



# London County Council

ARCHITECT'S DEPARTMENT

TP/8R

Ref.

No.

TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING ACT, 1947, SECTION 14 (5)

TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING (GENERAL DEVELOPMENT) ORDER, 1950

Telephone **560**  
 WATERLOO 5000  
 Extension **TP.101769/W**

CASE No.

## REGISTER OF APPLICATIONS

20 OCT 1961

Date of Council's decision\*

Reference No.

**B,**

### REFUSAL OF PERMISSION TO DEVELOP

Particulars of an application under the Town and Country Planning Acts, 1947 to 1954, and the Town and Country Planning (General Development) Order, 1950.

Particulars of any direction under the above-named Act and Order: None Issued.

Council's decision\*. Permission refused for the development referred to in the undermentioned schedule as shown on the plans submitted.

### SCHEDULE

Date of application: **2 August 1961**

Plans submitted: **10327 (your Nos JWM/ES/61/7, 8 and 9)**

Development: **The partial redevelopment of Nos. 176-178 (even) Gower Street and No. 100 Euston Street, St. Pancras, together with alterations to existing buildings, to form an extension to the existing distribution depot at No. 100 Euston Street.**

Reasons for refusal:

**(1) The proposal is contrary to the Council's policy with regard to the redevelopment of land previously used either in whole or in part for purposes of a residential nature whereby residential accommodation is required to be provided on redevelopment, whereas none is proposed.**

**XXXXXXXXXX**

Name and address of applicant.

**Messrs. R. Sharp & Son  
13 Lower Belgrave Street  
S.W.1**

**XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX  
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX  
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX**

Certified that this document contains a true record of a decision of the Council.

Signed *[Signature]*

Particulars of any Ministry decision on appeal under Section 16 .....

Date ..... Effect ..... (see folio .....)

(2) The proposal gives a plot ratio in excess of the figure of 2:1 allocated for this area in the Administrative County of London Development Plan.

(3) No provision for the parking of cars within the curtilage of the building is proposed to comply with the Council's car parking standards and obstruction of the surrounding streets by waiting vehicles would be caused.

(4) The arrangement of the loading bay opening directly on to Gower Street, which is in operation as a one way street, is unsatisfactory in that vehicles either on entering or on leaving the loading bay would have to reverse against the flow of traffic in that street.

(5) The proposed development would prejudice the possibility of the Council undertaking a major scheme of redevelopment in the area.

Yours faithfully,

Architect to the Council  
duly authorised by the  
Council to sign this  
document