Appendix 6.6 Visual Effects

Appendix 6.6: Visual Effects

1.1 Table 10.1 below describes and assesses the visual effects from the Proposed Development.

Table 10.1 Visual Effects

Visual Receptor	Location	Direction of View relevant for the LVIA and distance to Proposed Development	Construction (winter)	Operation Yr1 (winter)	Operation Yr15 (summer)
A	Kenwood Gazebo. View across Hampstead Heath.	South	The construction plant crossing the path network between Kenwood House Nursery and The Heath would be visible in the foreground of the view. The remaining construction activities would be screened by the intervening vegetation and landform. As views of the construction plant are considered to be within the character of existing views of maintenance equipment the magnitude of change is negligible and the effect negligible.	Due to the intervening landform and existing vegetation the main aspects of the Proposed Development would be screened. The reduction of trees bordering Stock Pond would be screened by the existing vegetation within the Heath. Therefore the magnitude of change is negligible and the effect negligible.	No further assessment required.
B	East of the Tumulus within The Heath.	East	From this elevated location the excavation from the borrow pit to the west of Model Boating Pond would be visible in the foreground of the view. The dredging at Model Boating Pond, construction of the southern embankment and extension in to the pond and construction of the island would be noticeable in the middle ground of the view. As this receptor is in an elevated location, views across to Highgate would remain. Therefore the magnitude of change is medium and the effect moderate adverse (significant).	The extension of the southern edge of Model Boating and localised reduction in the number of trees to the south-west of the pond t would represent a minor alteration to the view. The overall composition of the profile of the landform surrounding Model Boating would remain. Therefore the magnitude of change is low and the effect minor adverse.	The establishment of new trees to the south-west of the pond and on the embankment would integrate the Proposed Development within the landscape. As the scale of the southern embankment and extension within the pond would remain, the Proposed Development would continue to represent a discernible change to the view. Therefore the magnitude of change would remain low and the effect minor adverse.
C	Viaduct to Christchurch Steeple	West	The construction activity would be located in the foreground of the view, although largely screened by the intervening vegetation and the angle of view. Views of Christchurch Steeple would remain. Therefore the magnitude of change is low and the effect minor adverse.	The Proposed Development would be largely screened by intervening vegetation and represent a very minor change to the composition of the view. Views of Christchurch Steeple would remain. Therefore the magnitude of change is negligible and the effect negligible.	No further assessment required.
D	Parliament Hill to Christchurch Steeple	West	The construction activity would be almost entirely screened by the intervening vegetation and landform. Views of Christchurch Steeple would remain. Therefore the magnitude of change is negligible and the effect negligible.	The Proposed Development would be screened by the intervening vegetation and landform. Views of Christchurch Steeple would remain. Therefore the magnitude of change is negligible and the effect negligible.	No further assessment required.
1	View from path network to Highgate No.1 Pond (verified view)	North	The construction activity to form the new spillway, localised raising of the path network and sheet piled wall with timber cladding on the pond side would be visible at close range, in the foreground of the view. This would represent a marked deterioration in the view. Therefore the magnitude of change is high and the effect major adverse (significant).	The reduction in the number of trees to the south of the pond, the raised path, spillway and upper sections of the new 1.25m high wall would form a noticeable change to the view. This is by introducing localised changes to the landform and new built form. Therefore the magnitude of change is medium and the effect moderate adverse (significant).	The grass seeded spillway and rejuvenation of the coppiced vegetation would reduce the mass of the new built form. Therefore the magnitude of change would reduce to low and the effect to minor adverse.
1a	View from residences in Millfield Lane including Brookfield Court	North-west	The construction activity at Highgate No.1 Pond would be visible due at close range due to the elevated position of the receptors. This would include the construction of the sheet piled wall. However the views would be partially filtered by the retained vegetation. Therefore the magnitude of change is medium and the effect moderate adverse (significant).	The reduction in vegetation would enable more open views of the pond, resulting in a discernible change. Due to the angle of view the sheet piled wall would be largely screened by retained vegetation. Therefore the magnitude of change is low and the effect minor adverse.	The integration of the Proposed Development within the landform would reduce the magnitude of change to negligible and the effect negligible.

