From: Little, Tom Seni: 21 November 2011 17:03 To: Eav DC Mail - PF Subject: FW: 49 Fizzroy Park - 3 Ash Trees - application for DDD

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Green

Attachments: 11-055-Report-15-11-11.pdf; ATT747261.txt; tcp 6960-01 260808.pdf

A DDD to be logged please

Tom Little Tree and Landscape Officer

Telephone: 020 7974 5283

-----Original Message----From: Karen Beare [mailto.zbkarli@btinternet.com]
Sent: 21 November 2011 16:30
To: Little, Tom
Subject: 49 Fitzroy Park - 3 Ash Trees - application for DDD

Thank you for coming to site today to look at the 3 mature ash trees that appear to be tipping over.

I attach for your information two documents - the first is the Barton Engineering report we received on Friday at 4pm. The second is the original tree contraints plan produced by the Applicant at the time of planning.

I need to point out a number of incorrect facts & statements that are contained in the Barton Report of Nov 2011. Our Party Wall Surveyor Mike Sindic has already pointed these out to his opposite number. 1. It is true the Party Wall Surveyors noted that there is cracking in the driveway, which has increased in length since I reported it and they first visited in early October 2011. It is my understanding of the facts they also noted that the lean of the fence has also become more pronounced since the Party Wall Award was executed (early in 2011). Button makes no reference to this.

2. Out drivers is not new (within) 2 years) in a standed by Blacton.

We believe the risk ends we believe the risk ends we be the mine the size or en original, duting back to when the house was built in 1928. We resurfaced the driveway as part of landscaping works in 2008. Prior to demodition next door in April 2011 there had been no settlement, cracking or any other sort of evidence of movement in this drive since we moved in during May 2005. Not even the small boost are necessity or any other sort of evidence of movements in this drive since we moved in during May 2005. Not even the small boost are necessity or any other sort of evidence of movements in this drive since we moved in during May 2005. Not even the small boost are necessity or any other sort of evidence of movements in this drive since we moved in during May 2005. Not even the small boost are necessity or any other sort of evidence of movements in this drive since we moved in during May 2005. Not even the small boost are necessity or any other sort of evidence of movements in this drive since we moved in during May 2005. Not even the small boost are necessity or any other sort of evidence of movements in this drive since we moved in during May 2005. Not even the small boost are necessity or any other sort of evidence of movements in this drive since we moved in during May 2005. Not even the small boost are necessity or any other sort of evidence of movements in this drive since we moved in during May 2005. Not even the small boost are necessity or any other sort of evidence of movements in this drive since we moved in during May 2005. Not even the small boost are necessity or any other sort of evidence of movements in this drive since we moved in during May 2005. Not even the small boost are necessity or any other sort of evidence of movements are necessity or any other sort of the sort of the

3. As my engineer Bob Austin points out, the closest sign of movement is approx 1m from our boundary not 2-3m as Barton states.

To summarise:

We find ourselves in a position where both engineers accept there is cracking and movement along the boundary of our property.

We believe that recent works at No51 have in some way undermined the retaining wall and root zone of these trees. They assert that it has nothing to do with their works. No surprises there.

We believe the best way of handline the rotential liability these trees now pose to property and personal safety is to remove them under a DDD emergency order. I have no doubt our neighbour would not have any oualms suine us if the trees were to fall on his site during construction works. We sleep on the east side of the house which is nearest to these trees and now feel at nersonal risk ouncelves

If you agree with this course of action please can you confirm back to me as soon as you are able. The forecast is for strong winds later this week and weekend. As you can see from the rest of our garden, we are sincerely committed to preserving the positive aspect of this beautiful part of the Highpate Conservation Area and would commit to replacing these 3 Ash trees with two evergreen Oaks to match those planted by us 2/3 years ago.

Kind regards Karen Beare 49 Fitzroy Park - joint owner