Delegated Report			Analysis sheet		<b>Expiry Date:</b>		06/11/2006			
Delegated Neport			N/A							
(Members Briefing)			14/71	•		tion ate:	11/10/2006			
Officer				Application I	Application Number(s)					
John Carter				2006/3979/P	2006/3979/P					
Application Address				Drawing Nun	Drawing Numbers					
2 Holly Lodge Gardens London N6 6AA				See decision	ision					
PO 3/4 Area Team Signate		m Signature	C&UD	Authorised C	Authorised Officer Signature Date					
Proposal(s)										
Erection of side and rear dormer windows to roof of dwellinghouse.										
Recommendation(s): Gran		Grant Plani	rant Planning Permission							
Application Type: Ful		Full Planni	Full Planning Permission							
Conditions or Reasons for Refusal:		Refer to Draft Decision Notice								
Informatives:										
Consultations										
<b>Adjoining Occ</b>	upiers:	No. notified	11	No. of responses	02	No. of ob	jections	01		
Summary of consultation responses:	onsultation  The size and design of the proposed side dormer on balance is considered									
CAAC/Local grownents: *Please Specify		Holly Lodge Conservation Area Advisory Committee  No objection to the side and rear dormers. We maintain the view that the front facing velux windows detract from the streetscape.  Officer's comment  The front facing conservation style rooflight would constitute 'permitted development' and as such cannot be considered as part of this application.								

### **Site Description**

The property is a two story detached dwelling located within the Holly Lodge Estate Conservation Area. The dwelling is located in a prominent position near the intersection with Highgate West Hill.

# **Relevant History**

**2006/2413/P** - Erection of front, side and rear dormer windows to roof of house. – Refused for the following reason:

The proposed side and front dormer windows, by reason of their size, bulk, design, and location within the roof plane would be detrimental to the character of the dwelling and the surrounding conservation area contrary to policies B1 (General Design Principles), B3 (Alterations and Extensions) and B7 (Conservation Areas) of the London Borough of Camden Replacement Unitary Development Plan 2006 and supporting advice contained within the Supplementary Planning Guidance 2002 and the Holly Lodge Estate Conservation Area Statement.

#### **Relevant policies**

Set out below are the UDP policies that the proposals have primarily been assessed against, together with officers' view as to whether or not each policy listed has been complied with. However it should be noted that recommendations are based on assessment of the proposals against the development plan taken as a whole together with other material considerations.

## Replacement Unitary Development Plan 2006

B1 – General design principles

B3 – Alterations and extensions

B7 – Conservation Areas

SD6 – Amenity for occupiers and neighbours

#### **SPG - 2002**

Roofs and terraces section 2.8

The Holly Lodge Estate Conservation Area Statement

#### **Assessment**

The applicant proposes the following alterations to the roof of the dwelling associated with a loft conversion:

- The erection of a rear dormer window. The dormer window is proposed to be 2.0m in width, extending 2.0m from the roof plane and have a maximum height of 2.0m with a pitched roof.
- The erection of a side dormer window. The dormer window is 2.0m wide, extends 1.6m from the plane of the roof and has a length of 4.0m.

The proposed rear dormer window is of an appropriate size and location within the roof plane and is considered to be acceptable.

The side dormer window differs from that previously refused by Council in that it smaller in height and length and that it does not form an extension to the existing fenestration to the side of the dwelling.

It is considered that the proposed side dormer is acceptable. There are a number of similar dormers in the conservation area and the proposal is not considered to be inconsistent with the character of the conservation area. The proposed side dormer window complies with Council's Supplementary Planning Guidance. In particular the dormer window is set back from the wall of the dwelling and a 500mm gap is maintained between the dormer and the ridge. Overall, the dormer is considered to be acceptable.

It is recommended that planning permissior	ı be	aranted.
--	------	----------

# **Disclaimer**

This is an internet copy for information purposes. If you require a copy of the signed original please contact the Culture and Environment Department on (020) 7974 5613