Visual Receptor	Location	Direction of View relevant for the LVIA and distance to Proposed Development	Construction (winter)	Operation Yr1 (winter)	Operation Yr15 (summer)
2	View from Parliament Hill to Highgate No.1 Pond (verified view)	East	From this elevated location the construction activity at Highgate No.1 Pond and Men's Bathing Pond would be visible in the middle ground of the view. This would include the construction of the 1.25m high timber clad wall along the eastern edge of Highgate No.1 Pond. The introduction of this activity would represent a discernible change largely filtered by intervening vegetation around the eastern edge of Highgate No.1 Pond. The scale and extent of the construction activity would form a minor component of the wider view. Therefore the magnitude of change is low and the effect minor adverse.	The reduction and coppicing of vegetation along the southern edge of Men's Bathing and the eastern edge of Highgate No.1 Pond would represent a minor alteration of the wider view. The new timber clad sheet piled wall would be very small in scale at this range and viewed within the context of the built form in Highgate. Therefore the magnitude of change is low and the effect minor adverse.	By year 15 the re-establishment of coppiced vegetation and integration of the timber clad wall would reflect the character of existing views. Therefore the magnitude of change is negligible and the effect negligible.
3	View from path network to Highgate No.1 Pond (verified view)	South	During construction this location is not likely to be accessible.	The reduction and coppicing of vegetation along the southern edge of the pond would be a minor alteration to the composition of the view. The 1.25m high timber clad wall along the southern and eastern edge would represent a noticeable change compared to the vegetated edges, however this would be largely filtered by the retained vegetation. The retained vegetation would also continue to largely screen views of residences in Highgate. Therefore the magnitude of change is low and the effect minor adverse.	By year 15 the re-establishment of coppiced vegetation would reduce the mass of the timber clad wall. Therefore the magnitude of change is negligible and the effect negligible.
4	View from path along the embankment of Men's Bathing Pond (verified view)	North	During construction this location is not likely to be accessible.	The height and material (timber cladding) of the new sheet piled wall would be in the character of existing views of the timber fence along the southern edge. The increase in the height of the embankment on the southern edge of Model Boating Pond would be a minor alteration to the view. Therefore the magnitude of change is low and the effect minor adverse.	Due to the mass and scale of the new embankment along the southern edge of Model Boating Pond, the magnitude of change would remain low and the effect minor adverse.
4a	View from residences on Millfield Lane	West	The construction activity on the southern edge of Men's Bathing Pond including the construction of the sheet piled wall would represent a noticeable change compared to views of the pond. However as the extent of the view is channelled by existing vegetation the magnitude of change is medium and the effect moderate adverse (significant).	The height and material (timber cladding) of the new sheet piled wall would be in the character existing views. Therefore the magnitude of change is negligible and the effect negligible.	No further assessment required.
4b	Recreational users of Men's Bathing Pond	North and south	*The location is not likely to be accessible during the works to the Men's Bathing Pond directly and therefore the assessment assumes the pond is in operation during the construction of Model Boating Pond to the north.* The construction activity to form the raised embankment along the southern edge of Model Boating Pondwould be visible in the middle ground of the view. This would represent a noticeable change to the view and a medium magnitude of change. Therefore the effect is moderate adverse (significant).	The raised embankment of Model Boating would be a partial alteration to the character and composition of views to the north, through the introduction of increased mass and reduction in the extent of views. The new wall to the south of Men's' Bathing Pond would largely reflect existing views. On balance the overall magnitude of change is low and a minor adverse effect.	Due to the mass and scale of the new embankment the magnitude of change would remain low and the effect minor adverse.

Visual Receptor	Location	Direction of View relevant for the LVIA and distance to Proposed Development	Construction (winter)	Operation Yr1 (winter)	Operation Yr15 (summer)
5	View from path/cycleway along Model Boating embankment (verified view)	South	During construction this location is not likely to be accessible.	The height and material (timber clad) of the 1m high sheet piled wall and new planted margin along the southern edge of Men's Bathing Pond would form a discernible change to the view. Therefore the magnitude of change is low and the effect minor adverse.	The establishment of the new planted margin would soften and reduce scale of the new 1m high wall and soften the appearance of the sheet piled edge. The magnitude of change is negligible and the effect negligible.
5a	View from the existing path/cycleway along the Model Boating Pond embankment	North-west	During construction this location is not likely to be accessible.	There would be a major alteration to the view, as the 2.5m embankment would entirely screen existing views across Model Boating Pond. Therefore the magnitude of change is high and the effect major adverse (significant).	Due to the mass and scale of the embankment the magnitude of change would remain high with the effect remaining major adverse (significant). (It is noted the provision of the new path to the south of Model Boating Pond would provide views as per the baseline for this receptor location.)
6	View from path network towards Model Boating Pond (verified view)	North-east	The excavation for the borrow pit adjacent Model Boating Pond, the construction activity to form the new path, southern edge to Model Boating Pond, new spillway and the new island would be visible in the foreground of the view. This would be a major alteration to the existing views across Model Boating Pond and therefore the magnitude of change is high. The high magnitude of change would result in a major adverse effect (significant).	The change to the southern edge of the Model Boating Pond would form a partial alteration to the view by reducing the extent of views across Model Boating Pond and changing the profile of the landform in the foreground of the view. Therefore the magnitude of change would be medium and the effect moderate adverse (significant).	The new planting would have established and aid in the integration of the southern edge of Model Boating Pond. However the scale of the new earthworks would continue to reduce views across Model Boating Pond, and remain a discernible change. Therefore the magnitude of change is low and the effect minor adverse.
