7 Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank Chun Kit Chu from MP3 Consulting, and WSP Environmental for both their help on site and in providing information. Thanks are also due the English Heritage Local Authority advisor for the site, Diane Walls.

8 Bibliography

Museum of London Archaeology Service, 2003 Archaeological Impact Assessment

Museum of London Archaeology Service, 2006 method Statement for an Archaeological Evaluation

Corporation of London Department of Planning and Transportation, 2004 Planning Advice Note 3: Archaeology in the City of London, Archaeology Guidance, London

Cultural Heritage Committee of the Council of Europe, 2000 Code of Good Practice On Archaeological Heritage in Urban Development Policies; adopted at the 15th plenary session in Strasbourg on 8-10 March 2000 (CC-PAT [99] 18 rev 3)

Department of the Environment, 1990 Planning Policy Guidance 16, Archaeology and Planning

English Heritage, 1991 Exploring Our Past, Strategies for the Archaeology of England

English Heritage, May 1998 Capital Archaeology. Strategies for sustaining the historic legacy of a world city

English Heritage, 1991 Management of Archaeological Projects (MAP2)

English Heritage Greater London Archaeology Advisory Service, June 1998 Archaeological Guidance Papers 1-5

English Heritage Greater London Archaeology Advisory Service, May 1999 Archaeological Guidance Papers 6

Institute of Field Archaeologists, (IFA), 2001 By-Laws, Standards and Policy Statements of the Institute of Field Archaeologists, (rev. 2001), Standard and guidance: field evaluation

Institute of Field Archaeologists (IFA), supplement 2001, By-Laws, Standards and Policy Statements of the Institute of Field Archaeologists: Standards and guidance – the collection, documentation conservation and research of archaeological materials

Museum of London, 1994 Archaeological Site Manual 3rd edition

6.5 Method statements

6.5.1 Building material

6.5.1.1 Finds analysis/investigation

Data from this assessment can be incorporated in any publication report without further specialist input.

6.5.1.2 Work required for illustration/photography

- 1. Stone with classical moulding (photograph)
- 2. Terracotta capital (photograph)

Time required: consult photographic department

6.5.1.3 Preparation for deposition in the Archive

The retained material is ready for deposition in the Archive and no further work is required in this connection.

Museum of London, 2002 A research framework for London archaeology 2002

Schofield, J, with Maloney, C, (eds), 1998 Archaeology in the City of London 1907-1991: a guide to records of excavations by the Museum of London and its predecessors, Archaeol Gazetteer Ser Vol 1, London

Thompson, A, Westman A, and Dyson, T (eds), 1998 Archaeology in Greater London 1965-90: a guide to records of excavations by the Museum of London, Archaeol Gazetteer Ser Vol 2, London

Site coordinates	TQ 28945 82292 51.5243074473 -0.141102124294 51 31 27 N 000 08 27 W Point
Height OD	Min: 24.21m Max: 27.46m
Project creators	
Name of Organisation	MoLAS
Project brief originator	Local Authority Archaeologist and/or Planning Authority/advisory body
Project design originator	MoLAS
Project director/manager	Ros Aitken
Project supervisor	Alex Cetera
Name of sponsor/funding body	British Land
Project archives	
Physical Archive recipient	LAARC
Physical Contents	'Worked bone'
Paper Contents	"Worked stone/lithics"
Paper Media available	'Notebook - Excavation',' Research',' General Notes','Plan','Report'
Project bibliography 1	
Publication type	Grey literature (unpublished document/manuscript)
Title	Regent's Place, Osnaburgh Street
	I and the second

9 NMR OASIS archaeological report form

9.1 OASIS ID: molas1-22949	
Project details	
Project name	Regent's Place, Osnaburgh Street
Short description of the project	Evaluation/geotech monitoring combined. Natural Brickearth found at 27.43mOD, natural gravelsat 25.53
Project dates	Start: 21-11-2006 End: 08-12-2006
Previous/future work	No / No
Any associated project reference codes	EOL06 - Sitecode
Type of project	Field evaluation
Methods & techniques	'Test Pits'
Development type	Urban commercial (e.g. offices, shops, banks, etc.)
Prompt	Direction from Local Planning Authority - PPG16
Position in the planning process	After full determination (eg. As a condition)
Project location	
Country	England
Site location	GREATER LONDON CAMDEN CAMDEN Regent's Place, Osnaburgh Street
Postcode	NW1
Study area	1.08 Hectares