



Department of the Environment Caxton House Tothill Street London SWI H 9LZ

CAMDEN 14 JUNISTS

Telephone 01-834 8540 Ext 461

Hessrs Simpson Silvertown & Co Solicitors Gamage Building 116/122 Holborn LONDON ECAN 2018

Your reference
L/4970/RS
Our reference
T/APP/L/LOS/L/72/1719/DS
Date

113 JUN 1973

Gentlemen

TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING ACT 1971, SECTION 36 AND SCHEDULE 9

- i. I refer to your appeal, which I have been appointed to determine, against the decision of the London Borough of Camden Council to refuse planning permission for change of use from shop to solicitor's practice at Unit 9. Brunswick Centre, London WC1. I have considered, the written representations made by you and by the council. I inspected the site on Wednesday 25 April 1973.
- 2. In the light of my inspection of the site and surroundings and the representations made it appears to me that the principal matter for consideration is whether the use of this site as a solicitor's office will harmonise with the purposes for which this pedestrian precinct has been designed.
- 3. This is essentially a modern shopping area associated with the new residential apartment blocks which surround it. The appeal site contains two shop windows and there are arrangements for servicing by means of a service road approached from the rear of the premises. The site is a relatively prominent one, close to the main Brunswick Square entrance and is flanked by other shop premises on either side.
- shopping centre would be adversely affected if any significant number of the shop units were to be converted to effice uses of the kind proposed. You have contended that any such effect would be nitigated by careful treatment of the frontage windows, so that no office furniture would be visible from the cutside; that the accessibility of legal advice would enhance the emenities of the Centre and that a single professional office on this sits would not materially affect the main purpose or appearance of the shopping precinct. In my view two front windows furnished with blinds or curtains would noticeably detract from the attractions of the precinct as a shopping centre and, while the importance of legal services to the public are not disputed, there does not appear any need or especial advantage in making them available in this particular location, designed, as it is, to serve other purposes. I have considered all the points advanced in favour of your proposals but do not find them strong enough to outweigh the objections.
- 5. For the above reasons, and in exercise of the powers transferred to me, I hereby dismiss this appeal.

I am Centleven Your obedient Servent

J M KISCH CHG MA

19 JUN 973

VOL

ACK

REFLICIO TO

Inspector