



Standardhomes Property Co Ltd
Synonymous with Quality
Property Letting, Sales & Management Services

7 Colville Place
Charlotte Street
London W1T 2BJ
Telephone +44 (0) 20 7436 5831
Facsimile +44 (0) 20 7436 9805
Mobile 07785 994876

2nd November 2006

TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN

**PROPERTY: GROUND FLOOR LOCK UP SHOP, 61 MARCHMONT STREET,
LONDON WC1**

This is to confirm that we have been marketing to let the aforementioned shop as retail A1 for approximately three years with no success. It is our opinion that the chances will be greater if the shop category change from A1 to A5. From our experience we strongly believe that there is no future for A1 shops in Marchmont Street. Marchmont Street is not at all a shopping street and we have been advising the owners accordingly.

Yours faithfully,
FOR STANDARDHOMES

K M MICHAELIDES

15 June 2007

25 JUN 2007

M.R.Daraie AA Dipl. RIBA
Chartered Architect
95 Colwith Road
London W6 9EZ

The Planning Officer
The Planning Department
London Borough of Camden
The Town Hall
Argyle Street
London WC1 H 8ND

1/11/ 13/06/07 -S

For the attention of Mr. Tom Webster

Dear Sirs,

Re.: 61 Marchmont Street London WC1 1AP
Application Ref:2007/2724 Associated Ref: 2006/5582

Further to your letter dated 13 June 2007, please note the following:
Effectively, this application is a continuation / re submission of our previous application ref: 2006/5582 which was withdrawn to separate the rear extension proposal from the change of use. I would therefore, request that this application, once registered complete, be allocated to the Planning Officer who dealt with application Ref: 2006/5582, Ms. Elaine Quigley. The reason is to avoid repeating ground already covered during the course of the previous application , such as site visits and some of the matters raised in your letter, to which I respond as follows:

- Proposed floor plans : The existing and proposed plans are identical except for the connection of an extract hood to the chimney, there are no physical changes to the fabric or internal partitioning of the building. I have attached 5 copies of a notional proposed plan, Drg. No. 21, as requested. The layout of furniture and equipment is notional and subject to specialist design and consultation.
- The hours of use was a matter for discussion with Ms Quigley in the previous application, and I understand that the Planning Department would set acceptable opening hours as a condition to the application. Opening hours desired by my client would be 8.00 am to 8.00 pm. Monday to Saturday . However, as stated above , these are to be finalised through discussions with the Planning Department.
- Means of refuse disposal would be as existing. Refuse bins would be stored within the premises (existing cupboard below stairs to first floor, additional storage available below stairs to lower ground floor) The bins would be put out on the street on the day of collection.
- There will be no tables and chairs on the highway.

- Please find attached a sketch section through chimney as requested .(Drawing No. 22.)
- The discharge terminals have been referred to in our “ extract ventilation “ report, copy attached , highlighted.

Finally, our application 2006/5582 was considered complete with the same amount of information provided with this resubmission of a withdrawn application. I trust that you now find our application as complete.

Many thanks for your cooperation in this matter.

Yours faithfully,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "M. R. Daraie", is written over a horizontal line.

M.R. Daraie

61 Marchmont Street London WC1

21 May 2007

Extract Ventilation

We have sought the advice of a specialist mechanical engineering company regarding the extraction system for the above premises.

We set out below their recommendations:

1. The extract ventilation system is for removing the waste heat and odours from ovens for pie baking and re-heating. No frying will be taking place and the extract is not for the removal of fat-laden vitiated air.
2. To utilise two of the existing chimney stacks and to line the chimneys with a cements-based liner. A pumice liner is inserted into the chimney and a cement base agent is pumped to form the walls of the chimney. The area of the chimney would be greater than using a metal flue liner. This will provide two chimney stacks with an internal diameter of 200 mm each.
3. Given this, and maximum design velocities, the two chimneys will provide for a maximum air-flow rate of 690 M³/h. With a shop volume of 100 M³. This method of ventilation would provide in excess of 6.9 air changes per hour.
4. To effectively remove the vitiated air and cooking odour an extract hood would be utilized. The maximum size of hood, based on minimum face velocity would be approximately 700 x 1200. This may allow the use of two small commercial ovens. Should additional ventilation be required, a third chimney stack is available and may be used.
5. There are at least two options for the type of discharge terminal at roof level: a.) installation of louvers in the brick elevation of the stack; b.) **proprietary chimney pot style terminals**. We propose to use the latter, provided one resembling the existing chimney pot style can be found.
6. The extract fan will be housed at high level in the roof void to increase the efficiency of air flow through the stacks. The fan will be substantially attenuated so that it does not increase ambient noise levels.

We trust that the foregoing is satisfactory for the progress of this application at this stage. Clearly a full mechanical engineering design would be procured at the detail design stage and prior to construction.

RECEIVED
25 JUN 2007