Delegated Report (Members Briefing)		ort	Analysis sheet N/A		Expiry Date:	02/10/2006				
		N			Consultation Expiry Date:	12/09/2006				
Officer				Application Nu	mber(s)					
Matthew Durling				2006/3157/P						
Application Address				Drawing Numbers						
36 Priory Road London NW6 4SJ				Refer to draft decision notice.						
PO 3/4	Area Team	Signature	C&UD	Authorised Offi	cer Signature	Date				
Proposal(s)										
Erection of (1.8m high) privacy screens and (1.1m high) railing, plus replacement of two windows with French doors to facilitate the use of the flat roof of the single-storey extension as a terrace as a revision to planning permission granted on 02/08/2004 (ref: 2003/3607/P) for change of use of the existing 2-bedroom self-contained flat on the ground floor to 2 x 1-bedroom self-contained flats, together with the erection of a single-storey rear extension.										
Recommendation(s): Grant conditional permission										

Full Planning Permission

Application Type:

Conditions or Reasons for Refusal:	Refer to Draft Decision Notice								
Informatives:									
Consultations									
Adjoining Occupiers:	No. notified	36	No. of responses	05	No. of objections	01			
Summary of consultation responses:	The occupiers raised objection The roof to the Response: The habitable room that from the unlikely to interpresence of received the extension enclosure to reconservation. The properconservation enclosure to reconservation enclosure to reconservation. The properconservation enclosure to reconservation enclosure to reconservation. The propercies and walls; Response: The It is not possible considered the and would not enclosure to reconsidered the and would not enclosure to reconsidered the and would not enclosure to reconsidered the and would not enclosure. The The propercies with Response: The The propercies with Response: The The 1999 applicant was Response: We granted 19/07. This is not an	s of the on on the errace was existing crease was existing crease will no neighbor on area of the office of the existing trespect about to exist a specific exist is no applicate withdrawith the exist of a specific exist of a specific a specific exist of a specific a specific exist of a	Il will be unsightly and recy screens which add to result in a significant learning properties not in keeping with a; osal is of a satisfactory ne property and the constraces are set back from the set it back from 38 Prior tweight' screening would be the appearance of the screening development' at a material planning contion which included a receipt screen which is screen whic	d noise of overlanding of the structure	and 34B Priory Road disturbance; looking of windows swill not be made worst the flat roof as a tend existing levels given ight into the adjoining and height, but not do light or heightened set boundaries with adather than substantial author that it is a seme appropriate in this of the appropriate in this of the appropriate in this of the appropriate in the party. The would be considered a high rate of the property of the prop	serving se than race is ten the flats; epth to ense of or the aracter ljoining al brick of Road. It is context sure of d on its ansient ours; by the on was			
CAAC/Local groups comments:	None consulted.								

Site Description

The application site which comprises ground and three upper floors is a semi-detached Victorian property located on the east side of Priory Road close to its junction with Abbot's Place. The site is within the Priory Road Conservation Area. The building is not listed.

Relevant History

<u>02/08/2004:</u> Planning permission granted (reference 2003/3607/P) for change of use of the existing 2-bedroom self-contained flat on the ground floor to 2 x 1-bedroom self-contained flats, together with the erection of a single storey rear extension.

<u>19/07/1999</u>: Planning permission granted (reference PW9902285/) for the erection of a two storey rear extension.

<u>08/03/1996</u>: Planning permission refused (reference 9501709) for the erection of a single storey rear extension to ground floor flat and formation of vehicle access and car hardstanding at front.

Relevant policies

Set out below are the UDP policies that the proposals have primarily been assessed against, together with officers' view as to whether or not each policy listed has been complied with. However it should be noted that recommendations are based on assessment of the proposals against the development plan taken as a whole together with other material considerations.

London Borough of Camden adopted UDP 2006

S1/S2 Sustainable development

SD6 Amenity of occupiers and neighbours

B1 General design principles

B3 Alterations and extensions

B7 Conservation areas

Supplementary Planning Guidance (2002) and (2006)

Roofs and terraces

Assessment

Proposal:

Planning permission was granted in 2004 for the erection of a single storey rear extension, and change of use of the ground floor level accommodation from one unit to two. This current application is for works to facilitate the flat roof of the single-storey extension as a roof terrace and comprises;

- The erection of (1.1m high) railings plus (1.8m high) privacy screens on the south and north edge of the flat roof of the single-storey extension to facilitate its use as a terrace;
- The replacement of a sash window with French doors at first floor level on the rear elevation to facilitate
 access to the roof terrace.

Revisions:

• The replacement of a further sash window with French doors at first floor level on the rear elevation and the erection of a further privacy screen in the centre of the terrace to divide it into two.

Assessment:

The main issues requiring assessment include the impact of the proposal on the amenity of neighbouring properties, the impact of the proposals on the character and appearance of the property and also the Priory Road Conservation Area.

As part of the assessment of the earlier application (reference 2003/3607/P), the single storey extension was

found to cause no material harm to the amenity of either of the adjoining occupiers. It is considered that the erection of an 1800mm rendered privacy screen to both side elevations is also acceptable and will not cause harm to the amenity of these occupiers. The extension and privacy screen are sufficiently set back from the boundary with 34 Priory Road to prevent any significant loss of light or outlook to this property. The privacy screen on the northern elevation will project 3.0m along the boundary with 38 Priory Road. As there is to be no increase in the depth from that approved there will no significant loss of light or outlook to the 38 Priory Road. The screens will ensure there is no overlooking of habitable room windows to either of the adjoining occupiers. The rear garden areas are already overlooked by existing terraces and windows, and it is not considered that this proposal would exacerbate the situation.

Given that the first floor of the property is in use as a HMO, the application has been revised and a further screen erected in the centre of the terrace to protect the amenities of the residents and to prevent overlooking and loss of privacy between rooms. The provision of outdoor amenity space is welcomed for this accommodation.

There are a number of roof terraces (and gardens) in the vicinity of the application site, including to the adjoining property at 34 Priory Road. It is therefore considered that the proposed development would not increase levels of noise and disturbance beyond existing levels or significantly enough to justify refusal on amenity grounds. There is no evidence to suggest that noise and disturbance will be above and beyond that normally associated with the domestic use of the dwelling. It should be noted that in the event that excessive noise and disturbance were to occur Environmental Health have the right to intervene if it is causing a statutory nuisance.

In design terms, the proposal is considered to be acceptable. The privacy screens will be rendered white to match the single storey extension and will complement the host building and adjoining property. It is felt that more 'lightweight' screening (i.e. glass) would contribute to visual clutter on the rear elevation and would be inappropriate in this context. The erection of a 1100mm high railing to the rear elevation is also considered to be acceptable and will ensure the development is not visually intrusive and does not have an overbearing impact on the original building. The roof terrace will not be visible from the public realm and as such would cause no harm to the streetscene. It would not be detrimental to the character or appearance of the conservation area. The proposal to replace two windows with French doors is also considered to be acceptable, particularly given the existing window openings will be largely retained to respect the rear elevation of the property. It is recommended that a condition is added to ensure that the replacement doors are timber-framed to match the existing timber sash windows.

Recommend: Approval.

Disclaimer

This is an internet copy for information purposes. If you require a copy of the signed original please contact the Culture and Environment Department on (020) 7974 5613