Delegated Report		Analysis sheet		Expiry Date:	09/02/2011		
(Members Briefin	g)	N/A / attached		Consultation Expiry Date:	31/1/2011		
Officer		Application Number(s)					
Hugh Miller			A: 2010/6244/P B: 2010/6247/L				
Application Address		Drawing Numbers					
14A Calthorpe Street London WC1X 0JS			Refer to draft decision notice				
PO 3/4 Area Tea	Area Team Signature C&UD		Authorised Officer Signature				
Proposal(s)  A: 2010/6244/P – Erection of single-storey conservatory extension at rear basement level, including alterations to window at the rear of flat (Class C3).  B: 2010/6247/L – Internal and external alterations in association with erection of single-storey conservatory extension at rear basement level including alterations to window at the rear of flat (Class C3).							
A: 2010/6244/P – Grant planning permission							
Recommendation(s): B: 2010/6247/L – Grant listed building consent							
Application Type:	Full Planning Permission						

**Refer to Draft Decision Notice** 

**Conditions or Reasons** 

for Refusal:

Informatives:

Consultations						
Adjoining Occupiers:	No. notified	15	No. of responses	01	No. of objections	01
		L	No. Electronic	01		
Summary of consultation responses:	Site Notice display  Flat -14c Caltho  ✓ Concerns required to concerns existing of the host to concern least sign work that	rpe Stress about to crease thimney that building that the hifticantly would	the internal alteration te the conservatory at ding the removal of or breast; ilding works will compa; e works could invalidate increase the premius be required to secure	s and the rediginal foromise the the ms dure the bu	level of work that with ar of the property. Teatures including the ethe structural stabilities insurance policy or a eto the level of remains.	e lity of
	Officer Comment: Refer to paragraph 3.0 below  Bloomsbury CAAC: At the time of writing no response received.					
CAAC/Local groups* comments: *Please Specify		_		·		

## **Site Description**

A basement plus 4-storey terraced property situated on the south side of Calthorpe Street, north of the junction with Gray's In Road and west of Gough Street. The building is converted into self-contained flats. The building is Grade II listed. It is within Bloomsbury Conservation Area.

# **Relevant History**

## **14B Calthorpe Street**

May 2006 LBC Granted - Installation of boiler and flue, internal alterations to kitchen, bathroom and insertion of hallway stud wall; ref. 2006/0775/L

## Relevant policies

# **LDF Core Strategy and Development Policies**

CS1 – Distribution of growth

CS5 – Managing the impact of growth and development

CS14 - Promoting high quality places and conserving heritage / conservation areas

### LDF Development Policies

DP22 - Promoting sustainable design and construction

DP24 – Securing high quality design

DP25 – Conserving Camden's heritage / conservation areas

DP26 - Managing the impact of development on occupiers and neighbours

## Camden Planning Guidance: 2006

Section 19: Extensions, alterations and conservatories

## Bloomsbury Conservation Area Statement

### **Assessment**

## 1.0 Proposal

- ✓ A: 2010/6244/P Erection of single-storey conservatory extension at rear basement level, including alterations to window at the rear of flat (Class C3).
- ✓ B: 2010/6247/L Internal and external alterations in association with erection of single-storey conservatory extension at rear basement level including alterations to window at the rear of flat (Class C3).
- **1.2** The applications have been amended during the course of the application to: **a**] to clarify and show internal openings and **b**] incorporate elevational changes and details of new rear door.

# 2.0 Design and appearance

Internal alterations

**2.1** The proposal concerns the maisonette at lower and upper ground floor levels. There are no remaining features of interest at these levels due to previous works.

### Upper ground level:

**2.2** At the ground floor level, it is proposed to remove a later partition and boiler cupboard within the rear room, and to form a wider - double door width – opening between the front and rear rooms. These alterations are considered to be satisfactory and would not harm the special interest and plan form at this level of the host building. It is also proposed to form a small fire lobby within the internal hallway; there are no objections to this in principle but it is considered that the scale of the doorway from the hallway into the rear room should be reduced to no more than a door's width, in order to preserve the original room volume and historic layout. The applicant has addressed these issues by way of revised drawings and they are now satisfactory.

### Lower ground:

**2.3** It is proposed to reinstate a simple square-section balustrade and handrail between the half-landing at ground and the lower ground level which is welcomed. It is considered that this alteration would enhance rather than detract from the special interest of the historic building and therefore, no objection to the replacement structure.

### **External alteration**

**2.4** It is also proposed to lengthen the existing rear elevation window opening; this too is not considered to be contentious in principle as the existing window, whilst of an appropriate design, is not original. A small area of brickwork would be removed but this is not considered to be unduly harmful. On site, the applicant indicated that they may revise this detail to form a door. The revised drawing indicated that there would be no widening of the existing opening and therefore on balance, it is considered acceptable.

#### Conservatory extension

**2.5** It is proposed to erect a small, timber-framed conservatory extension at the rear lower ground level, with dimensions of 3.3m depth x2.0m width x 2.2m height. It would be accessed from an existing doorway and would cover less than half the width of the rear of the host building. Its position, scale, detailed design and materials are not considered to detract from the appearance or special interest of the building. The rear of the terrace of buildings are broadly uniform (contoured bricked areas of retaining walls and access steps into upper rear garden) and there are no extensions of this type; however, it is not consider that the scale or low position of the extension would harm the integrity of the terrace. The actual patio area is not visible from the public realm being screened by adjacent boundary walls and clearly in terms of its design, and setting is considered satisfactory. The extension is barely visible from surrounding properties and will preserve the character of the

conservation area.				
3.0 Comments on objection.				
<ul> <li>✓ The proposal does not include the removal of chimney breast nor any original features as noted above.</li> <li>✓ The foundation works required for this lightweight conservatory structure are unlikely to compromise the main building structural stability.</li> <li>✓ The impact on the objector's building insurance is not a material consideration relevant in the assessment of the proposal; as such issues are beyond the scope of the Planning considerations.</li> </ul>				
4.0 Neighbour amenity				
<b>4.1</b> The conservatory location would ensure no harm to neighbour amenity by way of overlooking or loss of privacy; neither would it cause loss of sun/daylight or impact on neighbours' outlook. The proposed extension would therefore be in compliance with LDF policies CS5 and DP26.				
Recommendation: Grant planning permission & listed building consent.				

## **DISCLAIMER**

Decision route to be decided by nominated members on Monday 7<sup>th</sup> February 2011. For further information see

http://www.camden.gov.uk/ccm/navigation/environment/planning-and-built-environment/planning-applications/development-control-members-briefing/