Delegated Report		Analysis sheet		Expiry Date:	31/12/2009		
(Members Briefing)		N/A		Consultation Expiry Date:	10/12/2009		
Officer			Application N	umber(s)			
Jennifer Walsh			2009/5232/P				
Application Address			Drawing Numbers				
La Sainte Union Convent School Highgate Road London NW5 1RP			Please refer to draft decision notice				
PO 3/4 Area	i Team Signature	e C&UD	Authorised Of	ficer Signature			
Proposal(s)							
Installation of 30 illuminated bollards adjacent to paths within grounds of school (Class D1).							
Recommendation	(s): Grant plan	ning permissio	n				
Application Type: Full Plan		ning Permission					

Conditions or Reasons for Refusal:	Refer to Draft Decision Notice						
Informatives:							
Consultations							
Adjoining Occupiers:	No. notified	54	No. of responses	14	No. of objections	09	
Summary of consultation responses:	<ul> <li>During these diff bollards which will more surprising w</li> <li>There is already well after the pupi off well after sunri</li> <li>The bollards run to illuminate the ro- They are thoroug</li> <li><b>Brookfield Parl</b></li> <li>Some lights are off after 6pm at nie</li> <li><b>Brookfield Parl</b></li> <li>There is already school which are sproposed illuminating.</li> <li>Their bedroom b pollution after darl</li> <li>If the bollards cast that.</li> <li><b>Troftdown Roo</b></li> <li>Their garden is of low level bollards high security flood movement activat</li> <li>As a result there middle of the nigh They note that th illuminated from d existing lights?</li> <li>They object unle illuminated only from to 8pm when the spect of a strong the size will the they feel they are bollards be very b</li> <li>In this day and a those lights needs</li> <li>The school is clo- lights required?</li> <li><b>25 Croftdown Roo</b></li> <li>The school is clo- lights required?</li> </ul>	icult ecor itel tecor i be used ith the pla a lot of li ls have g se. ning para bad and r hly again <b>k, object</b> left on all ght. The <b>k, object</b> is significal switched ted bollar <b>rk, object</b> ar what c backs ont k in the e st light aff bad, object ar what c backs ont k in the e st light aff bad, object is signific and thes allights fixe ed. is signific t. e applical usk to 6p bas a plar of dust i school is posed re hen oper blards sh ct view of bas been e bollards sh ct view of bas been e bollards on the m re not ne right? ge enviros s to be cc bas be co bas bas be co bas bas be co bas bas be co bas bas be co bas bas be co bas bas be co bas bas bas bas bas bas co bas bas bas bas bas co bas bas bas bas bas co bas bas bas bas bas co bas bas bas bas co bas bas bas bas bas co bas bas bas bas bas co bas bas bas bas co bas bas bas bas bas co bas bas bas bas bas bas bas co bas bas bas bas bas bas bas bas bas bas	nst further light pollution of to the proposals on the linght at the school. Any y should not be left on all to the proposal on the int light pollution from the on all night (facing the ba- rds should be switched of to the proposal on the or where the illuminated ba- to the school and they are venings after school closs fecting the houses backin to the proposal on the side of the convent groun e are left on all night, inclu- ed to buildings, and these cant light pollution, waster in the details state that the om Monday to Friday only aning condition be imposed until 6pm Monday –Frida in use for open meetings equiring all the high level bollards are. After these hate only on a movement ould be of the stepped of the lamp. ct to the proposal on the given in the planning app s be? Will they be on the ain path already which st commental issues are para	why it is uring the ool buildir vays and aremain of ardens or on their so <b>e followin</b> filluminate inght. <b>followin</b> burglar/s ack of the <b>followin</b> boards are every cor- ing time. of <b>e followin</b> doards are every cor- ing time. of energ proposed ( - why ca- e are left e of energ proposed ( - why ca- e are left security li activated one type blication. e paths win ay on all e would b mount. A n the odd one type are a following a shine inf	necessary to install exp day. The situation is even buildings from before d on all night and are not in a Brookfield Park are por outh looking direction. <b>In grounds:</b> ed bollards should be s <b>g grounds:</b> security lights at the back in house). These and the e pupils go home. <b>In g grounds:</b> e or what they will be incerned that there will b is e school then they object <b>ing grounds:</b> ently the long E-W path ekends. There are also on at all times instead of an't they do this with the hig the bollard lights to b that lighting may be con- ghts may be continuous the security lights shoul mode. with a internal baffle whe ing grounds: thin the school grounds ing grounds: thin the school grounds ing the bollard lights to b that lighting may be con- ghts may be continuous the security lights shoul mode. with a internal baffle whe ing grounds: thin the school grounds ing the bollard lights to b that lighting may be con- ghts may be continuous the security lights shoul mode. with a internal baffle whe ing grounds: thin the school grounds is the difference of pow a loccasion so why are the ing grounds: the already disturbed by the set of the bedrooms in their e already disturbed by the set of the bedrooms in the school grounds in the school grounds is the bedrooms in the school grounds in the school grounds the security lights shoul the school grounds in the school grounds in	ven usk until turned sitioned witched ck of the he e light ect to s lit with several of being the ly be entinued sly ld be nich s? rds. roposed vering he extra house he	

