Desk Study and Ground Investigation Report

30a Highgate Road London NW5

PART 1 OF 2

Client

Mr Colin Serlin

Engineer

Elliott Wood Partnership

J12041

April 2012





Document Control

Project title		30a Highgate Road, London, NW5 1NS Project ref J12041							
Report prepared by		Matthew Elcock BEng FGS							
Report checked by		Juliet Fuller I	ulter BSc MSc FGS DIC						
Report approved fo by	r issue	ff Red Steve Branch	BSc MSc CGeol FGS FRG	S MIEnvSc					
Issue No	Stat	itus Date		Approved for	or Issue				
1	Final		24 April 2012	08					

This report has been issued by the GEA office indicated below. Any enquiries regarding the report should be directed to the office indicated or to Steve Branch in our Herts office.

	Hertfordshire	tel 01727 824666	mail@gea-ltd.co.uk
1	Nottinghamshire	tel 01509 674888	midlands@gea-ltd.co.uk

Geotechnical & Environmental Associates Limited (GEA) disclaims any responsibility to the Client and others in respect of any matters outside the scope of this work. This report has been prepared with reasonable skill, care and diligence within the terms of the contract with the Client and taking account of the manpower, resources, investigation and testing devoted to it in agreement with the Client. This report is confidential to the Client and GEA accepts no responsibility of whatsoever nature to third parties to whom this report or any part thereof is made known, unless formally agreed beforehand. Any such party relies upon the report at their own risk. This report may provide advice based on an interpretation of legislation, guidance notes and codes of practice. GEA does not however provide legal advice and if specific legal advice is required a lawyer should be consulted.

© Geotechnical & Environmental Associates Limited 2012

CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Part	t 1: IN	NVESTIGATION REPORT	
1.0	INT	RODUCTION	1
	1.1	Proposed Development	1
	1.2	Purpose of Work	1
	1.3	Scope of Work	1
	1.4	Limitations	2
2.0	THE	E SITE	2
	2.1	Site Description	2
	2.2	Site History	4
	2.3	Other Information	4
	2.4	Preliminary Risk Assessment	4
3.0	EXP	PLORATORY WORK	5
	3.1	Sampling Strategy	5
4.0	GRO 4.1 4.2 4.3 4.4	OUND CONDITIONS Made Ground London Clay Groundwater Soil Contamination	6 6 7 7

Part 2: DESIGN BASIS REPORT

5.0	INTRODUCTION						
6.0	GRO	DUND MODEL	10				
7.0	AD	VICE AND RECOMMENDATIONS	11				
	7.1	Spread Foundations	11				
	7.2	Piled Foundations	11				
	7.3	Excavations	12				
	7.4	Ground Floor Slabs	13				
	7.5	Pavement Design	13				
	7.6	Effect of Sulphates	13				
	7.7	Contamination Risk Assessment	13				
	7.7	Waste Disposal	16				
8.0	OUT	ISTANDING RISKS AND ISSUES	16				

APPENDIX



EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This executive summary contains an overview of the key findings and conclusions. No reliance should be placed on any part of the executive summary until the whole of the report has been read. Other sections of the report may contain information that puts into context the findings that are summarised in the executive summary.

BRIEF

This report describes the findings of a site investigation carried out by Geotechnical and Environmental Associates Limited (GEA) on the instructions of Elliott Wood Partnership, on behalf of Mr Colin Serlin, with respect to the redevelopment of the site through demolition of the existing buildings and construction of two-storey and three-storey mews houses and apartment blocks. A communal courtyard is also proposed in the centre of the site. The purpose of the investigation has been to determine the site history, to investigate the ground conditions, to assess the extent of any contamination and to provide information to assist with the design of foundations and for the proposed development.

DESK STUDY FINDINGS

Information from a Site Constraint Report prepared by Elliott Wood indicates that the site was shown as vacant on the 1871 map, with the surrounding area occupied by houses and a park to the south. The historical map dated 1895 shows some buildings in the northwest corner of the site, and a smithy in the southeastern corner. The smithy is no longer present on the 1915 map, which shows what appear to be the existing buildings to have been constructed. The buildings are later labelled as works and engineering works from around 1954 and appear to have remained unchanged to the present day; they are currently in use as a carpentry and piano maker. A 4 m deep sewer is known to pass through the centre of the site.

GROUND CONDITIONS

Beneath a generally moderate but apparently locally significant thickness of made ground the London Clay Formation extended to the full depth investigated, of 15.0 m. The made ground typically comprised dark brown to brown clayey gravelly sand or a brown sandy gravelly clay with fragments of brick, concrete, clinker, metal, ash and coal which generally extended to depths of between 0.3 m and 1.4 m, with the exception of Borehole No 2, where it extended to 3.9 m presumably associated with the sewer. A slight hydrocarbon odour was noted in the made ground at three locations. The underlying London Clay initially comprised soft orange-brown mottled grey sandy occasionally gravelly clay in the trial pits and became firm and stiff fissured high strength brown mottled grey, then grey fissured high strength silty clay with occasional selenite crystals and sandy silt partings to the maximum depth investigated of 15.0 m.

Groundwater was not encountered in the boreholes but was encountered in a trial pit at a depth of 1.0 m. Chemical analysis has revealed elevated concentrations of metals, polyaromatic hydrocarbons and total petroleum hydrocarbons in samples of made ground tested.

RECOMMENDATIONS

The investigation has indicated that spread foundations should generally be feasible, but will be uneconomical in proximity to the sewer unless the sewer is diverted or can be spanned; alternatively a piled foundation solution could be considered as an alternative. It should be possible to adopt spread foundations bearing within the firm London Clay for the anticipated light to moderate loads. Moderate width strip or pad foundations could be designed to apply a net allowable bearing pressure of 120 kN/m² bearing at a minimum depth of 1.0 m. Founding depths will need to be increased within the zone of influence of trees in accordance with NHBC guidelines.

Following the demolition of the buildings it would be prudent to conduct further investigation to confirm remediation options. At this stage it would be prudent to assume that it will be necessary to remove some of the TPH contamination. Vapour barriers may also need to be included in the buildings and imported clean topsoil will be required in soft landscaped areas.



Part 1: INVESTIGATION REPORT

This section of the report details the objectives of the investigation, the work that has been carried out to meet these objectives and the results of the investigation. Interpretation of the findings is presented in Part 2.

1.0 INTRODUCTION

Geotechnical and Environmental Associates (GEA) has been commissioned by Elliott Wood Partnership, on behalf of Mr Colin Serlin, to carry out a desk study and ground investigation at 30a Highgate Road, London, NW5 1NS.

A Site Constraints Report (ref 211429 dated 23 September 2011 revision P1) has previously been prepared by the consulting engineers and includes a review of historical maps relating to the site. A copy of the report has been provided and is referred to as necessary.

1.1 **Proposed Development**

The existing buildings will be demolished and the site will be redeveloped through the construction of two-storey and three-storey mews houses and apartment blocks. It is understood that there will be a communal central courtyard with some soft landscaping; the layout will be similar to existing although it is proposed to lower the level of the entire site by approximately 300 mm.

This report is specific to the proposed development and the advice herein should be reviewed if the development proposals are amended.

1.2 **Purpose of Work**

The principal technical objectives of the work carried out were as follows.

- **u** to check the history of the site with respect to previous contaminative uses;
- to determine the ground conditions and their engineering properties;
- to provide advice with respect to the design of spread and piled foundations;
- to provide an indication of the degree of soil contamination present; and
- □ to assess the risk that any such contamination may pose to the proposed development, its users or the wider environment.

1.3 Scope of Work

In order to meet the above objectives, a brief desk study was carried out, followed by a ground investigation. The desk study comprised:

- a review of readily available geological maps;
- a review of historical Ordnance Survey (OS) maps provided by Elliott Wood



Partnership and environmental searches sourced from the Envirocheck database; and

a walkover survey of the site during the field work.

In the light of this desk study, an intrusive ground investigation was carried out which comprised, in summary, the following activities:

- two boreholes drilled to a depth of 15.00 m by means of a cable percussion rig;
- □ standard penetration tests (SPTs), carried out at regular intervals in the cable percussion boreholes, to provide quantitative data on the strength of the soils;
- the installation of two standpipes to facilitate subsequent groundwater monitoring;
- □ seven trial pits, manually excavated in order to investigate the configuration of existing foundations;
- □ laboratory testing of selected soil samples for geotechnical purposes and for the presence of contamination; and
- □ provision of a report presenting and interpreting the above data, together with our advice and recommendations with respect to the proposed development.

This report includes a contaminated land assessment which has been undertaken in accordance with the methodology presented in Contaminated Land Report (CLR) 11¹ and involves identifying, making decisions on, and taking appropriate action to deal with, land contamination in a way that is consistent with government policies and legislation within the United Kingdom. The risk assessment is thus divided into three stages comprising Preliminary Risk Assessment, Generic Quantitative Risk Assessment, and Site-Specific Risk Assessment.

1.4 Limitations

The conclusions and recommendations made in this report are limited to those that can be made on the basis of the investigation. The results of the work should be viewed in the context of the range of data sources consulted, the number of locations where the ground was sampled and the number of soil, gas or groundwater samples tested; no liability can be accepted for information in other data sources or conditions not revealed by the sampling or testing. Any comments made on the basis of information obtained from the client or other third parties are given in good faith on the assumption that the information is accurate; no independent validation of such information has been made by GEA.

2.0 THE SITE

2.1 Site Description

The site is located approximately 300 m north of Kentish Town London Underground station and is reached by a narrow access road that extends from Highgate Road to the south. A fire station, small retail units and residential properties border the site to the east, while a fourstorey block of flats is present to the west, with houses to the north. The site is shown on the map below and may be additionally located by National Grid Reference 528932, 185453.



¹ *Model Procedures for the Management of Land Contamination* issued jointly by the Environment Agency and the Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs (DEFRA) Sept 2004

The site forms an irregular shape and has maximum dimensions of approximately 50 m north to south by 55 m east to west, whilst the access road is about 35 m long. The site is occupied by single storey and two-storey buildings in a horseshoe arrangement around a central courtyard. A carpentry workshop occupies the western and northern buildings, a piano maker occupies the eastern building and the first floor of the northern building is in use as offices. There is a single storey meeting room in the east of the site and the brick wall on the southern elevation, as viewed from inside, has several diagonal cracks which have been in-filled.



The four-storey apartment block that borders the site to the west has a basement car park that abuts the western boundary of the site. The site is entirely covered by buildings and tarmac hardstanding, and is devoid of vegetation. The site slopes gently down towards the south in the courtyard area and becomes slightly steeper on the access road, towards Highgate Road.

An old metal tank is located close to the western boundary of the site, positioned on a concrete slab which is covered by an open fronted brick shed, as shown below. The tank contains liquid hydrocarbon fuel, although the exact contents are not known. It is not known if the tank is still in use but it appears to be in a good condition and there are no obvious stains on the ground around the tank.