7a	View from The Heath towards Model Boating Pond and Bird Sanctuary Pond (verified view)	North-east	The excavation from the borrow pit, the replacement of the existing overflow pipe between Bird Sanctuary Pond and Model Boating Pond and the re-grading of the dam would be partially filtered by existing vegetation. The construction of the new channel and island at the western edge of the Model Boating Pond would be visible in the foreground and middle ground of the view. This is due to the open character of the fields and slightly elevated location of the receptor. This construction would be localised and in relation to the extent of the wider view, a partial alteration to the composition of the view. Therefore the combination of works at Bird Sanctuary Pond and Model Boating Pond would result in a medium magnitude of change and a moderate adverse effect (significant).	The Proposed Development at Bird Sanctuary Pond would largely reflect the character and composition of existing views. The new localised changes to the landform and reduction in vegetation at Model Boating Pond, associated with the new channel and island would represent a minor alteration to the view. Therefore the magnitude of change is low and the effect minor adverse.	The establishment of new planting would integrate the channel and reduce the magnitude of change to negligible, with the effect reducing to negligible.
7b	View from The Heath towards the southern edge of the Model Boating Pond (verified view)	South-east	There would be open views of the excavation from the borrow pit and re-profiling for the new channel and island at the western edge of Model Boating Pond, in the foreground of the view. The scale of the construction to form the embankment on the southern edge of Model Boating Pond and extend this edge within the pond, along with the dredging would represent a marked deterioration in the view. Therefore the magnitude of change is high and the effect major adverse (significant).	The re-profiled landform in the foreground of the view is considered to be largely in the character of existing views of a falling landform of fields. The extended southern edge of the dam, into the pond and the raised embankment would be a discernible change, with the overall composition of the view from this elevated location remaining. Therefore the magnitude of change is low and the effect minor adverse.	The new planting would aid in integrating the new embankments and extended southern edge of the pond. This would reduce the magnitude of change to negligible and the effect to negligible.

Visual Receptor	Location	Direction of View relevant for the LVIA and distance to Proposed Development	Construction (winter)	Operation Yr1 (winter)	Operation Yr15 (summer)
8	View from path network to Model Boating Pond (verified view)	South	The excavation from the borrow pit adjacent Model Boating and to form the new channel and island, the dredging and the construction of the embankment would be a marked change to the character of the view, being at close range and within the direct angle of view. Therefore the magnitude of change is high and the effect major adverse (significant).	The new channel and path would be in the character of existing views of the Model Boating Pond. The height of the embankment along the southern edge would reduce the extent of open views in the direction of Men's Bathing Pond, resulting in a discernible change. The height of the embankment would still retain the composition of the view of a raised edge along the southern side of the pond with adjacent rising landform and views of trees beyond. Therefore the magnitude of change is low and the effect minor adverse.	The establishment of the new planting would aid in integrating the mass of the southern embankment, however its scale is still considered to remain discernible within the view. Therefore the magnitude of change would remain low and the effect minor adverse.
9	View from path/horse riding network to Model Boating Pond (verified view)	South	The excavation from the borrow pit and to form the new channel and island, the dredging and the construction of the embankment would be a marked change to the character of the view, being at close range and within the direct angle of view. Therefore the magnitude of change is high and the effect major adverse (significant).	The new channel and path would be in the character of existing views of the Model Boating Pond. The height of the embankment along the southern edge would reduce the extent of open views in the direction of Men's Bathing Pond, resulting in a discernible change. The height of the embankment would still retain the composition of the view of a raised edge along the southern side of the pond with adjacent rising landform and views of trees beyond. Therefore the magnitude of change is low and the effect minor adverse.	The establishment of the new planting and profiling of the landform would aid in integrating the mass of the southern embankment, however its scale is still considered to remain discernible within the view. Therefore the magnitude of change would remain low and the effect minor adverse.
10	View from The Heath towards Model Boating Pond (verified view)	West	The dredging, excavation on the western edge including the borrow pit and formation of the embankment and extension of the pond on the southern edge would represent a marked deterioration in the view. Therefore the magnitude of change is high and the effect major adverse (significant).	Views of the channel and re-profiled landform on the western edge of the pond would be in the character of existing views of a rising landform. The extension of the southern edge of the pond would be discernible, although the overall composition of the view would remain across the pond and of a rising landform beyond. Therefore the magnitude of change is low and the effect minor adverse.	While the new planting and profiling of the landform would reduce the mass of the southern embankment, the scale would remain a discernible change. Therefore the magnitude of change would remain low and the effect minor adverse.
