Delegate	ed Re	port	Analysis sheet		Expiry	Date:	21/03/2	007	
(Members Briefing)			N/A / attached		Expiry	ultation / Date:	02/03/2	007	
Officer				Application N	umber(	s)			
Cassie Plumridge				2006/5604/P	2006/5604/P				
Application Address				<b>Drawing Num</b>	Drawing Numbers				
8 Holford Road London NW3 1AD				See decision no	See decision notice.				
PO 3/4 Area Tea		m Signature	C&UD	Authorised O	Authorised Officer Signature				
Proposal(s)									
Alterations and additions to the single dwelling house including a lower ground floor extension, below garden level, to the rear and erection of a single storey rear extension on the upper ground floor level.									
Recommendation(s):		Grant Full Planning Permission							
Application Type:		Full Planning Permission							
Conditions: Informatives:		Refer to Draft Decision Notice							
Consultations	c								
Adjoining Occupiers:		No. notified	71	No. of responses No. electronic	04 00	No. of ob	jections	00	
Summary of consultation responses:		A letter of support was received from <b>7 Holford Road</b> .							
		9 Holford Road advised that they had no comments on the application.							
CAAC/Local grocomments: *Please Specify	ents: A comment was received from the Hampstead CAAC highlighting concerns								

# **Site Description**

The subject site falls within the Hampstead Conservation Area and is identified as making a positive contribution to the conservation area. The site slopes down from west to east so that the ground floor at the front / street scene appears as the basement room at the rear. The subject site and surrounding properties accommodate a part 4 / part 3 storey building known as High Close (accommodating properties nos. 7, 8 and 9 Holford Road). Nos. 7 and 8 each have 2 on-site car parking spaces within the basement under no. 9. No. 9 has a rear basement extension that accommodates a pool. See recent history for further details.

English Heritage (GLAAS) raised no objection to the proposed scheme.

the assessment section of the report.

# **Relevant History**

Planning permission PW9902633 granted on 06/12/1999 for 'the change of use from a nursing home for the elderly (Class C2) to 3 single family dwelling houses, and associated works of alteration including extensions at the rear on all floors, the replacement of the existing 2-storey side extension and the provision of underground car parking for 8 cars'. This decision did not remove the properties rights to on-street car parking permits. Nos. 7 and 8 have 2 on-site car parking spaces within the basement under no. 9.

# **Relevant policies**

Set out below are the UDP policies that the proposals have primarily been assessed against, together with officers' view as to whether or not each policy listed has been complied with. However it should be noted that recommendations are based on assessment of the proposals against the development plan taken as a whole together with other material considerations.

#### Camden's Revised Replacement Unitary Development Plan 2004

- S1 & S2 Strategic Policy on Sustainable Development
- SD1 Quality of Life
- SD6 Amenity for Occupiers & Neighbours
- SD7 light, noise and vibration pollution
- SD8A Disturbance from plant and machinery
- SD9B Resources and Energy (Water)
- B1 General Design Principles
- B3 Alterations & Extensions
- B7 Conservation Areas
- N5 Biodiversity
- N8 Ancient Woodlands and Trees

# **Hampstead Conservation Area Statement**

**Camden Planning Guidance 2006** 

## **Assessment**

#### PROPOSAL:

The subject application seeks planning permission for alterations and additions to the single dwelling house including a lower ground floor rear extension, below garden level, to the rear and erection of a single storey rear extension on the upper ground floor level.

It is noted that there is a concurrent application for the adjoining property, nos. 7 & 7A Holford Road (reference 2006/5602/P), for a similar extension to this property.