Photograph showing green coloured metal tank containing hydrocarbon



Photograph showing general arrangement of the site, looking northeast from access road

An asset location search provided by Thames Water, indicates the presence of a 229 mm diameter sewer entering the site along the access road into the centre of the site and then running eastwards below the building on the eastern boundary. An inspection of the manhole chamber whilst on site has indicated the invert level of the sewer to be about 4.0 m below ground level.



2.2 Site History

The site history has been researched by reference to historical Ordnance Survey (OS) maps provided by Elliott Wood Partnership and publicly available data provided by the Envirocheck database.

Information from a Site Constraint Report indicates that the site was shown as vacant on the 1871 map, with the surrounding area occupied by houses and a park to the south. The historical map dated 1895 shows some buildings in the northwest corner of the site, and a smithy in the southeastern corner. A fire station is also shown to the east of the site on this map. The smithy is no longer present on the 1915 map, which shows what may be the existing buildings to have been constructed. The buildings are labelled as works and engineering works from around 1954 and appear to have remained unchanged to the present day; they are currently in use as a carpentry and piano maker.

2.3 **Other Information**

A search of public registers and databases has been made via the Envirocheck database and relevant extracts from the search are appended. Full results of the search can be provided if required.

The search has revealed no records of any landfills, waste treatment, management or disposal sites within 250 m of the site. There have been no pollution incidents to controlled waters within 100 m of the site.

The Geological Survey map of the area indicates that the site is underlain by the London Clay Formation from the surface. The London Clay is classified as Unproductive strata, which is defined by the Environment Agency (EA) as rock layers or drift deposits with low permeability that have negligible significance for water supply or river base flow. The site is not at risk of flooding.

Reference to the National Radiological Protection Agency (NRPB, now part of the Health Protection Agency) Radon Atlas of England and Wales, indicates that the site falls within an area where less than 1% of homes are affected by radon emissions and therefore radon protective measures will not be necessary.

2.4 **Preliminary Risk Assessment**

Part IIA of the Environmental Protection Act 1990, which was inserted into that Act by Section 57 of the Environment Act 1995, provides the main regulatory regime for the identification and remediation of contaminated land. The determination of contaminated sites is based on a "suitable for use" approach which involves managing the risks posed by contaminated land by making risk-based decisions. This risk assessment is carried out on the basis of a source-pathway-receptor approach.

2.4.1 **Source**

The historical usage of the site that has been established by the desk study and the site walkover indicates that the site has a potentially contaminative history by virtue of it having been initially occupied by a smithy or blacksmith and an 'engineering works', and also a carpentry workshop and piano maker.

The site walkover indicated the presence of an above ground tank, containing a hydrocarbon liquid; however there were no signs of leakage and the tank appeared to be in a good



condition.

2.4.2 Receptor

The new residential buildings will represent a relatively high sensitivity end-use. Buried services are likely to come into contact with any contaminants present within the soils through which they pass and site workers are likely to come into contact with any contaminants present in the soils during demolition and construction works. Being underlain by Unproductive strata, groundwater is not considered to be a receptor. The adjacent sites are considered as potential moderately sensitive receptors.

2.4.3 Pathway

There is a potential for a pathway to exist through direct contact between end users and any contaminants present within the near-surface soils in areas of public open space, there is no proposed gardens. There is not considered to be a pathway to the deep chalk aquifer due to the presence of the London Clay Formation. Buried services will be exposed to any contaminants present within the soil through direct contact and site workers will come into contact with the soils during construction works.

2.4.4 Preliminary Risk Appraisal

On the basis of the above it is considered that there is a moderate to low risk of there being a significant contaminant linkage at this site, the site investigation sampling and testing will indicate the amount, if any, of site remediation necessary.

3.0 EXPLORATORY WORK

In order to meet the objectives described in Section 1.2, two boreholes were advanced to a depth of 15.0 m by a cable percussion rig, set up in the central courtyard area. Standard Penetration Tests (SPTs) were carried out at regular intervals in the boreholes and disturbed and undisturbed samples were recovered for subsequent laboratory examination and testing. Seven trial pits were manually excavated adjacent to walls to expose and allow the inspection of the existing foundations.

Groundwater monitoring standpipes were installed to a depth of 4.0 m in the boreholes to facilitate subsequent groundwater monitoring. These have not been monitored to date.

The borehole and trial pit records and results of the laboratory analyses are appended together with a site plan indicating the exploratory positions.

3.1 Sampling Strategy

The boreholes and trial pit positions were specified by Elliott Wood Partnership and set out on site by GEA while avoiding areas of known services. The site was occupied at that time of the investigation and the businesses remained in operation. The borehole and trial pit positions were therefore confined to external areas and a single unit in the southeast of the site which was unoccupied. The scope of the investigation was limited by the restricted access and budgetary constraints which meant that a number of trial pits and a day of window sampling were omitted.

Eight samples recovered from the made ground were subject to analysis for a range of common industrial contaminants and contamination indicative parameters. For this investigation the analytical suite for the soil included a range of metals, total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPH), polyaromatic hydrocarbons (PAH), total cyanide and monohydric



phenols. In addition to the above, four samples were subject to aliphatic/aromatic TPH speciation testing.

The soil samples were selected to provide a general view of the chemical conditions of the soils that are likely to be involved in a human exposure or groundwater pathway and to provide advice in respect of re-use or for waste disposal classification. The contamination analyses were carried out at an MCERTs accredited laboratory with the majority of the testing suite accredited to MCERTS standards. Details of the MCERTs accreditation and test methods are included in the Appendix together with the analytical results.

Laboratory Californian Bearing Ratio (CBR) tests were carried out on representative recompacted samples of made ground and natural soil.

4.0 GROUND CONDITIONS

The investigation has confirmed the expected ground conditions in that, below a locally significant thickness of made ground, the London Clay Formation extended to the full depth of the investigation.

4.1 Made Ground

The made ground comprised either a dark brown occasionally mottled grey and blackish brown very clayey silty gravelly sand with fragments of brick and occasional clinker, ash, slate, tile, concrete, metal and coal or an orange brown sandy gravelly clay with occasional brick fragments. The made ground generally extended to depths of between 0.30 m and 1.40 m. but in Borehole No 2, located closest to the line of the sewer, it extended to a depth of 3.90 m, presumably indicating that the borehole was drilled through the backfill within the trench that would have been dug to lay the sewer. A layer of orange brown and reddish brown clayey sandy gravel of brick was found to a depth of 0.77 m in Trial Pit No 7.

A hydrocarbon odour was noted throughout the full depth of made ground in Borehole No 1 and Trial Pit No 1, and to a depth of 0.5 m in Trial Pit No 5. The groundwater in Trial Pit No 5 was also noted to have a slight oily sheen. With the exception of the above no visual or olfactory evidence of contamination was observed within these soils, although extraneous material such as fragments of brick, clinker, ash, slate, tile, concrete, metal and coal were present. However, eight samples of the made ground were analysed for a range of contaminants and the results are summarised in Section 4.4.

4.2 London Clay

In the trial pits The London Clay was noted to initially comprise a weathered layer of soft orange-brown mottled grey sandy occasionally gravelly clay, below which in the boreholes firm becoming stiff brown mottled grey silty fissured clay with selenite crystals extended to depths of 9.3 m and 9.8 m in Borehole Nos 1 and 2 respectively. It then comprised stiff grey fissured silty clay with occasional sandy silt partings and selenite crystals to the maximum depth of investigation, of 15.0 m.

Laboratory triaxial strength tests indicate the London Clay in Borehole No 1 to be initially high strength to 4.5 m, becoming generally very high strength; the clay in Borehole No 2 was high strength throughout.



Laboratory plasticity index tests indicate the clay to be of high shrinkability.

No visual or olfactory evidence of contamination was observed within these soils.

4.3 Groundwater

Groundwater was not encountered while drilling the boreholes, however a four meter standpipe was installed in each borehole to allow future monitoring; they have not been monitored to date.

A slow inflow of groundwater was recorded in Trial Pit No 5 at a depth of 1.0 m; a small pump was required to manage the inflow of the water while the trial pit was completed and logged. After completion of the pit, water was noted to inflow again from the sides of the pit while it was backfilled.

4.4 Soil Contamination

The table below sets out the values measured within eight samples of made ground that have been analysed for contaminant concentrations; all concentrations are in mg/kg unless otherwise stated.

Determinant	Maximum concentration recorded (mg/kg)	Minimum concentration recorded (mg/kg)	Number of samples below detection limit	Normalised upper bound US ₉₅
Arsenic	38	9.8	none	25.5
Cadmium	0.5	<0.1	three	0.3
Chromium	51	21	none	42.2
Copper	160	26	none	125.7
Mercury	1.6	<0.1	one	1.1
Nickel	58	25	none	44.3
Lead	680	56	none	460
Selenium	0.48	<0.2	seven	0.3
Zinc	700	73	none	389
Total Cyanide	<0.5	<0.5	eight	0.5
Total Phenols	<0.3	<0.3	eight	0.3
Sulphide	370	5.6	none	185.5
Total TPH	5500	<10	two	2531.4
Naphthalene	2.8	0.8	none	2.1
Benzo(a)pyrene	54	<0.1	one	27.7
Total PAH	740	6.7	none	402.9
Total organic carbon %	5.7	0.75	none	4.3

Note: The use of the normalised upper bound for 95th percentile confidence aims to remove some of the uncertainty associated with calculation of an arithmetic sample mean of a relatively small number of samples. The US95 value is the upper bound of the range within which it can be stated with 95% confidence that the true mean concentration of the data set will fall.

Figure in bold indicates concentration in excess of risk-based soil guideline values, as discussed below



Four samples triggered speciated TPH testing and the results show only the sample from Trial Pit No 1 to have elevated concentrations of aromatic C16-C21 and C21-C35 carbon compounds which possibly indicate it to be diesel.

4.4.1 Generic Quantitative Risk Assessment

The use of a risk-based approach has been adopted to provide an initial screening of the test results to assess the need for subsequent site-specific risk assessments. To this end contaminants of concern are those that have values in excess of a generic human health risk based guideline values which are either that of the $CLEA^2$ Soil Guideline Value where available, or is a Generic Guideline Value calculated using the CLEA UK Version 1.06 software assuming a residential with plant uptake end use. The key generic assumptions for this end use are as follows:

- □ that groundwater will not be a critical risk receptor;
- □ that the critical receptor for human health will be young female children aged zero to six years old;
- □ that the exposure duration will be six years;
- that the critical exposure pathways will be direct soil and indoor dust ingestion, consumption of homegrown produce, consumption of soil adhering to homegrown produce, skin contact with soils and indoor dust, and inhalation of indoor and outdoor dust and vapours; and
- □ that the building type equates to a two-storey small terraced house.

It is considered that these assumptions are acceptable for this generic assessment of this site, although conservative given that there will be no gardens, only areas of public open space mainly covered in hardstanding. The tables of generic screening values derived by GEA and an explanation of how each value has been derived are included in the Appendix.