10a	View from properties along Millfield Road towards Model Boating Pond	West	Views of the construction activity to form the southern embankment, dredging, excavation from the borrow pit and new channel would be partially screened by intervening vegetation. Therefore the magnitude of change is medium and the effect moderate adverse (significant).	The Proposed Development would reflect the character and composition of the existing view and be partially filtered by existing vegetation. Therefore the magnitude of change is low and the effect minor adverse.	The establishment of the new planting and profiling of the landform and integration into the landscape would reduce the magnitude of change to negligible and the effect to negligible.
10b	View from the entrance to The Heath at Millfield Lane	South-west	The construction of the channel, dredging, excavation from the borrow pit and southern embankment would be partially filtered by existing vegetation. Therefore the magnitude of change is medium and the effect is moderate adverse (significant).	The Proposed Development would reflect the character and composition of the existing view and be partially filtered by existing vegetation. Therefore the magnitude of change is low and the effect minor adverse.	The establishment of the new planting and integration into the landscape would reduce the magnitude of change to negligible and the effect to negligible.
11	View from path network towards Model Boating Pond (verified view)	North-west	The construction of the southern embankment and dredging would form a marked deterioration at close range in the view. This is due to the slightly elevated location of the receptor and open views of the construction activity. Therefore the magnitude of change is high and the effect major adverse (significant).	Although the scale of the southern embankment would greater than the existing pond edge, views of the pond and elements of the middle ground and background would remain. Therefore the magnitude of change is low and the effect is minor adverse.	As the scale of the southern embankment would remain, the magnitude of change would remain low and the effect minor adverse.

Visual Receptor	Location	Direction of View relevant for the LVIA and distance to Proposed Development	Construction (winter)	Operation Yr1 (winter)	Operation Yr15 (summer)
12	View from Kenwood Ladies' Bathing Pond across Bird Sanctuary Pond (verified view)	South	During construction this location is not likely to be accessible.	The Proposed Development would be largely characteristic of the existing views and therefore the magnitude of change is negligible and the effect negligible.	No further assessment required.
12a	Recreational users at Kenwood Ladies' Bathing Pond	South	During construction this location is not likely to be accessible.	The new facilities would be visible at close range and considered to reflect the character of existing views of a building. The reduction in vegetation to the west of the new facilities at the location of the new spillway and the increased height of the dam would be discernible; however views of the vegetation at the north of the Bird Sanctuary Pond would remain. Therefore the magnitude of change is low and the effect minor adverse.	The Proposed Development would reflect the character of existing views of a building and pond enclosed by vegetation and the bunds of the spillway would have integrated within the landscape. Therefore the magnitude of change is negligible and the effect negligible.
13	View from Kenwood Ladies' Bathing Pond path/cycle parking area	South-west	During construction this location is not likely to be accessible.	The Proposed Development would be largely screened by existing vegetation and therefore reflect the character and composition of the existing view. Therefore the magnitude of change is negligible and the effect negligible.	No further assessment required.
14	View from path network towards Kenwood Ladies' Bathing Pond	East	The dredging, demolition of the existing facilities and construction of the spillway would be noticeable in the middle ground of the view. The construction activity would be partially filtered by the existing and retained vegetation bordering the pond. Therefore the magnitude of change is medium and the effect moderate adverse (significant).	The new facilities would be visible, although partially filtered by existing vegetation and they are considered to largely reflect the character of existing views of a building. The reduction in vegetation at the spillway would be discernible but not alter the overall character of views of vegetation bordering the ponds. The reinstatement of existing features such as the Meadow Gate and surfacing of the new path are also considered to aid in reflecting the character of existing views. Therefore the magnitude of change is low and the effect minor adverse.	The proposed Development would be integrated within the landscape and largely characteristic of the existing view. Therefore the magnitude of change is negligible and the effect negligible.
15	View from path network towards Stock Pond (verified view)	East	The excavation for the spillway, the reinstatement of the existing path, the dam crest restoration, replacement of the existing fencing and selective removal of trees from the south-west edge of Stock Pond would be visible in the foreground of the view at close range. The dredging would be largely filtered by the existing vegetation. This activity would be within the direct angle of view and represent a marked deterioration from existing views of the path and Stock Pond. Therefore the magnitude of change is high and the effect major adverse (significant).	While the reduction in trees at the south-west edge of Stock Pond would enable more open views of the pond, it would not alter the overall character of the view, of a pond enclosed by vegetation. The new fencing and path alteration are also considered to be largely characteristic of the existing view. The re-profiling and form of the spillway would be integrated by the grass seeding but would be a discernible change. Therefore the magnitude of change is low and the effect is minor adverse.	The establishment of the grass seeded spillway would aid in integrating the new structure within the landform. The reduction in trees would remain a discernible change and therefore the magnitude of change would remain low and the effect minor adverse.
