<b>Delegated Report</b>		oort	Analysis sheet		Expiry Date:	13/04/2012			
(Members Briefing)			N/A / attached		Consultation Expiry Date:	15/03/2012			
Officer				Application No	umber(s)				
Carlos Martin				2011/4119/P					
Application A	Address			<b>Drawing Numl</b>	wing Numbers				
Basement Flat 31 Dennington Park Road London NW6 1BB				Refer to draft decision notice					
PO 3/4	Area Tea	m Signature	e C&UD	Authorised Of	ficer Signature				
Proposal(s)									
Erection of a summer house in the rear garden following removal of existing sheds to residential flat (Class C3).									
Recommendation(s): Grant									
Application Type:		Full Planning Permission							

Conditions or Reasons for Refusal:	Refer to Draft Decision Notice								
Informatives:									
Consultations	1								
Adjoining Occupiers:	No. notified	19	No. of responses	04	No. of objections	04			
Summary of consultation responses:	Road and 1 on b Residents Limite  1. The proposal or a lt will affe or a lt will affe or a lt without constant or a lt wi	ehalf of d, base of sed such views merhous ce for n experience for new truction from the control of the control	se will be used as a bedeighbours. erty values. a precedent for further estates that leasers will from the lessor. work will be extremely from the lessor. work will be extremely from evening use of the second to 9.9 sq m. The estately 5.1 sq m (2.72 + 22 tely 5 sq m, which is no 80 sq. m. Immerhouse would have the far end of the garder eighbouring properties. In green screen, which wo sal involves removing all amenity of the garder manerhouse would be the could be used as a bedeat on property values, given that the visual and ty values might not be more structures in rear the borough. Future appears on merits, taking into the structure of the controlled by conducting the controlled by conducting the controlled by conducting the controlled by conducting the screen, which will mister the screen in the scr	droom, videvelop I not ma disturbi ark Roas summer stotal for existing 2.38). The sur ill help to the existing affected gardens of a production of a productio	ald take a third of the which will create noise ament in the area. Ike structural alterations, and a complete development in the gating sheds and debrished and the rear garden working the winter more posal is not a planning the rear garden working the area will be deration the policy framework and of objection. How is in the area will be deration the policy framework and of objection. How is uning that noisy working that noisy working the rear garden working the area will be deration the policy framework and of objection. How is uning that noisy working the rearea will be deration the policy framework and of objection. How is uning that noisy working that noisy working the covered by a green and the covered by a green are covered by a green are covered by a green area.	existing e and  14.6 sq er an ease garden n and inificant ature a arden s appear ths. ng ind be of mework mission ever, rks do Road covered			
CAAC/Local groups* comments: *Please Specify	None; not in CA.								

# **Site Description**

The applications site relates to a four-storey mid-terrace property located on the east side of Dennington Park Road. The property is divided into flats. The site is not listed and is not located within any conservation area. Similar properties adjoin at either side.

# **Relevant History**

**14430**: pp **granted** for the conversion of 31 Dennington Park Road to provide 4 self-contained flats. 19/02/1973

## Relevant policies

## **LDF Core Strategy and Development Policies**

CS1 – Distribution of growth

CS5 – Managing the impact of growth and development

CS14 - Promoting high quality places and conserving our heritage

DP24 - Securing high quality design

DP26 - Managing the impact of development on occupiers and neighbours

**Camden Panning Guidance** 

## Assessment

## 1.0 Proposal

- 1.1 The proposed summerhouse would be built in timber and would feature a single timber door and a set a full-height timber framed windows at the front. It would cover an area of approx. 10 sq m. and would be located at the far end of the existing garden. A privacy screen made of trellis and greenery would be located in front of the summerhouse. The proposal involves removing the existing sheds and an existing debris deposit which covers an area of approx. 14 sq. m and reaches a height of 1.1m.
- 1.2 The proposal has been amended following neighbours concerns and officers' advice. The footprint of the summerhouse has been reduced by nearly a third of its original total area; two windows have been removed along with an internal w/c; and a green roof incorporated.

#### 2.0 Main planning considerations

2.1 The main planning issues to be considered are: the impact of the proposal in terms of design and the impact of the proposal on the amenity of neighbours.

#### 3.0 Design

- 3.1 The proposed summerhouse would be of an average size and scale and would retain a reasonably sized garden where mature vegetation could be sustained. The proposed materials are considered appropriate for a back garden development and the proposed green roof and green screen would assist to integrate the structure in the garden, minimising its visual impact. The removal of the existing old sheds and debris deposit is welcome in design terms.
- 3.2 There are large mature trees on the neighbouring garden at the back and it would appear that the summerhouse would be located within their root zones. Although the area is not a conservation area, the existing trees are considered to be important visual elements, which also increase biodiversity. Their protection is therefore desirable and details of the foundations of the summerhouse along with a tree protection plan should be requested by condition. The summerhouse would be a lightweight wood construction and could be built without deep foundations. Details of the proposed green roof should also be requested by condition to ensure its long term viability.

## 4.0 Amenity

- 4.1 The location and size of the proposed structure and the existing fences around the perimeter of the garden would ensure that the proposed summerhouse does not have any significant impact in terms of loss of light or increase sense of enclosure for neighbouring properties.
- 4.2 Concerns have been raised with regards to its potential impact on loss of privacy to rear windows of the upper floor flats of 31 Dennington Park Road, especially given that the ground level of the rear garden is raised by approx. 1.5m above basement level. The summerhouse would feature a window facing these flats and a number of windows would be affected by loss of privacy given that the distance between the new and existing windows is well below 9m. However, the proposed screening would block any views from the summerhouse.

The screening would be made of trellis and vegetation, which do not fully ensure a satisfactory level of privacy given that the vegetation could dry out exposing views from the summerhouse. Therefore, it is considered that a condition requesting a frosted glass privacy screening located behind the trellis, on the summerhouse side should be included in any permission. The glass would not be visible as long as the trellis and vegetation are well maintained and it would ensure that privacy is kept at a satisfactory level in the event of a loss of vegetation. The applicants have confirmed that they would be happy to accept such a condition.

## 5.0 Recommendation:

5.3 In summary, it is considered that the proposal would broadly comply with relevant design and amenity policies subject to the aforementioned conditions and consequently approval is recommended.

#### **DISCLAIMER**

Decision route to be decided by nominated members on Tuesday 10<sup>th</sup> April 2012. For further information see

http://www.camden.gov.uk/ccm/navigation/environment/planning-and-built-environment/planning-applications/development-control-members-briefing/