Where contaminant concentrations are measured at concentrations below the generic screening value it is considered that they pose an acceptable level of risk and thus further consideration of these contaminant concentrations is not required. However where concentrations are measured in excess of these generic screening values there is considered to be a potential that they could pose an unacceptable risk and thus further action will be required which could include;

- additional testing to zone the extent of the contaminated material and thus reduce the uncertainty with regard to its potential risk;
- □ site specific risk assessment to refine the assessment criteria and allow an assessment to be made as to whether the concentration present would pose an unacceptable risk at this site; or
- □ soil remediation or risk management to mitigate the risk posed by the contaminant to a degree that it poses an acceptable risk.
- A comparison of the measured concentrations against the generic screening values has



² *Updated Technical Background to the CLEA Model (Science Report SC050021/SR3) Jan 2009* and Soil Guideline Value reports for specific contaminants; all DEFRA and Environment Agency.

indicated elevated concentrations of sulphide, arsenic, lead, TPH, benzo(a)pyrene and PAH.

Contaminant of Concern	Maximum concentration recorded (mg/kg)	Location(s) where elevated concentration recorded	Generic Risk-Based Screening Value
Sulphide	370	BH2, TP1, TP5	50
Arsenic	38	TP4	32
Lead	680	TP1, TP4	450
ТРН	5500	BH1, BH2, TP1, TP2	500
Benzo(a)pyrene	54	BH1, BH2, TP1, TP4, TP5, TP7	1.0
РАН	740	BH1, BH2, TP1, TP4, TP5, TP7	6.7

The significance of these results is considered further in Part 2 of the report.



Part 2: DESIGN BASIS REPORT

This section of the report provides an interpretation of the findings detailed in Part 1, in the form of a ground model, and then provides advice and recommendations with respect to foundation options and contamination issues.

5.0 INTRODUCTION

The proposed redevelopment comprises demolition of the existing buildings and construction of two-storey and three-storey mews houses and residential apartments. It is understood that there will be a central courtyard area, similar to the exiting and the whole site will be lowered by approximately 300 mm to suit proposed finished levels.

Foundation loads have not been provided but they are expected to be light to moderate.

6.0 GROUND MODEL

Historical maps indicate the site to have been developed as a smithy, a carpenters and piano makers. On the basis of the fieldwork, the ground conditions at this site can be characterised as follows.

- □ In general a moderate thickness of made ground, extending to a maximum depth of 1.40 m, is present, but it is apparent that a much more significant thickness, of up to about 4 m, is present along the line of a sewer that passes below the site;
- □ the made ground generally comprises dark brown sometimes mottled grey and blackish brown very clayey silty gravelly sand with fragments of brick and occasional clinker, ash, slate, tile, concrete, metal and coal or an orange brown sandy gravelly clay with occasional brick fragments;
- a weathered layer of the London Clay was noted in the trial pits and comprised soft orange-brown mottled grey sandy occasionally gravelly clay;
- □ the boreholes encountered the London Clay to comprise firm becoming stiff fissured high strength brown mottled grey silty clay to depths of 9.30 m and 9.80 m where it becomes stiff fissured high and very high strength silty clay with occasional selenite crystals and sandy silt partings to 15.0 m;
- □ laboratory tests have indicated the clay to be of high shrinkability;
- □ groundwater was not encountered in the boreholes but was encountered in Trial Pit No 5 at a depth of 1.0 m; and
- □ elevated concentrations of sulphide, arsenic, lead, TPH, and a range of PAHs were recorded in samples of made ground tested.



7.0 ADVICE AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The investigation has indicated that below much of the site there is a moderate thickness of made ground and spread foundations supporting the anticipated light to moderate loads could be feasible bearing in the firm clay. However, deeper made ground has been encountered, apparently along the line of the sewer, such that spread foundations are unlikely to be appropriate in this zone unless the sewer can be spanned. In any case foundations in this area may need to be deep and a piled foundation solution may be more practical.

Elevated concentrations of a range of metal and hydrocarbon contaminants have been recorded in samples of made ground and remedial measures will be required.

7.1 Spread Foundations

Where it is feasible to bypass the made ground, moderate width strip or pad foundations bearing on the firm London Clay should be placed at a minimum depth of 1.0 m, assuming that restrictions are applied on planting of shrubs in the vicinity of foundations, or at a depth of 1.5 m if there is unrestricted planting of shrubs in the new development. Notwithstanding the guidance the foundation depths should be increased subject to further restrictions on new tree planting as detailed in NHBC guidelines. The foundations may be designed to apply a net allowable bearing pressure of 120 kN/m^2 . This value incorporates an adequate factor of safety against bearing capacity failure and should ensure that settlement remains within normal tolerable limits.

Consideration will need to be given to bridging the sewer in the east of the site and an increased net allowable bearing pressure of 150 kN/m^2 may be adopted below a depth of 2.0 m. Additional investigation will however be required to determine the profile of the backfilled sewer trench, which it is assumed would have been formed as a battered excavation.

Foundations will need to be deepened in the vicinity of proposed trees or trees on adjacent sites, in accordance with NHBC guidelines and high shrinkability clays should be used in calculations. Where trees are to be removed the required founding depth should be determined on the basis of the existing tree height if it is less than 50% of the mature height and on the basis of full mature height if the current height is more than 50% of the mature height. Where a tree is to be retained the final mature height should be adopted. Due allowance should be made for future growth of the trees. The requirement for compressible material alongside foundations should be determined by reference to the NHBC guidelines.

Water maybe encountered within the made ground soils and the groundworks contractor may need to use some sump pumping to keep foundation excavations dry.

7.2 **Piled Foundations**

For the ground conditions at this site, driven or bored piles could be adopted. Driven piles would have the advantage of minimising the spoil that is generated, but consideration would need to be given to the effects of noise and vibrations on neighbouring sites and the deep sewer. Some form of bored pile may therefore be more appropriate. A conventional rotary augered pile could be considered, but temporary casing installed into the London Clay would be required to protect against groundwater inflows and instability from within the made ground. Therefore, to avoid the requirement for casing, bored piles installed using continuous flight auger (cfa) techniques may be more appropriate.



The following table of ultimate coefficients may be used for the preliminary design of bored piles, for retaining walls and for any structural loads, based on the measured SPT and cohesion / depth graph in the appendix. For the purposes of preliminary design, groundwater has been assumed to be at a depth of 1.00 m and all depths are show relative to a ground level where the boreholes were drilled.

Ultimate Skin Friction	kN/m^2	
Made ground	GL to 4.0 m	Ignore
London Clay $(\alpha = 0.5)$	4.0 m to 15 m	Increasing linearly from 35 to 100
Ultimate End Bearing		kN/m^2
London Clay	10.0 m to 15.0 m	Increasing linearly from 1260 to 1755

In the absence of pile tests, guidance from the London District Surveyors Association³ (LDSA) suggests that a factor of safety (FOS) of 2.6 should be applied to the above coefficients in the computation of safe theoretical working loads. The table below indicates the safe working loads for piles with diameters of 300 mm, 400 mm and 500 mm extending to depths of 10 m and 15 m while using a FOS of 2.6, as requested by the consulting engineers.

Pile diameter mm	Pile length m	Safe Working Load kN
200	10	150
300	15	320
400	10	215
400	15	360
500	10	285
500	15	580

The above examples are not intended to constitute any form of recommendation with regard to pile size or type, but merely serve to illustrate the use of the above coefficients. Specialist piling contractors should be consulted with regard to the design of an appropriate piling scheme, and their attention should be drawn to the presence of groundwater within the made ground and London Clay and also the presence of sand partings within the clay.

7.3 Excavations

The investigation indicated that made ground should generally stand unsupported for a short period of time. It is however recommended that support is used in excavations or that excavations are battered to suitable angles if excavations are required to remain open. Where personnel are required to enter excavations, a risk assessment should be carried out and temporary lateral support or battering of the excavation sides considered in order to comply with normal safety requirements.

Inflows of perched groundwater may be encountered in shallow excavations, particularly in the vicinity of existing foundations and other buried structures, although these should be suitably dealt with by sump pumping.



LDSA (2009) Foundations No 1 – Guidance notes for the design of straight shafted bored piles in London Clay. LDSA Publications

7.4 Ground Floor Slab

Following the lowering of site levels by approximately 300 mm, it should be possible to adopt a ground bearing floor slab bearing on the natural soils or made ground. This advice is based upon the assumption that the new slab will not impose any higher load than the existing slabs and that they have performed satisfactorily. It would be prudent to proof roll the formation level to identify any soft spots which should be removed and replaced with suitably compacted granular fill. Once proposals are finalised a check should be made that any load from ground bearing floor slabs is not transferred onto the sewer.

It would be prudent to carry out a survey of trees on adjacent sites to determine if the proposed slabs will be within the zone of influence of any trees. If so, ground floor slabs should be suspended over a void in accordance with NHBC guidelines.

The requirement for gas protection measures is discussed in Section 7.8.

7.5 **Pavement Design**

On the basis of laboratory tests on recompacted samples of made ground, a CBR value of around 2% to 3% could be adopted in the made ground. If the formation level is within the London Clay then a higher value of around 5% to 8% could be adopted.

7.6 Effect of Sulphates

Chemical analyses have revealed relatively high concentrations of soluble sulphate and neutral to alkaline pH conditions in accordance with Class DS-4 conditions of Table C2 of BRE Special Digest 1:SD Third Edition (2005). The measured pH values of the samples show that the ACES class of AC-3s would be appropriate for the site. This assumes a static water condition at the site.

The guidelines contained in the above digest should be followed in the design of foundation concrete.

7.7 **Contamination Risk Assessment**

The site has most recently been occupied by a carpentry and piano maker and was historically occupied by a smithy and an engineering works. A fuel tank was identified during the site walkover and a hydrocarbon odour was noted in the made ground in the east of the site. No odours were note din the natural soil.

The results of contamination testing have indicated elevated concentrations of sulphide, arsenic, lead, TPH, a range of constituent PAHs and total PAH in the made ground.

The maximum concentration of TPH was recorded in the malodorous soil from Trial Pit No 5. The other elevated concentrations of TPH were found in the samples from the eastern side of the courtyard, within Borehole Nos 1 and 2, and Trial Pit Nos 1, 2 and 5. Lead and arsenic were only elevated in Trial Pit Nos 1 and 4, in close proximity to the position of the former smithy. Other contamination generally consisting of PAH concentrations, were found to be across the site with no specific distribution.

The made ground across the site was noted to contain fragments of ash, coal, clinker and metal which may have given rise to the elevated concentrations of PAH and other



contamination. The presence of TPH may be attributed to localised spilling or leakage from drains, but a definitive source has not been identified.

The proposals include lowering of the site level by 300 mm and a large amount of made ground will be removed by the site strip, however some made ground will remain and will pose a risk to end users.

The following table provides a summary of the risk assessment and indicates where a pollution linkage has been established for which remedial action will be required. This assessment is based upon the existing environmental situation, with a view to carrying out remediation prior to redevelopment for residential purposes.