15a	View from path network towards Stock Pond	East	From this elevated location, the excavation for the spillway, the reinstatement of the path, the dam crest restoration and selective removal of trees would be noticeable in the foreground of the view. The dredging would be largely filtered by the existing vegetation. However the scale and extent of the construction would not alter the composition of wider views towards Highgate. Therefore the magnitude of change is medium and the effect moderate adverse (significant).	The Proposed Development would not alter the composition of the view, with the new path and fencing reflecting the character of existing views. The alteration to the landform due to the spillway and the reduction in trees would be a minor component of the wider view. Therefore the magnitude of change is low and the effect minor adverse.	The integration of the spillway within the landform would reduce the magnitude of change to negligible and the effect to negligible.

Visual Receptor	Location	Direction of View relevant for the LVIA and distance to Proposed Development	Construction (winter)	Operation Yr1 (winter)	Operation Yr15 (summer)
15b	View from fields towards Stock Pond	West	From this elevated location the construction plant along the existing path network, the dam crest restoration and selective scrub clearance from the western edge of Stock Pond would be visible in the foreground and middle ground of the view. The dredging would be largely filtered by the existing vegetation along the existing path. The construction plant would be viewed in the character of existing maintenance vehicles along the existing path. The dam crest restoration and selective scrub clearance would be largely screened by existing vegetation along the path and the scale of the activity is considered to reflect existing maintenance operations. Therefore the magnitude of change is low and the effect minor adverse.	The Proposed Development would reflect the character and composition of the existing view. Therefore the magnitude of change is negligible and the effect negligible.	No further assessment required.
16	View from path network towards Vale of Health Pond (verified view)	North	The spillway construction, raising of the existing path and crest restoration would be visible in the foreground and middle ground of the view.. Therefore the magnitude of change is high and the effect major adverse (significant).	The Proposed Development would be within the character of the existing view, with the raised path and new fencing a discernible change. Therefore the magnitude of change is low and the effect minor adverse.	The establishment of the grass seeded edges and integration of the Proposed Development would result in a negligible magnitude of change and negligible effect.
16a	View from Vale of Health residences towards Pond	South-east	The spillway construction and crest restoration would be visible at close range and a noticeable deterioration in the view. As the scale and extent of the construction would be localised to the edges of the pond and existing path, views across The Heath would remain. Therefore the magnitude of change is medium and the effect moderate adverse (significant).	The crest restoration would form a discernible change, however overall this would be a minor alteration, resulting in a low magnitude of change and minor adverse effect.	The establishment of the seeded edge and integration of the Proposed Development would result in a negligible magnitude of change and negligible effect.
17a	View from path network to the south of The Viaduct (verified view)	South	The construction of the spillway and crest restoration would form a noticeable change and partial alteration to the composition of the view. As views of the pond would remain, the magnitude of change is reduced from high to medium and a moderate adverse effect (significant).	The spillway, reduction in vegetation and crest raising would be a discernible changeresulting in open views of the steepened bank Therefore the magnitude of change is low and the effect minor adverse.	The integration of the Proposed Development would reduce the magnitude of change to negligible and the effect to negligible.
17b	View from Viaduct across Pond (verified view)	North-east	From this elevated location there would be open views of the construction activity to raise the crest and excavate the spillway. This would be a noticeable deterioration in the view, although the composition of the background elements of the view would remain. Therefore the magnitude of change is medium and the effect moderate adverse (significant).	The spillway and crest raising would reflect the existing composition of the view. The loss of vegetation is very minor in relation to the character of the view. Therefore the magnitude of change is negligible and the effect negligible.	No further assessment required.
19	View from The Heath (Pryors Fields) towards the Catchpit (verified view)	North-east	The construction of the western edge of the new dam would be visible at close range in the foreground of the view and in the vegetation in the middle ground. Although existing vegetation would partially filter views of the construction activity in the middle ground. This would represent a marked deterioration in the view, resulting in a high magnitude of change and a major adverse (significant) effect.	The main mass of the dam would be largely screened by the retained vegetation. The exception would be the western edge of the dam, due to the reduction in existing vegetation. The scale and mass of the western section would be a noticeable change to the landform, although the overall character of the view would remain.Therefore the magnitude of change is reduced from high to medium and the effect moderate adverse (significant).	The new planting on the edge of the dam would largely screen the mass and scale of the dam, the magnitude of change would reduce to low and the effect minor adverse due to the discernible change to the landform.

