

[REDACTED]

From: Ahmad, Afshar
Sent: 29 May 2014 17:10
To: Planning
Subject: FW: Comments on 2014/2420/P Guilford Court

Categories: Orange Category

FYA

Afshar Ahmad
Customer Service Team Manager

Telephone: [REDACTED]

From: Ricci de Freitas [mailto:[REDACTED]]
Sent: 20 May 2014 15:53
To: Planning and Public protection
Subject: Comments on 2014/2420/P Guilford Court

Dear Sir/Madam

I am writing in support of Guilford' Court RA's objections to the above application. I understand that in 2009 LB Camden agreed a proposal to replace the existing sliding windows in Guilford Court with a mix of fixed units and hinged ventilation quarter lights (2009/5343/P). This permission was granted on the understanding that the building as a whole would adopt this approach. The leaseholder (Guilford Court Freehold) held extensive discussion with leaseholders and it was decided to adopt those plans for the building as a whole. It would be very unfortunate if this proposal were to be agreed by the Council since it could open up the possibility of other leaseholders deciding to renovate their windows in a differing fashions. There would then be two (or more) differing approved ways of renovating the windows in existence and the exterior appearance of the block could be detrimentally affected. We therefore support Guilford Court RA's argument that the proposal should be rejected because it represents a significant variation from the approved design and would seriously affect the external appearance of the building.

Yours faithfully

Ricci de Freitas
Chair - Marchmont Association