

Doyle Town Planning & Urban Design  
MAAP  
4th Floor  
45 Gee Street  
Clerkenwell  
London  
EC1V 3RS

Application Ref: **2012/5825/P**  
Please ask for: **Rob Tulloch**  
Telephone: 020 7974 **2516**

8 April 2014

Dear Sir/Madam

## **DECISION**

Town and Country Planning Act 1990 (as amended)  
Town and Country Planning (Development Management Procedure) Order 2010  
Town and Country Planning (Applications) Regulations 1988

### **Full Planning Permission Refused**

Address:  
**8 Pilgrim's Lane**  
**London**  
**NW3 1SL**

#### Proposal:

Excavation to create new basement level at rear with ground floor rooflight, erection of a roof extension, installation of 3x rooflights to rear roofslope, and alterations to fenestration, railings and front boundary walls of dwelling house

Drawing Nos: 999/S01; S02; S03; S04; S05; S06; S07A; S08; S09; S10; 999-AP3-01A; 02A; 03B; 04; 05; 06; 07; 08B; 09; 10; 11; 12; 612 01; 02; 03; 04; 05; 06; 10; 11; 12; 612 SK01; Design and Access Statement by Doyle Town Planning dated November 2012; Heritage Assessment by KM Heritage; Ground Investigation Report by Geotechnical & Environmental Associates dated February 2011; Ground Movement Assessment by RKD Consultant Ltd on behalf of Greig-Ling dated 1st November 2012; Supplementary Ground Investigation by Listers Geotechnical Consultants dated April 2012; Plaxis Report; PKD Piling & Underpinning Data & Unloading Assessment; Greig-Ling Letter dated 5th February 2014; Construction Management Plan by A & I Construction dated July 2012; Parking Survey and Swept Path Analysis by Paul Mew Associated dated August 2012; Arboricultural Report by John Cromar dated 25th April 2013, Card Geotechnics Ltd Review of Basement Impact Assessment dated 4th March 2013; CGL email dated 29th November 2013



The Council has considered your application and decided to **refuse** planning permission for the following reason(s):

#### Reason(s) for Refusal

- 1 In the absence of sufficient information the applicant has failed to demonstrate that the proposed basement excavations would not have significant adverse impacts on the structural stability of the application site and adjacent properties. As such, the scheme is contrary to policies CS5 (Managing the impact of growth and development), CS13 (Tackling climate change through promoting higher environmental standards) and CS14 (Promoting high quality places and conserving our heritage) of the London Borough of Camden Local Development Framework Core Strategy and policies DP23 (Water), DP25 (Conserving Camden's heritage), DP26 (Managing the impact of development on occupiers and neighbours) and DP27 (Basements and Lightwells) of the London Borough of Camden Local Development Framework Development Policies.
- 2 The removal of the TPO tree would be harmful to the visual amenity it provides and harmful to the character and appearance of the conservation area, contrary to policies CS5 (Managing the impact of growth and development), CS13 (Tackling climate change through promoting higher environmental standards) and CS15 (Protecting and improving our parks and open spaces and encouraging biodiversity) of the London Borough of Camden Local Development Framework Core Strategy and policies DP24 (Securing high quality design), DP25 (conserving Camden's Heritage) and DP27 (Basements and Lightwells) of the London Borough of Camden Local Development Framework Development Policies.
- 3 The proposed basement, patios, steps and associated excavation by virtue of their size, depth, bulk, mass and detailed design would have an adverse impact on the original proportions of the host building to the detriment of the quality of the building, contrary to policy CS14 (Promoting high quality places and conserving our heritage) of the London Borough of Camden Local Development Framework Core Strategy and policy DP25 (Conserving Camden's heritage) and DP27 (basements and lightwells) of the London Borough of Camden Local Development Framework Development Policies.
- 4 The proposed development, in the absence of a legal agreement to secure the submission and implementation of a Construction Management Plan, would be likely to contribute unacceptably to traffic disruption and hazards for pedestrians, cyclists and other road users and would be detrimental to the amenities of the area generally, contrary to policies CS5 (Managing the impact of growth and development), CS11 (Promoting sustainable and efficient travel) and CS19 (Delivering and monitoring the Core Strategy) of the London Borough of Camden Local Development Framework Core Strategy; and policies DP20 (Movement of goods and materials), DP21 (Development connecting to the highway network) and DP26 (Managing the impact of development on occupiers and neighbours) of the London Borough of Camden Local Development Framework Development Policies.
- 5 The proposed development, in the absence of a legal agreement securing

necessary highway works, would fail to secure adequate provision for and safety of pedestrians, cyclists and vehicles, contrary to policy CS11 (Promoting sustainable and efficient travel) and CS19 (Delivering and monitoring the Core Strategy) of the London Borough of Camden Local Development Framework Core Strategy and policy DP17 (Walking, cycling and public transport) of the London Borough of Camden Local Development Framework Development Policies.

- 6 The proposed development, in the absence of a legal agreement to secure the submission and implementation of a Construction Impact Plan, could have significant adverse impacts on the structural stability of the application site and adjacent properties contrary to policies CS5 (Managing the impact of growth and development), CS13 (Tackling climate change through promoting higher environmental standards), CS14 (Promoting high quality places and conserving our heritage) and CS19 (Delivering and monitoring the Core Strategy) of the London Borough of Camden Local Development Framework Core Strategy; and policies DP23 (Water), DP25 (Conserving Camden's heritage), DP26 (Managing the impact of development on occupiers and neighbours) and DP27 (Basements and Lightwells) of the London Borough of Camden Local Development Framework Development Policies.

Informative(s):

- 1 Without prejudice to any future application or appeal, the applicant is advised that reasons for refusal numbered 4, 5 and 6 could be overcome by entering into a Section 106 Legal Agreement for a scheme that was in all other respects acceptable.

In dealing with the application, the Council has sought to work with the applicant in a positive and proactive way in accordance with paragraphs 186 and 187 of the National Planning Policy Framework.

**Disclaimer**

***This is an internet copy for information purposes. If you require a copy of the signed original please telephone Contact Camden on (020) 7974 4444***