

For the attention of

28th May 2014

Amanda Peck
Regeneration and Planning Development Management
London Borough of Camden
Town Hall
Judd Street
London
WC1H 8ND



Name: Patricia Ann Thompson
Address: 5 Church Walk, Highgate, London N6 6QY
Telephone Number: (H) 0208 348 4893 (W) 207 486 1199
Planning application number: - revised 2013/6674/P
Planning application address: 1-11 A Swain's Lane & 109-110 Highgate West Hill

I OBJECT to the application

1. I strongly object to the revised planning application on the following grounds (having already sent a comprehensive letter to the last request for comments, please also see this response).

My home for the past 30+ years is directly adjacent to the proposed development. I live in Church Walk, not St Anne's Close as erroneously represented in the original 2013-14 document and the revised document May 2014. Much has since been written on the revised plan about the colour of the bricks, the foliage on the roof etc etc. For those of us who live behind the new development as proposed, what we will see is a brick wall (extensive), probably no foliage (or dying), a collection of dustbins and a car park.

2. I would refer the Council to the following covenant registered by the Harrow District Land Registry on 8 November 1928, which states that (in point 3); No building shall be erected (on the part in brown) other than for "shops, showrooms or offices..." Point 5 of the covenant says that; the purchaser and his successors in title shall not be entitled to any right of access of light and air to buildings on the property hereby conveyed or on some part thereof "which would restrict or interfere with the free user of the remainder of the said site and shall not open or permit to be opened any window or other aperture overlooking the remainder of the said site..."

From the Earl of Listowel's planning applications since 2003, when the Camden Council rejected the proposed site development on the grounds of "unacceptable height and massing, which would represent an overdevelopment of the site and would be out of scale and character with the surrounding area...", very little has changed on the proposed plan.

It seems that the Earl of Listowel has tried to press the Council and the residents of Church Walk to accept terms and conditions, which negate the covenant of 1928 agreed by the incumbent of St Anne's Church and the original purchasers of the land now in consideration.

Light (or lack of it), diminished amenity and abuse of private access via Church Walk, are reasons to object to this proposal, which in essence is no different to 2003. Church Walk and St Anne's Close now have a number of small children living there, who have a right of access to their homes without literally risking their lives on a daily basis due to the selfishness and illegal parking already taking place on Church Walk and Swain's Lane. I am amazed that no child has been hurt already having observed the daily multiple parking issues.

I urge the Council to abide by the covenant, permit the shops to be developed (which is lawful), provide adequate parking and stop there.

Patricia Ann Thompson.

Patricia Ann Thompson