SOURCE	RECEPTOR	PATHWAY	COMMENTS
Inorganic and organic contamination within near surface soils	end users	vapours	the risk of even low concentrations of hydrocarbon vapours entering the proposed residential development will need to be prevented. Protection measures and remedial action will be required.
		direct contact	end users will potentially come into contact with shallow surface soils in areas of soft landscaping. Precautions will be necessary in areas of soft landscaping
	groundwater	percolation	the presence of buildings and hardstanding will prevent percolation of surface run-off. The proposals will introduce areas of soft landscaping and localised remediation will be required
		groundwater	the London Clay will inhibit downward percolation to the groundwater at depth within the chalk principal aquifer
	site workers during construction	ingestion of contaminated soil or dust, skin contact, inhalation	appropriate protective equipment and working practices required during demolition and ground work
	adjacent sites	migration of mobile contamination, along sewer that crosses the site	the risk of lateral migration of contamination will need to be minimised.
	plastic services	direct contact	protection from hydrocarbon contaminants may be necessary
	foundation concrete	direct contact	protection from hydrocarbon and sulphate contaminants may be required if the retardation of setting cannot be tolerated
	vegetation	uptake via soil, ground water or vapour	provision of a suitable growing medium will be required in areas of public open space. This is likely to be dealt with in association with the protection of end users.

Each of the potential pollution linkages is considered in more detail below.

7.7.1 End Users

The investigation has encountered contamination which appears to be restricted to the made ground, which was encountered to be generally shallow, but of significant depth in Borehole No 2. The source of contamination has not been identified and it is recommended that further investigation is carried out, once access becomes available, to determine the extent of any remediation required.



At this stage it is considered that the most cost-effective approach to remediation of the contamination may be to simply remove the made ground in areas where a pathway would be present between the contamination and sensitive receptors, especially as removal will be required in any case in areas of public open space where a suitable thickness of appropriate subsoil and topsoil will be required.

Following the initial site strip it is recommended that all malodorous or stained soils present at formation level should be removed from site; it may be appropriate to leave the soil below the access road but any contaminated soils should be removed from areas of proposed services.

Within soft landscaped areas end users will need to be protected from the identified contaminants within the made ground. At this stage it is recommended that a cover thickness of imported subsoil and topsoil of 600 mm in thickness should be specified to ensure successful plant growth and protect end users, in accordance with recommendations from BRE⁴. It may be possible to reduce the final thickness of cover required, but this will need to be determined once final levels have been established and the concentrations of potential contaminants within the imported material are known.

Elevated concentrations of hydrocarbons have been encountered which can pose a vapour risk to end users within buildings. If the remediation strategy does not remove all the potential hydrocarbon contamination it would be prudent to allow for the inclusion of vapour resistant membranes within the new buildings. Alternatively additional investigation and / or monitoring could be carried out to assess the level of risk associated with hydrocarbon vapours.

As noted above, further investigation is however required to confirm that these proposals are sufficient.

7.7.2 Groundwater

Perched groundwater was encountered in one of the trial pits and the introduction of soft landscaped areas will create a pathway for surface runoff to percolate through the made ground and mobilise contamination. However, the measures noted above will remove the significant contamination from the made ground and hence there will be no risk to groundwater.

7.7.3 Adjacent Sites

As noted above, the measures to protect end users will inhibit the pathway for migration of contamination to adjacent sites.

7.7.4 Site Workers

Concentrations of potentially toxic and carcinogenic metals, sulphides, PAH and TPH have been measured in the soils. Site workers should be made aware of the contamination and a programme of working should be identified to protect workers handling any soil. The method of site working should be in accordance with guidelines set out by HSE⁵ and CIRIA⁶ and the requirements of the Local Authority Environmental Health Officer.



BRE (2004) Cover systems for land regeneration. Thickness of cover systems for contaminated land. BRE pub 465
 HSE (1992) HS(G)66 Protection of workers and the general public during the development of contaminated land

HMSO

⁶ CIRIA (1996) A guide for safe working on contaminated sites Report 132, Construction Industry Research and Information Association

7.7.5 Services and Foundations

Consideration may need to be given to the protection of buried plastic services laid within the made ground. Details of the proposed protection measures for buried plastic services will in any case need to be approved by the EHO and the relevant service authority prior to the adoption of any scheme. It is possible that barrier pipe will be required or additional testing will need to be carried out.

Foundations may need to be protected if placed in soil contaminated with hydrocarbons and sulphates. The concrete mix should be designed in accordance to best practice and in relation to contamination recorded at the site. Alternatively, barriers around the foundations could be used.

As with any previously developed site, there may be contamination in areas that have not been investigated and it should be noted that only a limited number of samples have been subject to contamination testing. A watching brief should be maintained during groundworks and if any suspicious soils are encountered they should be the subject of further investigation.

7.8 Waste Disposal

Any spoil arising from excavations or landscaping works will need to be disposed of to a licensed tip. Under the European Waste Directive landfills are classified as accepting inert, non-hazardous or hazardous wastes in accordance with the EU waste Directive.

Based upon on the technical guidance provided by the Environment Agency⁷ it is considered likely that the made ground from this site, as represented by the eight chemical analyses carried out, would be classified as Hazardous waste, which is due to the high concentrations of TPH. It should be possible to segregate or zone material containing high concentrations of TPH to keep hazardous waste to a minimum; if this is done the majority of the made ground could be classified as non-hazardous waste. Natural soils may be classified as an Inert waste.

Under the requirements of the European Waste Directive all waste needs to be pre-treated prior to disposal. The pre-treatment process must be physical, thermal, chemical or biological, including sorting. It must change the characteristics of the waste in order to reduce its volume, hazardous nature, facilitate handling or enhance recovery. The waste producer can carry out the treatment but they will need to provide documentation to prove that this has been carried out. Alternatively, the treatment can be carried out by an approved contractor. The Environment Agency has issued a position paper⁸ which states that in certain circumstances, segregation at source may be considered as pre-treatment and thus excavated material may not have to be treated prior to landfilling if the soils can be segregated onsite prior to excavation by sufficiently characterising the soils insitu prior to excavation.

The above opinion with regard to the classification of the excavated soils is provided for guidance only and should be confirmed by the receiving landfill once the soils to be discarded have been identified.

The local waste regulation department of the Environment Agency (EA) should be contacted to obtain details of tips that are licensed to accept the soil represented by the test results. The tips will be able to provide costs for disposing of this material but may require further testing.



 ⁷ Environment Agency May 2008. Hazardous Waste: Interpretation of the definition and classification of hazardous waste. Technical Guidance WM2 Second Edition Version 2.2

Regulatory Position Statement *Treating non-hazardous waste for landfill - Enforcing the new requirement* Environment Agency 23 Oct 2007

8.0 OUTSTANDING RISKS AND ISSUES

This section of the report aims to highlight areas where further work is required as a result of limitations on the scope of this investigation, or where issues have been identified by this investigation that warrant further consideration. The scope of risks and issues discussed in this section is by no means exhaustive, but covers the main areas where additional work is considered to be required.

The ground is a heterogeneous natural material and variations will inevitably arise between the locations at which it is investigated. This report has provided an assessment of the ground conditions based on the discrete points at which the ground was sampled, but the ground conditions should be subject to review as the work proceeds to ensure that any variations from the Ground Model are properly assessed by a suitably qualified person.

Significant concentrations of contamination have been recorded at the site. Further investigation is required to determine the extent of the contamination and the scope of remediation required.

Depending on the layout of the proposed buildings with respect to the line of the deep sewer and associated zone of deep made ground, it may be feasible to adopt spread foundations. Additional investigation is likely to be required to profile the thickness of the made ground either side of the sewer.



APPENDIX

Borehole Records

Trial Pit Records

SPT & Cohesion Depth Graph

Laboratory Test Results

:Geotechnical Results Summary :Chemical Analyses (Soil)

Generic Guideline Values

Envirocheck Analysis

Site Plan



GEEA Geotechnical & Environmental Associates					Tytten C	hange ourser St	r House rs Road Albans	Site 30a Highgate Road, London, NW5 1NS	Borehole Number BH1
Boring Method Casing Dia Cable Percussion 150m			Casing Diameter 150mm to 1.50m			Leve	I (mOD)	Client Mr Colin Serlin	Job Number J12041
		Locatio	Dates 08	Dates 08/03/2012		Engineer Elliott Wood Partnership	Sheet 1/2		
Depth (m)	Sample / Tests	Casing Depth (m)	Water Depth (m)	Field Records	Level (mOD)	D (Thi)epth (m) ckness)	Description	Legend Safe
							(0.10) 0.10	Tarmac	
0.50	D1					l.l.l.l.l.	(0.10) 0.20 (0.40) 0.60	Concrete MADE GROUND (brown clayey gravelly sand with concrete fragments)	
1.00	D2						(0.80)	MADE GROUND (brown and grey silty sandy gravelly clay with brick and chalk fragments and hydrocarbon odour)	
1.20-1.65	CPT N=9	1.20	DRY	1,2/1,2,3,3			1 40		
1.20	B1					ليليليليل	1.40	Firm becoming stiff brown mottled grey fissured high to very high strength silty CLAY with selenite crystals	×
2.00-2.45	U1					hhhh			×
2.50	D3								× ×
2.70	D4								× ×
3.00-3.45 3.00	SPT N=9 S1	1.20	DRY	1,2/2,2,2,3		<u>، ارارار</u>			× × × ×
2.00	DE								×
4.00-4.45	U2								×
									. <u>×x</u>
4.50	D6								×
4.80	D7					Ē			×
5.00-5.45 5.00	SPT N=15 S2	1.20	DRY	2,2/3,3,4,5					×
							(7.90)		× ×
									××
6.00-6.45	U3								×
									×
6.50	D8								×
									×
						1 1 1			×
7.50-7.95 7.50	SPT N=19 S3	1.20	DRY	2,3/4,5,5,5					××
									××
									××
									××
									××
9.00-9.45	U4								× ×
	-						9.30	Stiff brownish grey fissured high and very high strength silty	×
9.50	D9		-					CLAY with selenite crystals	×
						Ē_			×
Remarks Starter pit ex Groundwater Standpipe in	cavated to 1.2 m, 1 l r not encountered stalled to a depth of	nr 15 mins 4.0 m	8					Scale (approx	Logged By
Tidying bore	hole position, 45 min	S						1:50	ME
								Figure J12	No. 041.BH1

Geotechnical & Environmental Associates						hanger House coursers Road St Albans AL4 0PG	Site 30a Highgate Road, London, NW5 1NS		Borehole Number BH1
Boring Method Casing Diameter Cable Percussion 150mm to 1 Location		r .50m	Ground Level (mOD)		Client Mr Colin Serlin		Job Number J12041		
		Location			Dates 08	3/03/2012	Engineer Elliott Wood Partnership		Sheet 2/2
Depth (m)	Sample / Tests	Casing Depth (m)	Water Depth (m)	Field Records	Level (mOD)	Depth (m) (Thickness)	Description		Legend a
10.50-10.95 10.50	SPT N=22 S4	1.20	DRY	3,4/5,5,5,7					xx x _x xx xx x _x
12.00-12.45 12.50	U5 D10					(5.70)			
13.50-13.95 13.50 14.50-14.95	SPT N=26 S5 U6	1.20	DRY	4,4/5,6,7,8					x x x x x x x x x x x x x x
15.00	D11						Complete at 15.00m		× ×
Remarks								Scale (approx) 1:50 Figure N	Logged By ME No.

