

Victoria Pound
Planner (Conservation)
Development Management
Culture and Environment
London Borough of Camden
5th Floor
Argyle Street
London

Please Jez Donovan

ask for:

Tel: 0303 4448067

Email: jez.donovan@communities.gsi.gov.uk

Your ref: 2013/3379/L

Our ref: NPCU/LBC/X5210/72520

Date: 4 October 2013

Dear Victoria

WC1H8EQ

PLANNING (LISTED BUILDINGS AND CONSERVATION AREAS) ACT 1990
APPLICATION FOR LISTED BUILDING CONSENT AT 8 & 22 SPEDAN CLOSE,
BRANCH HILL ESTATE, CAMDEN, LONDON, NW3 7XF (Application No. 2013/3379/L)

I am directed by the Secretary of State for the Department for Communities and Local Government to refer to your e-mail and attachments of 15 August, about the application for Listed Building Consent for the demolition and reconstruction of part of a stepped access pathway adjacent to 8 & 22 Spedan Close, Branch Hill Estate, Camden, London, NW3 7XF, application number 2013/3379/L. The application was made in accordance with the provisions of Regulation 13 of the 1990 Regulations.

The Secretary of State has considered the information submitted by your Council in relation to this application and notes that English Heritage has no objections, and no representations or objections were made by amenity societies or other third parties to the proposals.

The Secretary of State hereby grants Listed Building Consent for the above works in accordance with the application and associated drawings/plans, reference number 2013/3379/L, subject to the following conditions:

- 1. The development shall commence within three years of the date of this permission.
- 2. .
- 3. .

This letter does not convey any consent or approval required under any enactment, byelaw, order, or regulation, other than Section 8 and 17 of the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act 1990.

National Planning Casework Unit Department for Communities and Local Government 5 St Philips Place Colmore Row Birmingham B3 2PW Tel: 0303 44 48050

npcu@communities.gsi.gov.uk

A separate note is attached setting out the circumstances in which the validity of the Secretary of State's decision may be challenged in the High Court. Attention is also drawn to the enclosed note relating to the provisions of the Chronically Sick and Disabled Persons Act 1970.

Yours sincerely

Kulose.

Karen Rose Planning Casework Manager