~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
+
and the second secon
and Status, beauty in succession
dow h
ASTRA
EK - 13
E.M. Start
1633
Nº O'
11 C

178/P

## Department of the Environment Caxton House Tothill Street London SW1 H 9LZ

Telephone	01-834	8540	Ext	461
-----------	--------	------	-----	-----

WON

Mr A R Clavton-Welch ARIBA 27 Provide Place LONDON NW1

. . . . .

Your reference ARCW/KMN/95 Our reference T/APP/4408/A/73/7915/DS Date - 3 JAN 71

Sir

TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING ACT 1971, SECTION 36 AND SCHEDULE 9 APPEAL BY REDSFRING LIMITED

2. From my inspection of the site and its surroundings and from the representations made I am of the opinion that the determining issue is whether this source of local employment is of sufficient importance to outweigh the housing gain at this time of housing shortage within the London Borough of Camden.

3. The appeal site lies within an area zoned on the approved town map for residential purposes and it is not programmed for re-development on the Initial Development Plan, although it is evident that local authority re-development for residential use is in progress in Charlton King's Road immediately opposite Apollo Works. The existing use is an obvious non-conforming intrusion within this predominantly residential area but I have no doubt whatever that the activities carried on within these premises are in no way unneighbourly.

4. The two companies which share the premises are of a nature which train and employ labour having a high degree of skill and both are traditional London activities having considerable prestige value with significant exports. The space now occupied by Chappells Plano Company Ltd is not currently utilized as intensively as is presible but by far the greater part of Apollo Works is leased to Raphael's Limited, who are opthalmic optical manufacturers of long standing. In addition to direct exployment, which is given to over 100 people, many of whom are both highly skilled and resident locally, this firm sub-contracts work to 11 skilled light engineering firms in the immediate vicinity. I am satisfied from my inspection of the work in progress that Raphael's Limited are responsible for innovations of machine design and working methods of importance both within the United Kingdom and abroad. The work generated has an economic multiplier effect of outstanding significance to the London Eerough of Camden and I do not find that the loss of this firm to the immediate locality could possibly be compared for by the housing of 17 families in private residential. accommodation.

5. I have considered all other matters raised which do not, in my opinion, affect my decision.

6. For the above reasons, and in exercise of the powers transferred to me, I hereby dismiss this appeal.

2.1

I am Sir Your obedient Servant

C P RANDALL MPhil BSc(Econ) FRGS MRTPI Inspector