	-
	<ul> <li>45 Croftdown Road, objects to the proposal on the following grounds: <ul> <li>Although the applicant states no trees will be affected, there is a very beautiful and vulnerable Cherry Tree in the line of the proposed bollards.</li> <li>This tree would be at risk is bollards and electricity cables were installed. There are other trees which would be similarly affected.</li> <li>The design and access statement incorrectly states that there is no artificial lighting with the grounds of the school. Floodlights were installed by the school in 2006, greatly affecting neighbouring houses in Croftdown Road and Brookfield Park. These floodlights already provide extensive illumination. Does this application replace the need for the floodlights?</li> <li>Can the design and Access Statement that the illumination will only be used from dusk to 6pm Monday to Friday be enforced?</li> <li>The area is under high illumination and light spill due to the density of the surrounding population – the school buildings and floodlights, the neighbouring houses and nearby street lights.</li> <li>Surely this is at odds to current planning and environmental concerns of CO2 emissions and unnecessary energy consumption.</li> </ul> </li> <li>27 St Albans Road objects and asks the following questions: <ul> <li>Where will they be installed?</li> <li>Where will they be installed?</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>What strength of illumination will the be?</li> <li>31 Croftdown Road commented on the application as follows: <ul> <li>No objection as long as they are switched off at night or screened from adjacent house windows. A current security lights is unscreened and so is both wasteful and annoying.</li> <li>31 Croftdown Road commented on the application as follows: <ul> <li>Illuminated bollards are likely to create a lot of light pollution after dark. They would be happy with the installation provided that they can be switched off after dark and also preferably outside school hours.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Flat 2, 17 Brookfield Park supports on the application: <ul> <li>They understand that the bollards are less than 1 metre high and they have no reason to object to them if this is the case.</li> </ul> </li> <li>17 Brookfield Park supports the application: <ul> <li>They guess that the application would be ok as far as it goes and if and only if the terms stated in the application are adhered to. i.e. the height of the bollards, the times when they are illuminated and that the light is directed downwards. This is particularly important.</li> </ul> </li> </ul></li></ul>
CAAC/Local groups comments:	<ul> <li>No response from Dartmouth Park CAAC has been received.</li> <li>Brookfield Park Residents Association (13 Brookfield Park) supports the application: <ul> <li>Having discussed the application with residents, most people are agreeable to the proposals as described i.e. Bollards no higher than 0.8m and lit by 18 watt bulbs.</li> <li>The school must adhere to the arrangements that these lights are switched off at 6pm or 8pm if there is a parents meeting for example.</li> <li>They must ensure that the lights are not left on all night since there is already a high level of illumination from the security lights which are on all night and shine directly into people's bedroom.</li> <li>There is concern that if the school does get permission they might decide to increase the height of the bollards.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>

### Site Description

The school was formerly used as a Roman Catholic Covent and was built c.1864. The site comprises several buildings, some of which are listed and the site is currently in use as a school (Class D1). The application site is located on the east side of the Highgate Road, between Croftdown Road to the south, Brookfield Park to the west and St Albans Road to the north. It falls within the Dartmouth Park Conservation Area.

