Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Printed on: 24/06/2014 09:05:21 Response:
2014/2822/P	James Hexter	58A Fellows Road London NW3 3LJ	06/06/2014 09:04:33	ОВЈ	I object to the application on that the property is in the Belsize Park conservation area and that to add such an unnecessary extension would detract substantially from the appearance our property and would also impinge directly on my privacy. My entrance at the side of the house would become extremely unwelcoming also. I understand that loss of light issues are not usually taken into account but in this case I feel that this should also be seriously addressed.
2014/2822/P	B S Molyneux	58b Fellows Road London NW3 3LJ	04/06/2014 11:20:05	OBJ	i would like to oppose the application on the grounds that the proposed extention will seriously deplete the amount of light coming through the lower hallway window. This area is already dull and the extention with the terrace above will overshadow this window and take away much of the light that comes through now. The same applies to my bathroom window and it will make the bathroom really dark. These are my main reasons for objecting to the application but the disruption caused and the noise involved with the construction work is another reason I have to object to the application.
2014/2822/P	E Stranger	58D Fellows Road	12/06/2014 12:12:46	ОВЈ	We object to the application. The design is at odds with the long established Victorian architecture and appearance of the road's buildings. The road's consistent harmonious Victorian architecture should be Conserved, and it congruous proportionate architecture protected from further disjointed, imbalanced uncharacteristic development. The creation of an unnecessary large full deck balcony would be entirely uncharacteristic of the road and the predominant building's Victorian architecture. Such an unrepresentative precedent should not be promoted. The extension of a side edifice will intrude significantly upon the privacy of the adjoining building, whose bathroom windows, stairway light, etc., would be in extremely close proximity to the expanded edifice and large overlooking balcony. In what is already an acoustically highly reflective narrow area it would produce a further reduction of light as well as significantly increased noise intrusion into the adjoining properties and windows.
					The planned full room-size large deck balcony, upon which persons will be sitting and partying, as happens consistently in other outdoor extensions, will intrude inappropriately both in terms of noise, amplified in the confined walled corridor between the two close buildings, laughing, drinking, talking loudly, etc., often well into the night, intruding into the lives of adjoining residents. Any loud talking, noise or parties and music, as such outdoor extensions encourage, between the two close buildings with tall brick walls will be acoustically amplified into the surrounding properties as well as into the street, an unwarranted new precedent.
					Lastly, in terms of building works, the prolonged construction and building noise, with ongoing banging, sawing, hammering, etc., will be greatly amplified between the adjacent building's hard brick walls into the surrounding properties. An independent acoustic analysis can confirm the considerable spl disturbance that would be generated.