

**Dike, Darlene**

---

**From:** Hamilton, Michael [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 12 June 2014 12:19  
**To:** Planning  
**Subject:** Fwd: Mansfield Rd pix  
**Attachments:** photo 1.JPG; photo 2.JPG; photo 3.JPG; photo 4.JPG; photo 5.JPG

**OBJECTION TO PLANNING APPLICATION 2014/2514/P - LAND TO THE REAR OF 62 MANSFIELD ROAD.**

Please find photos enclosed of what this plot currently looks like - and has done for the last six months. I have also copied and pasted my objection which I have submitted separately. The photos are taken from my bedroom window.

I would like to strongly object to this planning application.

Firstly, a similar application for this land has already been turned down - in 2013 and this is an even more intrusive application. How can a repeat application be accepted - and why is it with the 'fast track' team. This can only be in favour of the proposed developer.

I believe there has also been an abuse of planning process in connection with this application.

This is because there have been "pre-application consultations" at which the council and solicitors have been present. I believe these were held so the council could advise the applicant how best to proceed, and is a clearly biased process to the detriment of those who will be adversely affected by this proposed development.

Since one of those meetings the applicant's workers have dug up soil and left the site looking a horrendous mess - and also failed to clean any of the litter on the site while they were there. This clearly shows the lack of regard they have for those living near this proposed development.

I have photos of this which I shall send separately via email.

These are my other reasons for objection;

1. Light - this development would clearly block the light into at least two properties - ground floor flat, 62 Mansfield Road where the living room is designed to open out straight onto the proposed development - and 62a Mansfield Rd where light and views will be spoilt into the main bedroom and onto the roof terrace. The developer owns the freehold to these properties but clearly has no regard for the tenants/leaseholders who live there.
2. Loss of privacy - for both the above properties
3. Impact of noise during the proposed development. This developer has history for working outside normal hours and at weekends and with absolutely no regard for neighbouring properties - as when they built ground floor flat, 62 Mansfield Rd. Workmen regularly arrived before 7am and worked 7 days a week.

Also the property would be right on top of both the properties causing noise issues especially if it is split into more than one dwelling.

4. Length of time of proposed development - if they are excavating and building a two storey dwelling how long will it take - and how long will people be forced to suffer?

5. Instability - excavating land on this site would potentially make other properties unstable. This is untested and is the only excavation in this area - what has been done to make sure it is safe; causes no damage and will not adversely affect neighbours?

6. Behaviour of developers. They have shown their disregard for neighbours through the state of the site and how it has been for the last few months and their previous behaviour in building ground floor flat, 62 Mansfield Rd. This will continue as the developers and their workmen have no regard for those around them and the environment.

7. The proposed developers have already had one illegal structure on this site - a chopboard construction which was an utter eyesore which they previously put up on this land. This was ordered to be taken down as it was an eyesore and blocked light going into the 2 properties mentioned. the Council should have a record of this. Also they have had a previous, less intrusive, application for a permanent dwelling turned down for this site - so how come they have a new application in and have already been advised by the council on it?

--

"Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail"











1175  
1175

1175

