5 Perrin's Court, London NW3 1QS

Rachel Miller Planning Management Camden Council

15 June 2014

Dear Ms Miller

Ref: Planning Application Consultation 2014/3211/P

This second attempt at ruining Perrin's Court does not answer the objections that resulted in the Mansard roof proposal being thrown out last year.

To start with – AND MOST IMPORTANTLY – the proposal does not take into account how it will affect the west side of No 5, the house next door, arguably the prettiest cottage in Perrin's Court. The plans ('conveniently'?) omit any drawings of No 5's roof and top floor windows. The view from these windows, if the plans were to go ahead, would change from one overlooking the Hampstead sky line to one of a confrontational 'in-your-face' wall, not to mention the aesthetically damaged sky line and restriction of light (which also is not mentioned in the application).

Furthermore the drainage from most of the current flat roof exits through No 5's system and we are not prepared (or designed) to take the additional drainage water that the proposed additional roof area would inevitably attract.

The permission a few years ago to erect a stair well to the roof of No 3 (No 5's other neighbour on the east side) has since proved to be a far more obtrusive and unsightly erection to that that the original plans would have led one to believe, blocking light and views from No 5's top floor – it is essential that the same mistake is not made again. This filling-in of No 5's only remaining open side would create a further intrusion and we most vehemently oppose it.

Secondly, No 6 (which would be severely affected by this proposal) is currently empty – so the future owners will not be au-fait with the history of this debate. Also severely affected would be the owners / occupiers of the flats at the top end of Perrin's Court, and Perrin's Lane and Heath Street, who back on to the proposed site. Many of these rent from landlords who may not be aware of the proposal, and it is hoped that the Camden planning authority will recognise their obvious potential concerns when adjudicating in this matter.

Tim Goss JP; Laraine Goss

Attached: 2 views of No 5's roof showing intrusive stair well on No.3; View over proposed extension from No 5 (note the outlooks from the backs of properties in Perrin's Lane, Perrin's Court and Heath Street); View from No 5's top floor after No 3's stair well erection; View of outside of 5 Perrin's Court Az; View from proposed extension towards No 5 also showing intrusive stair well the other side.





