is completely unacceptable!

In short my position in the matter is still a big NO!

The work for previous application such as the rear garden has never been completed as shown in the original Planning Application and still placing more NEW Applications for the same matter!

As I say, the owner is acting dishonestly in order to confuse the system, the Council and the neighbourhood.

I look forward to hearing from you soon.

