<b>Delegated Report</b>		Analysis sheet		Expiry	Date:	27/09/2010		
(Members Briefing)		N/A / attached		Consul Expiry		07/09/2010		
Officer			Application No	Application Number(s)				
Carlos Martin			2010/3825/P	2010/3825/P				
Application Address			Drawing Numb	Drawing Numbers				
66 Savernake Road London NW3 2JR			Refer to draft de	Refer to draft decision notice				
PO 3/4 Area Team Signature C&UD			Authorised Of	Authorised Officer Signature				
Proposal(s)								
Erection of a second floor rear extension to second floor flat (Class C3)								
Recommendation(s):	Grant							
Application Type:	Full Planning Permission							
Conditions or Reasons for Refusal:	Refer to Draft Decision Notice							
Informatives:								
Consultations	I				1		1	
Adjoining Occupiers:	No. notified	15	No. of responses	00	No. of o	bjections	00	
Summary of consultation responses:	No. Electronic  Ou  Site notice displayed between 06/08/10 & 27/08/2010 – No response							
	Mansfield CAAC – objects to the bulk of the extension; the height level of the extension, which is close to the pitched roof; and the fact that the extension would be higher than the adjoining extension.							
CAAC/Local groups* comments: *Please Specify	The proposed extension has been amended to match the adjoining extension in							
Site Description								
The application site relates the north side of Savernak application relates solely to	e Road. Similar	properties,	, also in use as flats ad	djoin to t	he east a	nd west. This	S	

The site is within the Mansfield Conservation Area. The building is considered to make a positive contribution

north.

to the character and appearance of the conservation area.

# **Relevant History**

66 Savernake Road:

**2005/4312/P**: pp **granted** for the erection of 1100mm high black iron railings to existing flat roof areas at first floor side and rear and construction of timber walkway to join the two flat roof areas to create 2 roof terraces for first floor flat (class C3).

PE9700474: pp granted for the installation of balcony railings to existing roof terrace. 18/09/1997

9201344: pp granted for the erection of a roof extension to provide bedroom and bathroom. 01/07/1993

**8703295**: pp **granted** for the erection of a single-storey side extension and a single-storey rear extension at ground floor level. 24/02/1988

68 Savernake Road:

**2003/0059/P:** pp **granted** for alterations including enlargement of an existing rear extension at third floor level, the installation of a new roof light, and the insertion of a new window in the rear elevation of the main building.

**34293**: pp **granted** for the change of use to three self contained flats and works of conversion including the erection of arrear extension at second floor level and the formation of terraces at 1st and 2nd floor levels. 08/11/1982

#### Other properties

No 72 Savernake Road - **8703155**: pp **granted** for the retention of two self-contained flats and the formation of a self-contained maisonette including the erection of rear extensions at ground and second floors; the formation of roof terraces at rear first and second floors; the installation of dormer windows at front and rear and the erection of three bin stores at the front. 08/04/1988

No 76 Savernake Road – **14781**: pp **granted** for alterations and addition at second floor level to provide five self contained units. 18/12/1972

No 96 Savernake Road - **PE9900002**: pp **granted** for the erection of rear extension at second floor level. 08/10/1999

# Relevant policies

## Replacement Unitary Development Plan 2006

SD6 Amenity for occupiers and neighbours

B1 General design principles

**B3** Alterations and extensions

B7 Conservation areas

## Camden Planning Guidance 2006

**Mansfield Conservation Area Statement** 

## LDF Core Strategy and Development Policies

The Inspector's Report into the Camden Local Development Framework Core Strategy and Development Policies Development Plan documents ("DPD"s) was published on 13th September and found the policies in the DPDs to be sound. This means "considerable weight" can now be given to these LDF policies even though at this stage they have yet to be formally adopted by the Council. Where there is a conflict between UDP policies and these LDF policies the Planning Inspectorate would consider it reasonable to follow the latter. However prior to formal adoption UDP policies should still be taken into account as the Council's adopted Development Plan.

## **LDF Core Strategy**

CS1 Distribution of growth

CS5 Managing the impact of growth and development

CS14 Promoting high quality places and conserving our heritage

# **Development Policies Development Plan**

DP24 Securing high quality design

DP25 Conserving Camden's heritage

DP26 Managing the impact of development on occupiers and neighbours

## **Assessment**

#### 1. Introduction

- 1.1 Planning permission is sought for the erection of a second floor rear extension to the second floor flat.
- 1.2 The proposed extension would be 4.2 m deep by 3.7m wide and would match the height of the adjoining extension at no. 68. It would feature a flat roof with a rooflight and a set of folding glazed doors to access the remaining terrace space at 2<sup>nd</sup> floor level. The extension would provide a family room to the existing flat and would be built in bricks to match existing.

## 2. Design and conservation

- 2.1 The proposed extension would be built in materials to match existing and, as it would be located at the rear and has been amended to match the adjoining extension, it is considered that it would not have any detrimental impact on the character and appearance of this pair of semidetached properties and the wider Mansfield Conservation Area.
- 2.2 Although CPG denotes that extensions that are higher that 1 full storey below roof eaves will be strongly discouraged, the relevant history section indicates that there are a number of second floor extensions on properties along this part of Savernake Road. It appears there are existing second floor extension at nos. 68, 70, 72, 74, 76, 84, 90, 94 and 96 (some do not appear to have the benefit of planning permission but appear to be well established). Given this context, it is considered difficult for the Council to resist the principle of a rear extension at this property. Furthermore, in this instance the proposed extension would create a degree of uniformity with its paired detached neighbour at no. 68.

# 3. Amenity

- 3.1 The proposed extension is not expected to result in a significant loss of amenity to neighbouring properties in terms of loss of light, outlook or privacy. It is acknowledged that there would be a degree of loss of daylight to the rear first floor window of the flat immediately below. However, as this window is facing north, no loss of direct sunlight would occur and the reduction in daylight would not be such as to refuse the proposal on these grounds only.
- 4. Recommendation: Grant

### DISCLAIMER

Decision route to be decided by nominated members on Monday 27<sup>th</sup> September 2010. For further information see

http://www.camden.gov.uk/ccm/navigation/environment/planning-and-built-environment/planning-applications/development-control-members-briefing/