EB	Geotechnical & Environmental Associates				Tytten C	hanger H Coursers F St All AL4 (louse Road bans 0PG	Site 30a Highgate Road, London, NW5 1NS		Borehole Number BH2
Boring Method Cable Percussion		Casing 15	Diamete 0mm to 1	r .50m	Ground Level (mOD)			Client Mr Colin Serlin		Job Number J12041
		Locatio	n		Dates 08	Dates 08/03/2012		Engineer Elliott Wood Partnership		Sheet 1/2
Depth (m)	Sample / Tests	Casing Depth (m)	Water Depth (m)	Field Records	Level (mOD)	Dep (m (Thick	oth 1) ness)	Description	L	Vater V
0.60	D1						0.10) 0.10 0.15) 0.25	Tarmac Concrete MADE GROUND (brown clayey gravelly sand with bric and concrete fragments)		
1.20-1.65 1.20	CPT N=5 B1	1.20	DRY	1,1/1,1,1,2			1.15) 1 <i>.</i> 40	MADE GROUND (brown mottled dark brown silty sand		
2.00-2.45 2.00	CPT N=5 B2	1.50	DRY	1,0/1,1,2,1				gravely clay with occasional brick fragments)		
2.80 3.00-3.45 3.00	D2 CPT N=6 B3	1.50	DRY	1,1/1,2,1,2		ն ներությունը ներությունը	2.50)			
3.90 4.00-4.45 4.10	D3 SPT N=12 S1	1.50	DRY	2,2/2,3,3,4			3.90	Firm becoming stiff brown mottled grey fissured high stregth silty CLAY with occasional selenite crystals		
5.00-5.45	U1					L. l. l. l. l. l. l.			×	× × × × × × × × ×
5.50	D4	1 50	DRY	2 3/3 4 5 6					×	<x <x <x <x< td=""></x<></x </x </x
6.00	S2						5.90)		×	× × × × × × × × × ×
7.50-7.95	U2								 , -	× × × × ×
8.00	D5								, , , , ,	× × × × × ×
9.00-9.45 9.00	SPT N=19 S3	1.50	DRY	3,3/4,4,5,6					>	× × ×
9.90	D6						9.80	Stiff brownish grey fissured high strength silty CLAY wi	ith	×
Remarks Starter pit ex Groundwate	cavated to 1.2 m, 1 l	nr 30 min:	3					S (ap	icale oprox)	Logged By
Cleaning, tid	stalled to a depth of ying borehole position	4.0 m in and ba	gging exc	ess spoil, 45 mins				1	1:50	ME
								FI	J1204). 1.BH2

ता	Geotechnical & Environmental Associates				Tytten C	hanger House oursers Road St Albans AL4 0PG	Site 30a Highgate Road, London, NW5 1NS		Borehole Number BH2
Boring Meth	nod ssion	Casing 15	Diamete 0mm to 1	r .50m	Ground	Level (mOD)	Client Mr Colin Serlin		Job Number J12041
		Locatio	n		Dates 08	8/03/2012	Engineer Elliott Wood Partnership		Sheet 2/2
Depth (m)	Sample / Tests	Casing Depth (m)	Water Depth (m)	Field Records	Level (mOD)	Depth (m) (Thickness)	Description		Vater V
							selenite crystals and occasional fine sandy silt part	tings	×
10.50-10.95	U3							-	×
11.00	D7								×
12.00-12.45 12.00	SPT N=21 S4	1.50	DRY	3,4/4,5,6,6		(5.20)			× × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × ×
13.50-13.95	U4								×
14.00	D8								×
14.50-14.95 14.50	SPT N=28 S5	1.50	DRY	4,5/6,6,8,8		15.00			×
							Complete at 15.00m		
Remarks		I		kampan yanya yang yang kampanan kana kana ka	1			Scale (approx)	Logged By
							ŀ	1:50	ME
								J1204	41.BH2

















PROJE	CT NAME			30a HIGHGATE ROAD, LONDON Project Number: J12041										Date Approved	03/04/2 Sirrer B	012 ente
PROJE	ECT NO:			GEO / 18042										Page	1 of	2
	Sample det	oic			Classification T	acte	Dansity Tasts	l Indrained	Triavial Compr	eccion Tacte		Chemic	al Tacte			
Borehole	Denth	NO	TVDA	Description		<425		Cell	Deviator	Shear	H	2:1 W/S	Madhesium	Other te	sts and cor	nments
No.	(m)				(%) (%) (%) (%)	mic (%)	(Mg/m ³) (Mg/m ³)	Pressure (kPa)	Stress (kPa)	Stress (kPa)	2	SO4 (g/l)	Water Soluble (mg/kg)		2	2
BH1	2.00 - 2.45	-	⊃	Firm to stiff brown with grey veins CLAY	33 73 27 46	100	1.97 1.48	40	196	86	8.5	0.75		California	Bearing Ra	atio Test
BH1	4.00 - 4.45	0	⊃	Stiff brown with grey veins CLAY	59		1.95 1.51	80	235	118						
BH1	6.00 - 6.45	ю :	⊃	Stiff brown CLAY	29 72 28 44	100	1.99 1.54	120	331	165						
BH1	9.00 - 9.45	4	⊃	Stiff brown CLAY	32		1.95 1.48	180	364	182						
BH1	12.00 - 12.4	2 2	⊃	Very stiff dark brown CLAY	50		1.98 1.53	240	309	154						
BH1	14.50 - 14.9	15 6	⊃	Very stiff dark brown CLAY	28		1.97 1.54	290	288	144						
BH2	4.10	-	S	Stiff brown silty CLAY with rare grey staining and selenite crystals	28 69 26 43	100					7.9	4.8	460			
BH2	5.00 - 5.45	-	⊃	Stiff brown with grey veins CLAY with rare pockets of selenite crystals	90		1.97 1.51	100	270	135						
BH2	7.50 - 7.95	0	D	Stiff brown CLAY	30		1.99 1.53	150	290	145						
BH2	10.50 - 10.9	15 3	⊃	Stiff brown CLAY	31		1.95 1.48	210	177	89						
BH2	13.50 - 13.9	15	⊃	Very stiff dark brown CLAY	27		2.01 1.58	270	291	145						
BH2	14.50	2	ა	Stiff dark grey-brown silty CLAY	27 78 28 50	100										
าร	IMMAR'	Υ 0	Ъ Ц	EOTECHNICAL TESTING										<u>GEO</u>	TA	BS
Test Re Authoris	port by GEOL.	ABS Li • J R I	mited Masters	Bucknalls Lane, Garston, Watford, Hertfordshire, WD25 9XX (Qual Mgr) • C F Wallace (Tech Mgr) • J Sturges (Ops Mgr) [X] {	imon Burke (Snr Teo	, L • (ha	I M Powell (Tech D	Dir)		۵	GEOL	ABS LIM	ITED	(Ref5002.37)	2685) G	Page 1 of 1 EOLABS®

Authorised Signatories: • J R Masters (Qual Mgr) • C F Wallace (Tech Mgr) • J Sturges (Ops Mgr) [X] Simon Burke (Snr Tech) • J J M Powell (Tech Dir) Client: Geotechnical & Environmental Associates Limited, Tyttenhanger House, Courses Road, St Albans, Hertfordshire AL4 0PG

PROJEC PROJEC	ET NAME			30a HIGHGATE ROAD, LONDON Project Number: J12041 GEO / 18042							Date 03/04/: Approved Same L Page 2	2012 Berle 2
	of classes	ali at			Annual antica Tanta	H				Observational Trade]
	Sample de	etails			Classification lests	Density Le	ests Undrai	ned Triaxial Compre	ssion Tests	Chemical lests		
Borehole No.	Depth (m)	No.	Type	Description	MC LL PL PI <425 (%) (%) (%) (%) (%)	Bulk Dr (Mg/m ³) (Mg/	ry Cell Pressui (kPa)	re Stress (kPa)	Shear Stress (kPa)	pH W/S Water SO4 SO4 (g/l) (g/l)	Other tests and co	mments
TP7	1.00	'	В	Firm brown, grey and orange sandy gravelly CLAY	29 60 27 33 81							
TP2	0.80	1		Stiff brown and orange sandy CLAY with rare fine gravel	26 56 23 33 99					8.1 0.48		
BH2	1.20	1	В	MADE GROUND: (Soft brown silty clay inter mixed with black sand sized ash, coarse concrete and fine to medium brick)							California Bearing R	atio Test
SU	MMAR	C C	ЪG	EOTECHNICAL TESTING							GEOLA	BS
Test Rep Authorise Client: Ge	ort by GEC 3d Signatorie: ≏otechnical &	DLABS L s: • J R Enviror	imited Masters	Bucknalls Lane, Garston, Watford, Hertfordshire, WD25 9XX 3 (Qual Mgr) • C F Wallace (Tech Mgr) • J Sturges (Ops Mgr) [X] Simon Bur Accordance Limited Turknohomor House Courses Dood St Albons Harthords	irke (Snr Tech) • J J M Powel. shire AI 4 0PG	l (Tech Dir)			Ø	GEOLABS LIMITED	(Ref5002.372870) G	Page 1 of 1 SEOLABS®

BS133 Quick Undrain	77 : Part 7 : Clause 8 : 1990 ed Triaxial Compressio	n Test	
Borehole Number: BH1 Sample Number: 1 Depth (m): 2.00 - 2.45	Description: Firm to stiff brown with grey ve	ins CLAY	
	Single Stage Specimen		
Specimen details	Single Specimen		
Specimen condition: Length (mm): Diameter (mm): Moisture Content (%): Bulk Density (Mg/m ³): Dry Density (Mg/m ³): Test details Latex membrane thickness (mm) Membrane correction (kPa): Axial displacement rate (%/min): Cell pressure (kPa): Strain at failure (%): Maximum Douistor Stress (kPa):	Condisturbed 202.8 101.9 33 1.97 1.48 0.3 0.8 2.0 40 12.8 196		Orientation and position of sample
Maximum Deviator Stress (kPa): Shear Stress Cu (kPa): Mode of failure:	98		
Approved Gl Initials: Project Name: JB 30a HIGHGA Date: 03/04/2012	EO / 18042 NTE ROAD, LONDON Number: J12041		GEOLABS ®

 Test Report by
 GEOLABS Limited
 Bucknalls Lane, Garston, Watford, Hertfordshire, WD25 9XX

 Authorised Signatories: • J R Masters (Qual Mgr) • C F Wallace (Tech Mgr) • J Sturges (Ops Mgr) [X] Simon Burke (Snr Tech) • J J M Powell (Tech Dir)

 Client: Geotechnical & Environmental Associates Limited, Tytlenhanger House, Courses Road, St Albans, Hertfordshire AL4 0PG