Visual Receptor	Location	Direction of View relevant for the LVIA and distance to Proposed Development	Construction (winter)	Operation Yr1 (winter)	Operation Yr15 (summer)
19a	View from Lime Walk towards the Catchpit	East	The construction activity at the Catchpit would be screened by the intervening vegetation. The temporary storage of materials on field 11 (Sports Ground on plan) would be screened by the rising landform. Therefore the magnitude of change is negligible and the effect is negligible.	The intervening vegetation would screen the mass of the new dam. Any loss of vegetation from the view would be very minor. Therefore the magnitude of change is negligible and the effect negligible.	No further assessment required.
19b	View from path network towards fields	North	The storage of materials and construction activity on the field would represent a marked deterioration in the view. Therefore the magnitude of change is high and the effect major adverse (significant).	The re-profiled fields would be in the character of existing views. Therefore the magnitude of change is negligible and the effect negligible.	No further assessment required.
21	View from The Heath towards the Catchpit (verified view)	West	The intervening vegetation would screen the majority of the construction activity. The exception would be the activity to construct the central section of the dam, which would form a noticeable element in the composition Therefore the magnitude of change is medium and the effect moderate adverse (significant)	The intervening vegetation would screen the majority of the mass of the new dam. The exception would be the upper section of the central part of the dam. However this would be a minor component of the view and the main characteristic of views of woodland would remain. Any loss of vegetation from the view would be very minor. Therefore the magnitude of change is low and the effect minor adverse.	The existing vegetation and new planting would largely screen the central section of the dam and therefore reduce the magnitude of change to negligible and a negligible effect.
21a	View from the path network towards the Catchpit	South-west	The construction of the eastern edge of the new dam would be visible at close range in the foreground of the view, with any further activity partially screened by the retained vegetation. This would represent a noticeable change compared to existing views of vegetation. Therefore the magnitude of change is medium and the effect moderate adverse (significant).	The main elements of the Proposed Development would be largely screened by the retained vegetation. The exception would be the eastern edge of the dam, which would be discernible but a minor alteration to the existing view. Therefore the magnitude of change is low and the effect minor adverse.	As the mass of the eastern edge of the dam would remain, the magnitude of change would remain low and the effect minor adverse.
22	View from the edge of the Mixed Bathing Pond (verified view)	South	The construction activity to raise the path between the Mixed Bathing Pond and Hampstead No.2 pond would be visible and represent a noticeable deterioration in the view. Therefore the magnitude of change would be medium and the effect moderate adverse (significant).	The composition of the view would remain as per the baseline with the raised path being a very minor component of the view and integrated within the landscape. Therefore the magnitude of change is negligible and the effect negligible.	No further assessment required.
22a	Recreational users at Mixed Bathing Pond	South	*This location is assessed after any dredging and requirement to temporary close access to the pond.* Views of the construction activity to raise the path between Hampstead No.2 Pond would be visible at close range. This would represent a marked deterioration to the view and a high magnitude of change. Therefore the effect is major adverse (significant).	The increase in height of the path and re-profiled edge (up to 1m) would be a very minor change in the view, with the overall composition remaining. Therefore the magnitude of change is negligible and the effect negligible.	No further assessment required.

Visual Receptor	Location	Direction of View relevant for the LVIA and distance to Proposed Development	Construction (winter)	Operation Yr1 (winter)	Operation Yr15 (summer)
23	View from the path network towards Hampstead No.2 Pond (verified view)	South-west	During construction this location is likely to be accessible based on the Contractor allowing access. The construction activity to raise the path would be visible at close range and a noticeable deterioration in the view. As views of the middle ground and back ground would remain the magnitude of change is reduced from high to medium with a moderate adverse (significant) effect.	The re-profiled edges to the path and removal of the existing timber and metal fence would be beneficial. The reduction in the London Plane trees would also be discernible however the overall composition of the avenue to trees within the view would remain. Views of the Royal Free would remain largely screened as per the existing baseline. The uniformity of the cladded southern edge of the pond would form a discernible change compared to the existing edge. The balance between the beneficial aspects and the discernible change is considered to result in a low magnitude of changeand a minor adverse effect.	The reduction in the London Plane tree and cladded edge to the pond would remain a discernible change and therefore the magnitude of change would remain low and the effect remain minor adverse.
24	View from the path network towards Hampstead No.2 Pond and Mixed Bathing Pond (verified view)	North-east	Views of the construction activity to raise the path between this pond and Hampstead No.2 Pond would be visible at close range. This would represent a marked deterioration to the view and a high magnitude of change. Therefore the effect is major adverse (significant).	The increase in height of the path and re-profiled edge (up to 1m) would be discernible and reduce to a minor extent the visibility of the Mixed Bathing Pond. However the character and composition of the view would remain. The removal of the timber and metal handrails is considered to be beneficial. Therefore the magnitude of change is considered to be negligible and the effect negligible.	No further assessment required.