## **ASSESSMENT:**

# Landscaping:

- The proposal is for the construction of the underground basement including a pool, which involves the removal of T8 an Olive T7 Eucalyptus and Grp2 a Hornbeam hedge. The removal of the Eucalyptus is considered to be acceptable as the tree is a poor specimen and is not considered to contribute to the character and amenity of the area. The removal of the other trees is considered to be acceptable as none of these trees has particular amenity value or contributes to the character/appearance of the Conservation Area and can be replaced.
- The proposals have included a margin of c.2.5m at the rear of the garden for tree planting. This area includes an existing Snake Bark Maple (T9) which it is intended to retain. This is considered to be acceptable and provides the opportunity for at least a further replacement tree to contribute to the character and amenity of the area along with planting to enhance the biodiversity value of the site. The construction of the underground facilities takes up the remainder of the area of the garden.
- No margins are provided along the flanking boundary with no. 7. In this case it is considered to be acceptable due to the size of the garden and the relatively wide margin being provided at the end of the garden. Also there is no impact on trees in neighbouring gardens. Some subsidiary planting has been indicated on the margins however this is in planters. A sufficient proportion of the garden is provided as a turf roof to the underground construction (there is no significant change to the existing proportion of planted to hard surface area).
- Detailed attention has been given to a sustainable drainage system which shows how local rainfall will be
  directed from hard surfaces into the drainage system of the green roof and into the planting margin at the
  rear of the garden. These proposals are considered to be sufficient. It is also proposed to use rainwater
  harvesting from the roof surfaces of the building to provide irrigation to the green roof planting.
- It is considered that planning permission should be conditional on the submission and approval of hard and soft landscape details for the rear garden to ensure that replacement tree planting is carried out and that planting contributes to the biodiversity of the area. A condition shall also request details of the protection of the Snake Bark Maple during construction.

#### **Alterations and Additions:**

- The application seeks permission for alterations and additions to the single dwelling house including a lower ground floor rear extension, below garden level, to the rear. This new extension would accommodate a pool and hot tub, sauna / steam room, change room with WC, plant room, utility room, store, cinema and games rooms, and gym. A light well is provided which would service the gym and pool / hot tube area and a staircase from the garden level down into the basement. The scheme also includes the erection of a rear single storey conservatory extension which would be accessed from the existing dining area.
- Whilst it is acknowledged that in some instances rear additions can have an adverse impact upon the character and appearance of buildings, it is considered the proposed works have been appropriately sited and design to have a minimal impact on the integrity of the host building and the wider surrounding area.
  - The conservatory extension would have a depth of 6 metres, and would protrude 2 metres beyond the projecting wing of the building. The depth of the proposed extension matches the depth of the proposed extension to no. 7 (reference 2006/5602/P). The depth of the addition is considered acceptable given the staggered rear building line of the host building and adjoining properties.

The addition would present as a light weight, modest addition that would be provided with glazing along the rear elevation and French doors on the internal side elevation providing access onto the side patio. Windows will be timber framed to match the existing conditions. The height of the windows matches those

on the existing rear façade complementing the existing layout of windows and openings on the rear elevation. The addition would have a solid roof with velux windows adjacent to the existing rear façade to ensure good access to natural light to the existing rooms.

- The basement extension will generally not be visible from the surroundings, with the exception of the light
  well to the rear and skylights over the new pool. The light well services secondary rooms (gym and pool /
  hot tub area), and as such the access to daylight from these rooms is considered to be acceptable.
- The works would not adversely impact on the amenity of the adjacent properties with regard to access to sunlight, daylight, overlooking, visual bulk or sense of enclosure, and thus is considered to be consistent with Policy SD6 of the UDP.

The party wall would be increased to a height of 4 metres on the common boundary with no. 7. The rear elevation of no. 7 is only staggered slightly and has no windows which face the common boundary with no. 8. The windows of no. 7 would still be provided with sufficient outlook and access to daylight if this property were not extended as indicated in the concurrent application. It is noted that common boundary wall between the two properties is 2 metres in height. Therefore it is not considered necessary that the two developments (2006/5604/P at no. 8 and 2006/5602/P at nos. 7 & 7A) be built simultaneously in order to protect the amenity of the adjoining property at no. 7.

The extension is set away from the common boundary with no. 9 and therefore would not adversely impact on this property.

The scheme involves the addition of several plant areas at basement level with venting adjacent to the skylight to the pool in the rear garden near the common boundary with No. 7. In order to ensure that the plant will not result in adverse noise pollution to the surrounding properties, conditions will be placed on the permission requesting further details of attenuation in order to meet Council noise standards, as outlined in Appendix 1 of the RUDP.

• The proposal works are considered to be respectful of the character and appearance of the building, to preserve the character and appearance of the surrounding conservation area, to be unobtrusive in the surrounds and in no way detrimental to the amenity of surrounding properties.

The works are considered to have appropriate regard for relevant policies of the Replacement UDP 2006 (Policies S1, S2, SD1, SD6, SD7, SD8A, SD9, B1, B3, B7, N5 and N8).

Recommendation: Grant Full Planning Permission, subject to conditions.

# **Disclaimer**

This is an internet copy for information purposes. If you require a copy of the signed original please contact the Culture and Environment Department on (020) 7974 5613