Delegated Report		Analysis sheet		Expiry Date:	30/10/2009		
		N/A / attached		Consultation Expiry Date:	171/111/114		
Officer Victoria Fowlis		Application Nu 2009/2646/L	mber(s)				
VICIONA FOWNS			2009/2040/L				
Application Address 1 Wedderburn House Wedderburn Road Hampstead NW3 5QR				See decision letter.			
PO 3/4 Area Team Signature C&UD		Authorised Officer Signature					
Proposal(s)							
Installation of 4 CCTV cameras to cover front, side and rear elevations of grade II listed building.							
Recommendation(s): Refuse listed building of			g consent.	onsent.			
Application Type: Listed Buil		ilding Consent					
Conditions or Reasons for Refusal:		aft Decision Notice					
Informatives:							
Consultations							
Adjoining Occupiers:	No. notified	00	No. of responses No. electronic	00 No. of	objections	00	
	Site notice displayed – no responses.						
Summary of consultation responses:			•				
	Fitzjohns/Neth	Fitzjohns/Netherhall CAAC – no objection.					
CAAC/Local groups* comments: *Please Specify							

Site Description
Grade II listed red brick mansion block dating from 1884-85 by Horace Field. In the Fitzjohn's
Netherhall conservation area. Forms part of a group of early works by Field.
Polovent History
Relevant History Various, none directly relevant to this application.
Tanicae, mene and appreciation
Polovent policies
Relevant policies UDP 2006 – B6, listed buildings.
ODI 2000 – Do, iisted buildings.
Assessment
It is proposed to install five CCTV cameras on the building – one dome camera on the front elevation
and one on the side elevation, and three large cameras on the rear (south east) corner of the building,
at a height of 4m.
The camera on the front elevation will be located prominently on the main elevation of the building,
above the ground floor windows. Despite its relatively small size (140mm x 95mm), its position on the
front face of the building on an area of plain brickwork will ensure that it is highly visible.
Four further cameras are proposed on the side and rear elevations of the building, at high level. Three of these are "shoebox" type cameras, which are large in size (400mm x 140mm x 145mm) and will
have to be mounted on brackets. These will be positioned together in a cluster, and will be very
visible.
No institution has been presided for the installation of the correspond The position size and sixty of
No justification has been provided for the installation of the cameras. The position, size, and siting of the cameras and associated cabling will have a significant and detrimental impact on the appearance
and character of the building. The cumulative impact of a large number of cameras in one position
will create visual clutter, which will harm the special interest of the building. No justification has been
provided for the need for the cameras nor the number, type and position of them. To this effect, it is
considered that the proposal does not comply with policy B6 which seeks to ensure that proposals do
not harm the special interest of listed buildings, and as such refusal is recommended.
It is also stated in the application form that a sign will be erected but no details as to the appearance,
location, size or method of fixing of this have been provided, so its impact has not be considered here.
It should be noted that planning permission is also required for this proposal, and which has not been
It should be noted that planning permission is also required for this proposal, and which has not been submitted.

Disclaimer

This is an internet copy for information purposes. If you require a copy of the signed original please contact the Culture and Environment Department on (020) 7974 5613