Delegated Report		Analysis sheet		Expir	y Date:	08/08/20	014	
		N/A		Consultation Expiry Date: 09/07/201		014		
Officer			Application N	Application Number(s)				
Rachel Miller	2014/3256/P							
Application Address	Drawing Num	Drawing Numbers						
Flat 3 6 Gascony Avenue London NW6 4NA			See decision	See decision				
PO 3/4 Area Team Signature C&UD			Authorised Of	Authorised Officer Signature				
Proposal(s)								
Insertion of two rooflights to rear roofslope								
Recommendation(s):	Grant conditional permission							
Application Type:	Full Planning Permission							
Conditions or Reasons for Refusal:	Refer to Draft Decision Notice							
Informatives:								
Consultations								
Adjoining Occupiers:	No. notified	d 07	No. of responses	00	No. of c	bjections	00	
		<u> </u>	No. electronic	00				
Summary of consultation responses:	No respons	ses received	1					
	N/a							
CAAC/Local groups* comments: *Please Specify								

Site Description

The site comprises a mid-terrace, four storey building located on the south side of Gascony Avenue. The building is divided into residential flats. It is not located in a conservation area.

Relevant History

No relevant history

Relevant policies

LDF Core Strategy and Development Policies CS5 (Managing the impact of growth and development) CS14 (Promoting high quality places and conserving our heritage)

DP24 (Securing high quality design) DP26 (Managing the impact of development on occupiers and neighbours)

Camden Planning Guidance Design 2013 Amenity 2011

NPPF 2012 London Plan 2011

Assessment

This application seeks permission for the installation of two rooflights on the rear roofslope of the top floor flat. The rooflights would be grey aluminium to match the existing roof slates.

The proposals would not alter the overall roof form and would not harm the appearance of the existing building. They would be approximately 10cm deep and therefore appear flush with the roof profile. The rooflights would be located below the ridge line by 500mm. They would not be visible from the public realm and would be barely visible from properties to the rear.

There are no amenity concerns as a result of this proposal in terms of loss of privacy or loss of light.

The proposals are acceptable in design and amenity terms and it is recommended that the application is approved.