GEOLABS®

	BS1377 Quick Undraine	7 : Part 7 : Clause 8 : 1990 ed Triaxial Compression Test	
Borehole Nur Sample Num Depth (m):	nber: BH1 [ber: 2 4.00 - 4.45	Description: Stiff brown with grey veins CLAY	
		Single Stage Specimen	
	Specimen details	Single Specimen	
	Specimen condition:	Undisturbed	and
	_engtn (mm): Diameter (mm):	103.4	ation of se
	Moisture Content (%):	29	sition
	Bulk Density (Mg/m³):	1.95	O ğ
	Dry Density (Mg/m³):	1.51	-
	Test details		
	Latex membrane thickness (mm):	0.3	
	Axial displacement rate (%/min):	2.0	
(Cell pressure (kPa):	80	
5	Strain at failure (%):	10.4	
1	Maximum Deviator Stress (kPa):	235	
	Shear Stress Cu (kPa):	118	
ſ	Mode of failure:		
Checked and Approved Initials:	Project Number: GEC Project Name:	D / 18042	GEOLABS ®
<i>SB</i>	30a HIGHGAT	E ROAD, LONDON	
Date: 03/04/2012	Project Nu	umber: J12041 1982	

Test Report by GEOLABS Limited Bucknalls Lane, Garston, Watford, Hertfordshire, WD25 9XX Authorised Signatories: • J R Masters (Qual Mgr) • C F Wallace (Tech Mgr) • J Sturges (Ops Mgr) [X] Simon Burke (Snr Tech) • J J M Powell (Tech Dir) Client: Geotechnical & Environmental Associates Limited, Tyttenhanger House, Courses Road, St Albans, Hertfordshire AL4 0PG © GEOLABS LIMITED (Ref5002.400081) Page 1 of 1 GEOLABS®

	Quick Undraine	ed Triaxial Compression Te	est	
Borehole Nu Sample Num Depth (m):	mber: BH1 Iber: 3 6.00 - 6.45	Description: Stiff brown CLAY		
	······	Single Stage Specimen		
Γ	Specimen details	Single Specimen		
	Specimen condition:	Undisturbed		ple d
	Length (mm):	202.6		f sam
	Diameter (mm):	102.4		ion o
	Moisture Content (%):	29		Orie
	Dry Density (Mg/m ³):	1.55		
	Test details	1.07		-
	Latex membrane thickness (mm):	0.3		1
	Membrane correction (kPa):	0.5		
	Axial displacement rate (%/min):	2.0		
	Cell pressure (kPa):	120		
	Strain at failure (%):	6.9		
	Maximum Deviator Stress (kPa):	331		
	Shear Stress Cu (kPa):	165		-
1	Mode of failure:			
		M		
hecked and Approved tials: SB ate: 03/04/2012	Project Number: GEC Project Name: 30a HIGHGAT	D / 18042 E ROAD, LONDON		GEOLABS ®
				I L

.

Undrained Triaxial Compression Test	Ł
Description: Stiff brown CLAY	
Single Stage Specimen	
Single Specimen	
Undisturbed	mple
204.9	of sai
32	tition
1.95	Do So
1.48	
ness (mm): 0.3	
(kPa): 0.3	
4.1	
ess (kPa): 364	
a): 182	
	Description: Stiff brown CLAY Single Stage Specimen Undisturbed 204.9 103.0 32 1.95 1.48 ness (mm): 0.3 e (%/min): 2.0 180 4.1 ess (kPa): 364 a):

 Test Report by
 GEOLABS Limited
 Bucknalls Lane, Garston, Watford, Hertfordshire, WD25 9XX
 ©
 GEOLABS LIMITED

 Authorised Signatories: • J R Masters (Qual Mgr) • C F Wallace (Tech Mgr) • J Sturges (Ops Mgr) [X] Simon Burke (Snr Tech) • J J M Powell (Tech Dir)
 GEOLABS LIMITED

 Client: Geotechnical & Environmental Associates Limited, Tyttenhanger House, Courses Road, St Albans, Hertfordshire AL4 0PG
 GEOLABS LIMITED

00312) Page 1 of 1 GEOLABS® (Ref5002

	BS13 Quick Undrain	77 : Part 7 : Clause 8 : 1990 Ied Triaxial Compress	sion Test	
Borehole Number: Sample Number: Depth (m):	BH1 5 12.00 - 12.45	Description: Very stiff dark brown CLAY		
		Single Stage Specimer]	
Specime	en details	Single Specimen		
Specime	en condition:	Undisturbed		mple
Length ((mm):	203.1		tion a
Diamete	er (mm):	103.0		ental ion o
Bulk De	nsity (Ma/m ³):	1.98		Dosit
Dry Den	sity (Ma/m³):	1.50		
Test det	ails			1 L
Latex m	embrane thickness (mm)	: 0.3		
Membra	ne correction (kPa):	0.5		
Axial dis	placement rate (%/min):	2.0		
Cell pres	ssure (kPa):	240		
Strain at	failure (%):	6.9		
Maximur	m Deviator Stress (kPa):	309		
Shear St	tress Cu (kPa):	154	······································	-
Mode of	failure:			
		J]
Checked and Project Approved	Number:	EO / 18042		
Initials: Project	Name: 30a HIGHGA	TE ROAD, LONDON		GEOLABS ®
Date: 03/04/2012	Project N	Number: J12041	TESTINC 1982	

Test Report by GEOLABS Limited Bucknalls Lane, Garston, Watford, Hertfordshire, WD25 9XX Authorised Signatories: • J R Masters (Qual Mgr) • C F Wallace (Tech Mgr) • J Sturges (Ops Mgr) [X] Simon Burke (Snr Tech) • J J M Powell (Tech Dir) Client: Geotechnical & Environmental Associates Limited, Tyttenhanger House, Courses Road, St Albans, Hertfordshire AL4 0PG

© GEOLABS LIMITED

(Ref5002.400347) Page 1 of 1 GEOLABS®

	BS137 Quick Undraine	7 : Part 7 : Clause 8 : 1990 ed Triaxial Compression Test		
Borehole Nu Sample Num Depth (m):	mber: BH1 ber: 6 14.50 - 14.95	Description: Very stiff dark brown CLAY		
		Single Stage Specimen	T	
	Specimen details Specimen condition: Length (mm): Diameter (mm): Moisture Content (%): Bulk Density (Mg/m ³): Dry Density (Mg/m ³): Test details Latex membrane thickness (mm): Membrane correction (kPa): Axial displacement rate (%/min): Cell pressure (kPa): Strain at failure (%): Maximum Deviator Stress (kPa): Shear Stress Cu (kPa): Mode of failure:	Single Stage Specimen Undisturbed 203.5 103.3 28 1.97 1.54 0.3 0.3 290 3.7 288 144		Orientation and position of sample
Checked and Approved Initials: <i>SB</i> Date:	Project Number: GEO Project Name: 30a HIGHGAT	O / 18042 E ROAD, LONDON		GEOLABS ®
- 03/04/2012	Project N	umber: J12041	1982	

Test Report by GEOLABS Limited Bucknalls Lane, Garston, Watford, Hertfordshire, WD25 9XX Authorised Signatories: • J R Masters (Qual Mgr) • C F Wallace (Tech Mgr) • J Sturges (Ops Mgr) [X] Simon Burke (Snr Tech) • J J M Powell (Tech Dir) Client: Geotechnical & Environmental Associates Limited, Tytlenhanger House, Courses Road, St Albans, Hertfordshire AL4 0PG © GEOLABS LIMITED (Ref5002.400370) Page 1 of 1 GEOLABS®

		ed Triaxial Compression Test	<u> </u>
Borehole Num	nber: BH2	Description:	
Sample Numb	ber: 1	Stiff brown with grey veins CLAY with rare	
	5.00 - 5.45		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1 *****		Single Stage Specimen	
S	Specimen details	Single Specimen	
S	Specimen condition:	Undisturbed	mple
	ength (mm):	204.0	f sai
	Diameter (mm):	103.1	on o
	Volsture Content (%):	30	Orie
		1.97	
	Dry Density (Wg/m*):	1.51	
	est details	0.3	
	Acet memorane inickness (mm):	0.5	
	vial displacement rate (%/min)		
	anai uispiacemeni iaie (70/mm). All pressure (kDa):	100	
	train at failure $(\%)$	74	
	laximum Deviator Stress (kPo)	270	
	hear Stress Cu (kPa).	135	
F			
hecked and	Project Number:		
hecked and	Project Number:	149042	
hecked and Approved	Project Number: Project Name:	0/18042	GEOLABS
hecked and Approved itials:	Project Number: GEC Project Name:	D/18042 E ROAD LONDON	GEOLABS
hecked and Approved tials:	Project Number: GEC Project Name: 30a HIGHGAT	D/18042 E ROAD, LONDON	GEOLABS

	BS1377 Quick Undraine	7 : Part 7 : Clause 8 : 1990 d Triaxial Compression Tes	st	
Borehole Num Sample Numb	ber: BH2 [er: 2 7 50 - 7 95	Description: Stiff brown CLAY		
	1.00 - 1.00	Single Stage Specimen		
	naaiman dataila			
S	pecimen condition:	Undisturbed		Ste d
Le	ength (mm):	202.1		sam
D	iameter (mm):	101.6		ntatio
M	loisture Content (%):	30		Orier
B	ulk Density (Mg/m³):	1.99		<u> </u>
	ry Density (Mg/m³):	1.53		
	est details	0.2		
	alex memorane inickness (mm):	0.3		
	xial displacement rate (%/min)	2.0		
	ell pressure (kPa):	150		
SI	train at failure (%):	5.9		
M	aximum Deviator Stress (kPa):	290		
SI	hear Stress Cu (kPa):	145		
М	ode of failure:			
				· ·
Checked and	Project Number:		ດົ່າວ	
Approved	GEC	D / 18042		
Initials:	Project Name:		(₹\$)	GEOLABS ®
55 Data:	30a HIGHGAT	E KOAD, LONDON	UKAS	
03/04/2012	Project Nu	umber: J12041	1982	

Test Report by GEOLABS Limited Bucknails Lane, Garston, Watford, Hertfordshire, WD25 9XX Authorised Signatories: • J R Masters (Qual Mgr) • C F Waliace (Tech Mgr) • J Sturges (Ops Mgr) [X] Simon Burke (Snr Tech) • J J M Powell (Tech Dir) Client: Geotechnical & Environmental Associates Limited, Tyttenhanger House, Courses Road, St Albans, Hertfordshire AL4 0PG © GEOLABS LIMITED (Ref5002.400428) Page 1 of 1 GEOLABS®

	BS137 Quick Undraine	7 : Part 7 : Clause 8 : 1990 ed Triaxial Compressi	on Test	
Borehole Num Sample Numb Depth (m):	ber: BH2 er: 3 10.50 - 10.95	Description: Stiff brown CLAY		
		Single Stage Specimen		
	nooimon dotoilo	Single Stage Specimen		
s	pecimen condition:	Undisturbed		le g
L	ength (mm):	202.4		on an sam
	iameter (mm):	102.7		on of
	loisture Content (%):			Orie
	uik Density (Mg/m²): ny Density (Mg/m³):	1.95		ů.
	est details			
	atex membrane thickness (mm):	0.3		
N	lembrane correction (kPa):	0.3		
A	xial displacement rate (%/min):	2.0		
	ell pressure (kPa):	210		
	train at failure (%):	3.2		
S	hear Stress Cu (kPa):	89		
N	ode of failure:	F 77	÷	
10				
		Ψ		
Chapter	Project Number:			
Approved	GEC	D / 18042		0501 400
nintials: SB		E ROAD, LONDON		GEULAB3 ®
Date: 03/04/2012	Project N	umber: J12041	UKAS TESTING 1982	
est Report by GEOLABS Lin	hited Bucknalls Lane, Garston, Watford, Hertfordshire, WD25	9XX	© GEOLABS LIMITED	(Ref5002.400451) Page 1 of