25	View from The Heath towards Hampstead No.1 and Hampstead No,2 Ponds (verified view)	North	The construction of the spillway would be noticeable at close range. The small scale extent of the activity would represent a partial alteration to the view. Therefore the magnitude of change is medium and the effect moderate adverse (significant).	The reduction in the two London Plane trees would be a discernible change, although the character of the views across the ponds would remain largely characteristic. The compostion of the avenue of London Pane trees would also remain as the reduction in trees is adjacent an existing path which already separates the spacing of the trees. Therefore the magnitude of change low and the effect minor adverse.	The magnitude of change would remain low due to the discernible change to the avenue of London Plane trees and the effect remain minor adverse.
26	View from the path network along the southern edge of Hampstead No.2 Pond (verified view)	North	There would be open views of the construction activity to raise the path between this pond and the Mixed Bathing Pond, to form the reinforced re-profiled banks and vegetation removal. This would form a noticeable element in the middle ground of the view. Therefore the magnitude of change is medium and the effect moderate adverse (significant).	The linearity of the clad southern edge would be a discernible change compared to the existing edge treatment. Although the path in the middle ground of the view would be raised and localised steepening of the adjacent banks, the composition of the view would remain. The reduction in vegetation is not considered to alter the view, as the enclosed character of the pond by vegetation would remain. Therefore the magnitude of change is low and the effect minor adverse.	The grassed banks would have integrated within the landscape. The composition of the view would remain. Therefore the magnitude of change is negligible and the effect negligible.
27	View from Cathedral Walk towards Hampstead No.1 Pond	North	There would be open views of the construction of the spillway. Although this activity would be at close range, the relatively small scale of the activity is considered to be discernible only. Therefore the magnitude of change is low and the effect minor adverse.	The re-profiled landform and localised reduction in vegetation would result in more open views of the southern embankment of Hampstead No.1 Pond. However due to the small extent of this view, the Proposed Development would result a discernible change only. Therefore the magnitude of change is low and the effect minor adverse.	The Proposed Development would have integrated within the landscape and the compositions of the view reflect the existing. Therefore the magnitude of change is negligible and the effect negligible.

Visual Receptor	Location	Direction of View relevant for the LVIA and distance to Proposed Development	Construction (winter)	Operation Yr1 (winter)	Operation Yr15 (summer)
28	View from cycle path towards Hampstead No.2 Pond (verified view)	North	The construction of the containment kerb, dredging in the south-west corner of Hampstead No.2 Pond and excavation to form the reinforced grass slope spillway would be noticeable in the foreground and middle ground of the view. The construction activity and borrow pit would be partially filtered by existing vegetation along the cycle route. Therefore the magnitude of change is moderate and the effect moderate adverse (significant).	The removal of trees from the avenue of London Planes would be noticeable. However the character of the view would remain with the avenue of trees, the views of the ponds and properties in South Hill Park. Therefore the magnitude of change is considered to be low and the effect minor adverse.	Due to the permanent removal of the London Plane trees the magnitude of change would remain low and the effect minor adverse.
29	View from residences at South Hill Park	West	There would be open views of the construction activity associated with raising the path, forming the reinforced and re-profiled sides and removal of vegetation from the banks. While this would form a noticeable element in the view, the composition of the view in the middle ground and back ground would remain. Therefore the magnitude of change is medium and the effect moderate adverse (significant).	Although the new path would be raised and the adjacent banks steepened, the composition of the view would remain. The reduction in vegetation is not considered to alter the view, as overall the vegetation framing views across the pond would remain. Therefore the magnitude of change is low and the effect minor adverse.	The grassed banks would have integrated within the landscape. The composition of the view would remain. Therefore the magnitude of change is negligible and the effect negligible.
30	View from residences at South Hill Park	West	The works to construct the two spillways would form a minor component of the wider view. Therefore the magnitude of change is low and the effect minor adverse.	The composition of the view would remain, with the very localised reduction in vegetation and change to landform being a very minor component of a wider view. Therefore the magnitude of change is negligible and the effect negligible.	No further assessment required.
31	View from residences along South End Road	East	The construction of the spillway would form a minor component of the composition of the view and largely filtered by the existing London Plane trees along the Cathedral Walk. Therefore the magnitude of change is low and the effect minor adverse.	The composition of the view would remain, with the small scale and localised reduction in vegetation forming a very minor component of the view and largely filtered by existing elements. Therefore the magnitude of change is negligible and the effect negligible.	No further assessment required.
