



# London County Council

ARCHITECT'S DEPARTMENT

TP/8R Ref.  
No.

## TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING ACT, 1962, SECTION 19 (4)

### REFUSAL OF PERMISSION TO DEVELOP

Telephone :  
WATERLOO 5000  
Extension 6992

CASE NO. TP.83203/C

APPLICANT'S  
REF.

#### REGISTER OF APPLICATIONS

15

Date of Council's decision\*

14 5 64

Particulars of an application under the Town and Country Planning Act, 1962, and the Town and Country Planning (General Development) Order, ~~1962~~ 1963

Particulars of any direction under the above-named Act and Order: None Issued.

Council's decision\*. Permission refused for the development referred to in the undermentioned schedule as shown on the plans submitted.

#### SCHEDULE

Date of application: 13 April 1964

Plans submitted No.: 11005 (Your drawings Nos. A/BP and A/1-6 inclusive)

Development: The redevelopment of the site of Nos. 43-49 Bernard Street, 3-29 Marchmont Street, 9-17 Coram Street, 6-26 Herbrand Street and 1-9 Bernard Mews, Holborn, by the erection of a seven storey building plus basement for use as offices, exhibition area, restaurant and garaging.

Reasons for refusal:

1. The proposed office use is contrary to the provisions of the Administrative County of London Development Plan in which this area is zoned for residential purposes with a shopping frontage in Marchmont Street.

Name and address of applicant.

H. W. Lessing, Esq.  
23-35 City Road  
Finsbury  
E.C.1

Certified that this document contains a true record of a decision of the Council.

Signed

*P. A. Siddiqui*

Reasons for refusal continued.

2. The introduction of the substantial quantity of office accommodation proposed is contrary to the Council's policy of resisting any increase in such accommodation, as set out in Section 5(iv) of the Statement of the Development Plan, and would, by creating additional employment potential, add to the congestion in the central area and place a further burden on the already overloaded public transport facilities.

3. The proposals would result in the loss of the existing residential accommodation on the site which would be contrary to the Council's policy, as set out in Section 17 of the Statement of the Development Plan, of requiring the replacement of such accommodation upon redevelopment in order to prevent the permanent loss of residential accommodation in the central area of London.

Further Information

In view of the terms of the above decision detailed consideration has not been given to the proposed building from a planning point of view in respect of plot ratio, daylighting, traffic and civic design aspects.

Yours faithfully,

Architect to the Council  
duly authorised by the  
Council to sign this  
document