Test Report by GEOLABS Limited Bucknalls Lane, Garston, Watford, Hertfordshire, WD25 9XX Authorised Signatories: • J R Masters (Qual Mgr) • C F Wallace (Tech Mgr) • J Sturges (Ops Mgr) [X] Simon Burke (Snr Tech) • J J M Powell (Tech Dir) Client: Geotechnical & Environmental Associates Limited, Tytlenhanger House, Courses Road, St Albans, Hertfordshire AL4 0PG

(Ref5002.400451) Page 1 of 1 GEOLABS®

	BS1377 Quick Undraine	7 : Part 7 : Clause 8 : 1990 ed Triaxial Compression Test		
Borehole Num Sample Numb Depth (m):	nber: BH2 per: 4 13.50 - 13.95	Description: Very stiff dark brown CLAY		
		Single Stage Specimen		
5	Specimen details	Single Specimen		
	Specimen condition: .ength (mm): Diameter (mm): Aoisture Content (%):	Undisturbed 202.7 102.5 27		orientation and sition of sample
E C T	Bulk Density (Mg/m³): Dry Density (Mg/m³): est details	2.01 1.58		
L M A C S M	atex membrane thickness (mm): fembrane correction (kPa): xial displacement rate (%/min): cell pressure (kPa): train at failure (%): faximum Deviator Stress (kPa):	0.3 0.3 2.0 270 3.7 291		
N	hear Stress Cu (kPa): lode of failure:			
Checked and Approved	Project Number:	1 / 1 80 / 2		
Initials:	Project Name: 30a HIGHGAT	E ROAD, LONDON		GEOLABS ®
Date: 03/04/2012	Project Nu	umber: J12041	UNAS TESTING 1982	

© GEOLABS LIMITED Test Report by GEOLABS Limited Bucknalls Lane, Garston, Watford, Hertfordshire, WD25 9XX Authorised Signatories: • J R Masters (Qual Mgr) • C F Wallace (Tech Mgr) • J Sturges (Ops Mgr) [X] Simon Burke (Snr Tech) • J J M Powell (Tech Dir) Client: Geotechnical & Environmental Associates Limited, Tyttenhanger House, Courses Road, St Albans, Hertfordshire AL4 0PG

(Ref5002.400498) Page 1 of 1 GEOLABS®



 Test Report by
 GEOLABS Limited
 Bucknalls Lane, Garston, Watford, Hertfordshire, WD25 9XX

 Authorised Signatories:
 J R Masters (Qual Mgr)
 C F Wallace (Tech Mgr)
 J Sturges (Ops Mgr)
 [X] S Burke (Snr Tech)
 Client: Geotechnical & Environmental Associates Limited, Tyttenhanger House, Courses Road, St Albans, Hertfordshire AL4 0PG

GEOLABS ®



 Test Report by
 GEOLABS Limited
 Bucknalls Lane, Garston, Watford, Hertfordshire, WD25 9XX

 Authorised Signatories:
 • J R Masters (Qual Mgr)
 • C F Wallace (Tech Mgr)
 • J Sturges (Ops Mgr)
 [X] S Burke (Snr Tech)
 Client: Geotechnical & Environmental Associates Limited, Tyttenhanger House, Courses Road, St Albans, Hertfordshire AL4 0PG

GEOLABS ®

Tyttenhanger House St Albans Herts Coursers Road AL4 0PG GEA

FAO Matthew Elcock

LABORATORY TEST REPORT

Results of analysis of 8 samples received 19 March 2012

30a Highgate Road, London - J12041

Login Batch No							202	745			
Chemtest LIMS ID			_	AH11989 RH1	AH11990 BH2	AH11991 BH2	AH11992 TP1	AH11993 TP4	AH11994 TP5	AH11996 TP7	AH11997 TP2
Sample No			_1	D2	2 10	D2	-	-	5	-	1
Sampling Date				08/03/2012	08/03/2012	08/03/2012	13/03/2012	30/12/1899	30/12/1899	30/12/1899	30/12/1899
Depth			1	1	0.6m	2.8m	0.4m	0.3m	0.4m	0.5m	0.3m
Matrix			1	SOIL							
SOP↓ Determinand↓	CAS Not	Units↓	*								
2300 Cyanide (total)	57125	mg kg-1	Σ	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	09:0	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50
2325 Sulfide (Easily Liberatable)	18496258	mg kg-1	Σ	38	95	150	370	18	140	5.6	16
2625 Total Organic Carbon		%	Σ	3.3	5.7	0.75	4.1	2.3	0.99	4.6	3.3
2220 Chloride (extractable)	16887006	9 ا -	Σ	0.12	0.087	0.012	0.097	0.26	0.020	<0.010	0.021
2430 Sulfate (total) as SO4		mg kg-1	Σ	9400	3900	800	8200	4700	600	400	6400
2450 Arsenic	7440382	mg kg-1	Σ	17	18	9.8	12	38	25	18	19
Cadmium	7440439	mg kg-1	Σ	0.33	0.14	0.18	0.50	0.27	<0.10	<0.10	<0.10
Chromium	7440473	mg kg-1	Σ	28	21	44	28	38	51	39	37
Copper	7440508	mg kg-1	Σ	110	71	26	160	130	29	66	130
Mercury	7439976	mg kg-1	Σ	0.48	0.75	<0.10	0.49	1.6	1.3	1.1	0.74
Nickel	7440020	mg kg-1	Σ	25	27	39	58	51	33	27	28
Lead	7439921	mg kg-1	Σ	100	160	56	470	680	410	340	380
Selenium	7782492	mg kg-1	Σ	0.48	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20
Zinc	7440666	mg kg-1	Σ	240	150	73	200	360	180	150	200
2670 TPH >C5-C6		mg kg-1	∍	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1
TPH >C6-C7		mg kg-1	∍	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1
TPH >C7-C8		mg kg-1	Σ	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1
TPH >C8-C10		mg kg-1	Σ	4.5	0.78	< 0.1	0.76	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1
TPH >C10-C12		mg kg-1	Σ	13	2.6	< 0.1	4.8	0.45	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1
TPH >C12-C16		mg kg-1	Σ	110	45	0.40	120	2.4	0.51	< 0.1	4.2
TPH >C16-C21		mg kg-1	Σ	260	360	3.9	1600	5	12	1.9	420
TPH >C21-C35		mg kg-1	Σ	360	069	0.94	3800	20	17	5.2	2000
Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons		mg kg-1	∍	750	1100	< 10	5500	34	29	< 10	2500
2700 Naphthalene	91203	mg kg-1	Σ	1.9	2.1	1.8	1.1	0.56	2.8	1.7	0.8
Acenaphthylene	208968	mg kg-1	Σ	3.3	1.1	0.71	3.1	0.21	0.2	0.2	0.21
Acenaphthene	83329	ma ka-1	≥	7.5	8.2	1.1	7.6	0.28	0.99	0.36	0.16

All tests undertaken between 20/03/2012 and 23/03/2012

* Accreditation status

This report should be interpreted in conjunction with the notes on the accompanying cover page.

Report page 1 of 2

LIMS sample ID range AH11989 to AH11997

< 0.1

0.27

0.7

0.11

8.4

0.6

5.3

6.1

Σ

mg kg-1

86737

Fluorene

Column page 1

Chemtest The right chemistry to deliver result

27 March 2012 Report Date

GEA
Tyttenhanger House
Coursers Road
St Albans Herts
AL4 0PG

FAO Matthew Elcock

LABORATORY TEST REPORT

30a Highgate Road, London - J12041

Results of analysis of 8 samples received 19 March 2012



Report Date 27 March 2012

LIMS sample ID range AH11989 to AH11997 Report page 2 of 2 Column page 1

All tests undertaken between 20/03/2012 and 23/03/2012 * Accreditation status This report should be interpreted in conjunction with the notes on the accompanying cover page.

GEA	Fyttenhanger House	Coursers Road	St Albans Herts	AL4 0PG
-----	---------------------------	---------------	-----------------	---------

FAO Matthew Elcock

LABORATORY TEST REPORT

Results of analysis of 5 samples received 3 April 2012



Report Date 11 April 2012

30a Highgate Road, London - J12041

.ogin Batch No						203763		
Chemtest LIMS ID				AH18351	AH18352	AH18353	AH18354	AH18355
ample ID				BH1	BH2	TP1	TP4	TP2
Sample No				D2	5			
Sampling Date				8/3/2012	8/3/2012	13/3/2012	Not Provided	Not Provided
Jepth				<u>д</u>	0.6m	0.4m	0.3m	0.3m
Aatrix				SOIL	SOIL	SOIL	SOIL	SOIL
SOP↓ Determinand↓	CAS Not	Units↓	*					
2120 Sulfate (2:1 water soluble) as SO4	14808798	g I-1	Σ	1.2	1.3	0.93	1.1	1.7
2675 TPH aliphatic >C5-C6		mg kg-1	z	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1		< 0.1
TPH aliphatic >C6-C8		mg kg-1	z	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1		< 0.1
TPH aliphatic >C8-C10		mg kg-1	z	0.65	< 0.1	< 0.1		< 0.1
TPH aliphatic >C10-C12		mg kg-1	Σ	7.8	v	۲ ۲		v
TPH aliphatic >C12-C16		mg kg-1	Σ	89	, v	47		11
TPH aliphatic >C16-C21		mg kg-1	Σ	170	, v	630		420
TPH aliphatic >C21-C35		mg kg-1	Σ	92	v	1000		1100
TPH aliphatic >C35-C44		mg kg-1	z	1.2	, v	2.4		v
TPH aromatic >C5-C7		mg kg-1	z	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1		< 0.1
TPH aromatic >C7-C8		mg kg-1	z	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1		< 0.1
TPH aromatic >C8-C10		mg kg-1	z	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1		< 0.1
TPH aromatic >C10-C12		mg kg-1	Σ	3.7	, v	3.1		1.5
TPH aromatic >C12-C16		mg kg-1	Σ	66	38	53		6.0
TPH aromatic >C16-C21		mg kg-1	Σ	640	310	1100		98
TPH aromatic >C21-C35		mg kg-1	Σ	800	440	2000		390
TPH aromatic >C35-C44		mg kg-1	z	33	24	43		5.0
Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons		ma ka-1	z	1900	810	4900		2100

All tests undertaken between 05/04/2012 and 10/04/2012 * Accreditation status

LIMS sample ID range AH18351 to AH18355 Report page 1 of 1

Column page 1

This report should be interpreted in conjunction with the notes on the accompanying cover page.