32	View from residences in The Pryors	East	Due to the oblique angle of views towards the borrow pit location and the very localised construction activity in the scale of the wider view the magnitude of change is considered to be low and the effect minor adverse.	The Proposed Development would retain the composition of the view and the scale would be very minor in relation to the extent of the view. Therefore the magnitude of change is negligible and the effect negligible.	No further assessment required.
33	View from East Heath Road	East	The construction activity would be largely screened by the existing vegetation and form a minor component of the view. Therefore the magnitude of change is negligible and the effect negligible.	The Proposed Development would retain the composition of the view and the scale would be very minor in relation to the extent of the wider view. Therefore the magnitude of change is negligible and the effect negligible.	No further assessment required.
34	View from residences in Highgate	West	The majority of the construction works to the south of Highgate No.1 Pond would be screened by the intervening landform and the angle of view. Therefore the magnitude of change is negligible and the effect negligible.	The reduction in vegetation from the south of Highgate No.1 Pond would be a very minor change in relation to the composition of the view. Therefore the magnitude of change is negligible and the effect negligible.	No further assessment required.
35	View from The Obelisk in Hampstead Heath	West	The construction to the southern edge of Highgate No.1 Pond and Men's Bathing Pond would be visible in the foreground and middle ground. Views of the Men's Bathing Pond would be largely filtered by the intervening vegetation. Therefore the magnitude of change is medium and the effect moderate adverse (significant).	The reduction in vegetation from the southern edge of Highgate No.1 Pond would be discernible. The raised timber clad retaining wall on the southern edge of Men's Bathing is considered to reflect the existing character of views of the timber fence. Therefore the magnitude of change is low and the effect minor adverse.	The establishment of new planting would integrate the Proposed Development and largely reflect the character of existing views. Therefore the magnitude of change is negligible and the effect negligible.

Visual Receptor	Location	Direction of View relevant for the LVIA and distance to Proposed Development	Construction (winter)	Operation Yr1 (winter)	Operation Yr15 (summer)
36	View from tennis courts, sports ground and bowling green within Hampstead Heath	North	The construction activity would be discernible, but in relation to the low sensitivity of the receptor the magnitude of change would be negligible and the effect negligible.	The Proposed Development would retain the composition of the view and the scale would be very minor in relation to the extent of the view. Therefore the magnitude of change is negligible and the effect negligible.	No further assessment required.
37	View from residences along Hampstead Lane	South	There would be channelled views of the construction plant crossing between Kenwood Nursery and the ponds, however these are considered to reflect the character of existing views of maintenance vehicles. Views of the construction compound would reflect the existing character of views of the boundary walls to Kenwood. Therefore the magnitude of change is negligible and the effect negligible.	The Proposed Development would not be visible due to the intervening landform and vegetation. Therefore no further assessment is required.	No further assessment required.
38	View from Caen Wood	West	There would be open views of the construction plant crossing between Kenwood Nursery and the ponds, however these are considered to reflect the character of existing views of maintenance vehicles. Therefore the magnitude of change is negligible and the effect negligible.	The reduction in vegetation at Stock Pond would form a very minor component of the wider view. Therefore the magnitude of change is negligible and the effect negligible.	No further assessment required.
39	Royal Free Hospital	North	Views of the construction activity at Hampstead No.1 and No.2 Ponds would form a very minor component of the wider view. Therefore the magnitude of change is negligible and the effect negligible.	The reduction in the number of London Plane trees would open views of Hampstead No.2 Pond and the Mixed Bathing Pond. However these elements would be a very minor component of the wider view. Therefore the magnitude of change is negligible and the effect negligible.	No further assessment required,
40	Path network and seating adjacent Hampstead No.2 Pond	South-west	There would be open views of the dredging of Hampstead No.2 Pond, the raising of the southern edge and the constriction of the spillway. This activity would be in the foreground of the view and represent a marked change compared to views of the pond and The Heath. Therefore the magnitude of change is high and the effect major adverse (significant).	The reduction in the number of London Plane trees would be discernible. However the overall composition of the view would remain, enabling views along the avenue of trees and The Heath. The raised southern edge to the pond would reflect the character of existing views. Therefore the overall magnitude of change is low and the effect minor adverse.	As the reduction in the number of London Plane trees would remain a discernible change the magnitude of change would remain low and the effect minor adverse.
41	Path network to borrow pit	South	The construction activity would be within the foreground of the view. Due to the localised extent of the activity and the scale in relation to the wider view the stripping would be a noticeable change compared to open fields. Therefore the magnitude of change is medium and the effect moderate adverse (significant).	The reinstatement would be a discernible change due to the reduced level of the landform. However overall the reinstatement would reflect existing views of undulating fields and therefore the magnitude of change is low and the effect minor adverse.	The reinstatement works would have integrated the Proposed Development and largely reflect the existing view. Therefore the magnitude of change is negligible and the effect negligible.