### **Relevant History**

2008/0838/P: Installation of vehicle and pedestrian gates for secondary entrance at Croftdown Road. GRANTED 29/07/2008

**2008/5971/P:** Alterations to boundary wall including new gates and replacement of part of wall with metal railings on a brickwork base on the Croftdown Road boundary. **WITHDRAWN 21/07/2009** 

2008/6008/P: Retention of single storey temporary portacabin adjacent to the south boundary with Croftdown Road. REFUSED AND WARNING OF ENFORCEMENT ACTION TO BE TAKEN

### Relevant policies

London Borough of Camden Replacement UDP (2006)

SD6 – Amenity for occupiers and neighbours

- SD7 Light pollution
- B1 General design principles
- B3 Alterations and extensions
- B6 Listed Buildings

B7 – Conservation areas

Camden Planning Guidance 2006

### Assessment

#### 1.0 The Proposal

1.1 The proposal is for installation of 30 illuminated low level bollards within the schools grounds. The bollards would be located mainly within the area to the east and south of the site. The proposed bollards would be located across the site to light up paths during the school day specifically during the winter months. The proposed bollards would be domed topped, black in colour measuring 0.8 metres in height and would be illuminated with an 18w bulb. The top of the bollard has four 'louvres' to prevent glare to walkers. These louvers also ensure that the light is directed downwards onto the pavement. The bollards are to be Marlin Sterling Bollard Lights Ref SBMLD 1806.

# 2.0 The main issues here are the impact of the proposed bollards in terms of the appearance and the character of the Listed Buildings, the conservation area and the amenity upon the neighbouring occupants.

2.1 The proposed bollards would be located around the north east of the site surrounding the car parking area, and would then lead down the back of the boundary with properties along Croftdown Road adjacent to a wide footpath leading through the middle of the site to the main school building.

2.2 It is considered that the light fittings are fairly innocuous and evenly spaced within the large grounds. In this regard the lighting is not considered to harm the special character of the school or character and appearance of the surrounding residential area.

2.3 Due to the height of the proposed bollards as well as the detailed design of the structure, it is considered that the proposed bollards would not be likely to cause unacceptable harm to the appearance and character of the listed buildings as well as the wider Conservation Area.

### 3.0 The impact on the amenity of adjacent occupiers

3.1 Objections have been received from surrounding residents in Brookfield Park to the east and Croftdown Road to the south in relation to the existing flood lighting that were installed within the school grounds in 2005. Having checked the planning history it would appear that this matter was investigated by the Council's Enforcement Team and adjustments were made to the positioning of the security lights. The case was closed on 22/09/2008 and no further action is being taken.

3.2 The proposal relates to the installation of 30 illuminated low level bollards. The agent has advised that the bollards will be lit from dusk until 6pm Monday to Friday inclusively. However it has been suggested that it may be necessary to extend the operation times until 8pm on a number of individual occasions when the school is used for opening evenings. It would be considered necessary to attach a condition to the permission to restrict the use of the bollards between 4pm – 8pm Monday to Friday.

3.3 It is considered that due to the height of the bollards, as well as the structures being lit using an 18w bulb, directed towards the pavement, they will not have any perceived impacts on the residents of the neighbouring properties in terms of additional light spillage.

### 4.0 Impact on trees

4.1 A neighbouring resident has raised concern that the location of the bollards and electricity cables may affect several trees including a cherry tree. However, due to the height of the bollards and thus the limited foundations, they are not considered to have a detrimental impact on the trees and are therefore considered acceptable.

### **Recommendation: Grant Planning Permission subject to conditions**

## **Disclaimer**

This is an internet copy for information purposes. If you require a copy of the signed original please contact the Culture and Environment Department on (020) 7974 5613