1/6W COL.

MINISTRY OF ROUGING & LOCAL COVERNMENT

ef. 676/40620/2095 L3. our ref. AR/T8/2120/C

lel. VICtoria 8540

Whitehall, London, S.W.1.

15th March, 1954.

entlemen,

WBKA

## Town and Country Planning Act. 1947 - Section 16 A speal relating to Plot No. Bholly Walk. Hampstead

I am directed by the Minister of Housing and Local Government to ay that he has considered the report of his Inspector, Mr. W. A. evereus, F. J. B. A., following the local Inquiry into the appeal by rofessor J. Yudkin against the London County Council's refusal to ermit the erecti n of a detached two storey dwelling house and private arage on Plot No. 3, being part of the site of "Moreton", Holly Walk ampstead, on the grounds that the siting in the position shewn on he drawings accompanying the application would be unsatisfactory in elation to "Moreton".

In their notice of refusal of cermission, the Council stated hat they would be prepared to consider favourably a proposal to rect the house at the rear of the site, but not nearer than 20 feet the court or west boundaries. Further, that the siting f the house should be considered in relation to that of the house on he south-east blot, and that the vehicular access should be from the ay-by being formed immediately to the south of the plot and that the gisting enclosure next to Holly Walk should be retained.

At the Inquiry, it was stated on behalf of your client that he bjected to siting the proposed house at the rear of the plot as equired by the Council on the grounds that he would incur additional ailsing costs amounting to about \$1,000 for longer services, driven and hathways. Moreover, a house erected in the position required y the Council would lack the normal rivacy of a back garden and hat it would be built in a nollow and in the proximity of large rees, the routes of which would be likely to extend under its foundations. (sic)

For the Council, it was pointed out that Holly Place included uildings listed under the provisions of Section 30 of the Act as cing of special architectural or historic interest, and that "Moreton s also a listed building, its best elevation facing southand overlooking the appeal site. It was contended, therefore, that great care is ecessary in the siting of any new development. It was not considered essential for your client to provide a rear garden, and the Council speck the view that if it were placed at the rear of the site the house yould stand in a better relationship to the surrounding buildings, though it was stated that they mould be prepared to allow the siting Ithough it was stated that they would be prepared to allow the siting d be 30 feet from the western boundary instead of 20 feet as stated a their notice refusing purmission.

The Minister has carefully considered the facts of this case, and sue raised between the parties is that of the position of the building on the appeal site. The Minister has, therefore, confined his consideration of the appeal to this issue.

iessrs. Goorman and Derrick,

Golicitors,

No. 1, Hare Court,

Temple, LONDON, E.C.4.

he Minister finds that the appellant's objections to a bring of the house at the rear of the plot are reasonable, at no sufficient reason has been given for overriding them at no sufficient reason has been given for overriding them has however that it would be reasonable for both the house a garage to heep to a building line 40 feet from the middle by Walk.

coordingly, the Minister has decided to allow the appeal and eby grants rermission for the erection of a detached two dwelling house and private garage on Plot 3, being part of te of "Moreton", Holly Walk, Hampstead, and the formation of te of the highway, subject to the following conditions:-

the said dwelling house and garage shall not extend beyong line 40 feet from the middle of Holly Walk.

the submission to and approval by the London County Council, or by the Minister in the case of disagreement, prior to the commencement of work on the site, of detailed drawings showing the layout plans and elevations of the roposed buildings.

this letter, which is issued as the Minister's formal decision appeal, does not purport to convey any consent or approval may be required under any bye-law or under any Act other than wn and Country Planning Act, 1947.

I am, Gentlemen, Your obedient Bervant,

(Sgna.) H. F. SUMMERS.

Authorised by the Minister to sign in that behalf.