Delegated Report		Analysis sheet		Expiry Date	o1/11/2006		
(Members Briefing)		N/A / attached		Consultation	06/10/2006		
Officer			Application Nu				
Cassie Plumridge			2006/3915/P	2006/3915/P			
Application Address			Drawing Numb	Drawing Numbers			
33 Arkwright Road London NW3 6BJ			2006/1007/2of7; 2 2006/1007/12.				
PO 3/4 Area Tea	m Signature	C&UD	Authorised Off	icer Signati	ure Date	e :	
Proposal(s)							
Alterations to the front elevation to convert the existing ground floor garage doors to two new windows, in association with a new basement level, to provide additional accommodation for the existing ground floor flat.							
Recommendation(s):	Grant						
Application Type:		Pormies	rion				
Conditions:	Full Planning Permission						
Informatives:	Refer to Draft Decision Notice						
Consultations							
Adjoining Occupiers:	No. notified	09	No. of responses	04 No.	of objections	02	
Summary of consultation responses:	A letter of support was received from 29 Arkwright Road.						
	The Heath and Hampstead Society objected to the application, and in summary raised the following concerns:						
		wing conce	51113.				
		ŭ	d of the building line is	unacceptable).		
	The extens	ion forward		•		ation.	
	The extens Response:	ion forward This aspe	d of the building line is	een removed		ation.	
	The extens Response:The design Response:	ion forward This aspe of the win The desi	d of the building line is ect of the scheme has b	een removed c. s been amend	d from the applic		
CAAC/Local groups* comments:	 The extens Response: The design Response: layout and 	ion forward This aspe of the win The designierarchy of	d of the building line is ect of the scheme has be dows in unsympathetic gn of the windows was	een removed c. s been amend on this eleva	d from the applic ded to better refl ation.	ect the	
•	 The extens Response: The design Response: layout and The Redingtor the following contacts: 	This aspe of the win The designing the desig	d of the building line is ect of the scheme has be down in unsympathetic gn of the windows was of the existing windows	eeen removed c. s been amend on this eleva application, a	d from the applic ded to better refl ation. and in summary	ect the raised	
comments:	 The extens Response: The design Response: layout and The Redingtor the following co	This aspe of the win The designation of the designation of the scheme of	d of the building line is ect of the scheme has be dows in unsympathetic gn of the windows was of the existing windows CAAC objected to the	been removed been amend on this eleval application, a to the design	d from the appliced ded to better reflation. and in summary of the host build	ect the raised ding.	

Site Description

The subject site is located on the southeast side of Arkwright Road and is subdivided into three flats. The site falls within the Redington/Frognal Conservation Area and is identified in the Conservation Area Statement as making a positive contribution to the Conservation area. The front of the building has already been extended to provide a garage and has decorative coach doors; the building may have originally included an additional canted bay of proportional size in this location similar to the existing bay on this elevation.

Relevant History

None relevant to this application.

Relevant policies

Set out below are the UDP policies that the proposals have primarily been assessed against, together with officers' view as to whether or not each policy listed has been complied with. However it should be noted that recommendations are based on assessment of the proposals against the development plan taken as a whole together with other material considerations.

Camden's Revised Replacement Unitary Development Plan 2004:

- S1 & S2 Strategic Policy on Sustainable Development
- SD1 Quality of Life
- SD6 Amenity of Occupiers and Neighbours
- B1 General Design Principles
- B3 Alterations & Extensions
- B7 Conservation Areas

Redington / Frognal Conservation Area Statement

Supplementary Planning Guidance

Assessment

Revisions:

The application as originally submitted showed an increase in the depth of building, further beyond the existing bay window on the facade, and windows that were wider and squatter in proportion. Amended plans were submitted on 23/10/2006 omitting the extension of the building and the windows were altered in dimension to better reflect the proportions others on the elevation.

Proposal:

The subject application seeks planning permission to allow for the installation of a new window to the front elevation to replace an existing garage doors.

Discussion:

The proposed alterations to the front elevation would remove the existing coach doors and rebuild the front elevation with stock brick and timber sash windows to match existing. The proposed new windows exhibit characteristics of the existing windows (utilising a sash frame the similar in proportion to other window on this elevation) and reflect the layout of the window above.

The works are located at ground floor level and would not adversely impact on the adjacent properties with regard to access to sunlight, daylight, or sense of enclosure, and thus is considered to be consistent with Policy SD6 of the UDP.

The proposed basement would be provided with good internal amenity, having a floor area of 11m²; generally consistent with the SPG. Whilst the SPG requires a room of this size to have a glazed window of 1.1m², and the proposed window has an area of 0.95m², this is considered to be minimal difference, and on balance given the layout of the window on the front façade the proportions of the window are considered acceptable.

The front garden are of the site is completely paved, and as such there are more than adequate opportunities for on-site car parking. It is considered that the proposed scheme would not adversely impact on the surrounding traffic network.

The proposal works are considered to be respectful of the character and appearance of the building, unobtrusive in the surrounds and in no way detrimental to the amenity of the conservation area or surrounding properties, and thus is considered to have appropriate regard for relevant policies of the Replacement UDP (S1, S2, SD1, SD6, B1, B3 and B7).

Recommendation: Approve.

Disclaimer

This is an internet copy for information purposes. If you require a copy of the signed original please contact the Culture and Environment Department on (020) 7974 5613