

ENVIRONMENT DEPARTMENT

(Ref:DE/Let/01/250393)

15 Ravenshaw Street

Planzing, Transport and Health Service

Head of Francing, Transport and Health Service . Richard Rawes BA Hons . MICE C.Eng Dip TE

Camden Town Hall Argyle Street Entrance Euston Road London WC1H 8EQ

Tel 07] - 278 4444 Fax 071 - 860 5556

Our Reference: PL/9200445/R2

Case File No: F3/7/G

Tel.Inqu:

Date:

Mary Samuel ext. 2527

__1. JUN 1993

Dear Sir(s)/Madam,

Douglas Evans

LONDON NW6 1NP

Town and Country Planning Act 1990
Town and Country Planning General Development Order 1988 (as amended)
Town and Country Planning (Applications) Regulations 1988

Permission for Development

The Council, in pursuance of its powers under the above-mentioned Act and Orders and Regulations made thereunder, hereby permits the development referred to in the undermentioned Schedule subject to the conditions set out therein and in accordance with the plans submitted, save insofar as may otherwise be required by the said conditions.

Your attention is drawn to the Appeal Rights and other information at the end of this letter.

SCHEDULE

Date of Original Application: 30th April 1992

Address: 55 Hillfield Road, NW6

Proposal: Alterations to the flat roof of the rear extension to provide a roof terrace for the first floor maisonette,

provide a roof terrace for the first floor maisonette, together with the retention of an access door, as shown on drawing no(s) VJW/02/B, 03/B, 04/A as

revised on 26.10.92 and 22.02.93

Standard Condition:

1. The development hereby permitted must be begun not later than the expiration of five years from the date of this permission.

Reason for Standard Condition:

1. In order to comply with the provisions of Section 91 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.

Additional Condition(s):

01 The extent of the roof terrace shall be limited to the area shown on drawing number VJW//04A hereby approved.

02 The timber close boarded screen and trellis shall be provided before th use of the flat roof as a terrace commences and permanently maintained thereafter.



ENVIRONMENT DEPARTMENT

Planning, Transport and Health Service

Head of Planning, Transport and Health Service . Richard Rawes GA Hons . MICE C.Eng Dip TE

London Borough of Camden Camden Town Hall Argyle Street Entrance **Euston Road** London WC1H 8EQ

Tel 071 - 278 4444 Fax 071 - 860 555

(Cont.) (Our Reference: PL/9200445/R2) (Case File No: F3/7/G

Reason(s) for Additional Condition(s):

Ol In order to prevent the unreasonable overlooking of adjoining properties to the detriment of their amenities.

Ol In order to prevent the unreasonable overlooking of adjoining properties to the detriment of their amenities.

Head of Planning, Transport & Health Services (Duly authorised by the Council to sign this document)

STATEMENT OF APPLICANTS RIGHTS ARISING FROM REFUSAL OF PLANNING PERMISSION OR GRANT OF PERMISSION SUBJECT TO CONDITIONS.

Appeals to the Secretary of State.

If you are aggrieved by the decision of your local planning authority to refuse permission for the proposed development or to grant it subject to conditions, then you may appeal to the Secretary of State for the Environment under Section 78 of the Town and Country Planning If you want to appeal then you must do so within six months of the date of this notice, using a form which you can get from the Department of the Environment at Tollgate House, Houlton Street, Bristol BS2 9DJ. The Secretary of State can allow a longer period for giving notice of an appeal, but he will not normally be prepared to use this power unless there are special circumstances which excuse the delay in giving notice of appeal.

The Secretary of State need not consider an appeal if it seems to him that the local planning authority could not have given planning permission for the proposed development or could not have granted it without the conditions it imposed, having regard to the statutory requirements, to the provisions of the development order and to any directions given under the order. In practice, the Secretary of State does not refuse to consider appeals solely because the local planning authority based its decision on a direction given by him.