Generic Risk-Based Soil Guideline Values

Job Number J12041

512041

Sheet 1 / 1

Client Engineer

Site

Mr Colin Serlin Elliott Wood Partnership

Proposed End Use Residential with plant uptake

30a Highgate Road, London, NW5 1NS

Soil pH 8

Soil Organic Matter content % 6.0

Contaminant	Guideline Value mg/kg	Data Source		Contaminant	Guideline Value mg/kg	Data Source
	Metals			A	nions	
Arsenic	32	SGV		Soluble Sulphate	0.5 g/l	Structures
Cadmium	10	SGV		Sulphide	50	Structures
Chromium (III)	3000	LQM/CIEH		Chloride	400	Structures
Chromium (VI)	4.3	LQM/CIEH		C	Others	
Copper	2,330	LQM/CIEH		Organic Carbon (%)	6	Methanogenic potential
Lead	450	withdrawn SGV		Total Cyanide	140	WRAS
Elemental Mercury	1	SGV		Total Mono Phenols	420	SGV
Inorganic Mercury	170	SGV			PAH	
Nickel	130	LQM/CIEH		Naphthalene	8.70	LQM/CIEH
Selenium	350	SGV		Acenaphthylene	850	LQM/CIEH
Zinc	3,750	LQM/CIEH		Acenaphthene	1,000	LQM/CIEH
Нус	drocarbons			Fluorene	780	LQM/CIEH
Benzene	0.33	SGV		Phenanthrene	380	LQM/CIEH
Toluene	610	SGV		Anthracene	9,200	LQM/CIEH
Ethyl Benzene	350	SGV		Fluoranthene	670	LQM/CIEH
Xylene	230	SGV		Pyrene	1,600	LQM/CIEH
Aliphatic C5-C6	110	LQM/CIEH		Benzo(a) Anthracene	5.9	LQM/CIEH
Aliphatic C6-C8	370	LQM/CIEH		Chrysene	9	LQM/CIEH
Aliphatic C8-C10	110	LQM/CIEH		Benzo(b) Fluoranthene	7.0	LQM/CIEH
Aliphatic C10-C12	540	LQM/CIEH		Benzo(k) Fluoranthene	10.0	LQM/CIEH
Aliphatic C12-C16	3000	LQM/CIEH		Benzo(a) pyrene	1.00	LQM/CIEH
Aliphatic C16-C35	76,000	LQM/CIEH		Indeno(1 2 3 cd) Pyrene	4.2	LQM/CIEH
Aromatic C6-C7	See Benzene	LQM/CIEH		Dibenzo(a h) Anthracene	0.90	LQM/CIEH
Aromatic C7-C8	See Toluene	LQM/CIEH		Benzo (g h i) Perylene	47	LQM/CIEH
Aromatic C8-C10	151	LQM/CIEH		Total PAH	6.7	B(a)P / 0.15
Aromatic C10-C12	346	LQM/CIEH		Chlorina	ted Solven	ts
Aromatic C12-C16	593	LQM/CIEH	í [1,1,1 trichloroethane (TCA)	28	LQM/CIEH
Aromatic C16-C21	770	LQM/CIEH		tetrachloroethane (PCA)	4.8	LQM/CIEH
Aromatic C21-C35	1230	LQM/CIEH		tetrachloroethene (PCE)	4.8	LQM/CIEH
PRO (C ₅ –C ₁₀)	1351	Calc		trichloroethene (TCE)	0.49	LQM/CIEH
DRO (C ₁₂ –C ₂₈)	80,363	Calc		1,2-dichloroethane (DCA)	0.014	LQM/CIEH
Lube Oil (C ₂₈ –C ₄₄)	77,230	Calc		vinyl chloride (Chloroethene)	0.00099	LQM/CIEH
ТРН	500	Trigger for speciated		tetrachloromethane (Carbon tetrac	0.089	LQM/CIEH
		testing		trichloromethane (Chloroform)	2.7	LQM/CIEH

Notes

Concentrations measured below the above values may be considered to represent 'uncontaminated conditions' which do not pose a risk to human

health. Concentrations measured in excess of these values indicate a potential risk, and thus require further, site specific risk assessment.

SGV - Soil Guideline Value, derived from the CLEA model and published by Environment Agency 2009

withdrawn SGV - Former SGV, derived from the CLEA 2000 model and published by DEFRA pending confirmation of new approach to modeling lead

LQM/CIEH - Generic Assessment Criteria for Human Health Risk Assessment 2nd edition (2009) derived using CLEA 1.04 model 2009

Calc - sum of nearest available carbon range specified including BTEX for PRO fraction

B(a)P / 0.15 - GEA experince indicates that Benzo(a) pyrene (one of the most common and most carcenogenic of the PAHs) rarely exceeds 15% of the total PAH concentration, hence this Total PAH threshold is regarded as being conservative



Envirocheck® Report:

Datasheet

Order Details:

Order Number: 37877679_1_1

Customer Reference: J12041

National Grid Reference: 528930, 185450

Slice:

A

Site Area (Ha): 0.11

Search Buffer (m): 1000

Site Details:

30a Highgate Road LONDON NW5 1NS

Client Details:

Mr S Branch GEA Ltd Tyttenhanger House Coursers Road St Albans Herts AL4 0PG





Contents

Report Section	Page Number
Summary	-
Agency & Hydrological	1
Waste	8
Hazardous Substances	-
Geological	9
Industrial Land Use	10
Sensitive Land Use	-
Data Currency	13
Data Suppliers	19
Useful Contacts	20

Introduction

The Environment Act 1995 has made site sensitivity a key issue, as the legislation pays as much attention to the pathways by which contamination could spread, and to the vulnerable targets of contamination, as it does the potential sources of contamination. For this reason, Landmark's Site Sensitivity maps and Datasheet(s) place great emphasis on statutory data provided by the Environment Agency and the Scottish Environment Protection Agency; it also incorporates data from Natural England (and the Scottish and Welsh equivalents) and Local Authorities; and highlights hydrogeological features required by environmental and geotechnical consultants. It does not include any information concerning past uses of land. The datasheet is produced by querying the Landmark database to a distance defined by the client from a site boundary provided by the client.

In the attached datasheet the National Grid References (NGRs) are rounded to the nearest 10m in accordance with Landmark's agreements with a number of Data Suppliers.

Copyright Notice

© Landmark Information Group Limited 2012. The Copyright on the information and data and its format as contained in this Envirocheck® Report ("Report") is the property of Landmark Information Group Limited ("Landmark") and several other Data Providers, including (but not limited to) Ordnance Survey, British Geological Survey, the Environment Agency and Natural England, and must not be reproduced in whole or in part by photocopying or any other method. The Report is supplied under Landmark's Terms and Conditions accepted by the Customer. A copy of Landmark's Terms and Conditions can be found with the Index Map for this report. Additional copies of the Report may be obtained from Landmark's charges in force from time to time. The Copyright, design rights and any other intellectual rights shall remain the exclusive property of Landmark and /or other Data providers, whose Copyright material has been included in this Report.

Natural England Copyright Notice

Site of Special Scientific Interest, National Nature Reserve, Ramsar, Special Protection Area, Special Conservation Area, Marine Nature Reserve data (derived from Ordnance Survey 1:10000 raster) is provided by, and used with the permission of, Natural England who retain the copyright and Intellectual Property Rights for the data.

Ove Arup Copyright Notice

The Data provided in this report was obtained on Licence from Ove Arup & Partners Limited (for further information, contact mining.review@arup.com). No reproduction or further use of such Data is to be made without the prior written consent of Ove Arup & Partners Limited. The information and data supplied in the product are derived from publicly available records and other third party sources and neither Ove Arup & Partners nor Landmark warrant the accuracy or completeness of such information or data.

Peter Brett Associates Copyright Notice

The cavity data presented has been extracted from the PBA enhanced version of the original DEFRA national cavity databases. PBA/DEFRA retain the copyright & intellectual property rights in the data. Whilst all reasonable efforts are made to check that the information contained in the cavity databases is accurate we do not warrant that the data is complete or error free. The information is based upon our own researches and those collated from a number of external sources and is continually being augmented and updated by PBA. In no event shall PBA/DEFRA or Landmark be liable for any loss or damage including, without limitation, indirect or consequential loss or damage arising from the use of this data.

Radon Potential dataset Copyright Notice

Information supplied from a joint dataset compiled by The British Geological Survey and the Health Protection Agency.

Report Version v47.0



Summary

Data Type	Page Number	On Site	0 to 250m	251 to 500m	501 to 1000m (*up to 2000m)
Agency & Hydrological					
Contaminated Land Register Entries and Notices	pg 1		6		
Discharge Consents					
Enforcement and Prohibition Notices					
Integrated Pollution Controls					
Integrated Pollution Prevention And Control					
Local Authority Integrated Pollution Prevention And Control					
Local Authority Pollution Prevention and Controls	pg 1		5	8	11
Local Authority Pollution Prevention and Control Enforcements	pg 5				1
Nearest Surface Water Feature	pg 5			Yes	
Pollution Incidents to Controlled Waters					
Prosecutions Relating to Authorised Processes					
Prosecutions Relating to Controlled Waters					
Registered Radioactive Substances					
River Quality					
River Quality Biology Sampling Points					
River Quality Chemistry Sampling Points					
Substantiated Pollution Incident Register					
Water Abstractions	pg 5				3 (*6)
Water Industry Act Referrals					
Groundwater Vulnerability	pg 7	Yes	n/a	n/a	n/a
Bedrock Aquifer Designations	pg 7	Yes	n/a	n/a	n/a
Superficial Aquifer Designations			n/a	n/a	n/a
Source Protection Zones					
Extreme Flooding from Rivers or Sea without Defences				n/a	n/a
Flooding from Rivers or Sea without Defences				n/a	n/a
Areas Benefiting from Flood Defences				n/a	n/a
Flood Water Storage Areas				n/a	n/a
Flood Defences				n/a	n/a
Waste					
BGS Recorded Landfill Sites					
Historical Landfill Sites					
Integrated Pollution Control Registered Waste Sites					
Licensed Waste Management Facilities (Landfill Boundaries)					
Licensed Waste Management Facilities (Locations)	pg 8			1	
Local Authority Recorded Landfill Sites					
Registered Landfill Sites					
Registered Waste Transfer Sites	pg 8				1
Registered Waste Treatment or Disposal Sites	pg 8			1	



Summary

Data Type	Page Number	On Site	0 to 250m	251 to 500m	501 to 1000m (*up to 2000m)
Hazardous Substances					
Control of Major Accident Hazards Sites (COMAH)					
Explosive Sites					
Notification of Installations Handling Hazardous Substances (NIHHS)					
Planning Hazardous Substance Consents					
Planning Hazardous Substance Enforcements					
Geological					
BGS Recorded Mineral Sites					
BGS 1:625,000 Solid Geology	pg 9	Yes	n/a	n/a	n/a
Brine Compensation Area			n/a	n/a	n/a
Coal Mining Affected Areas			n/a	n/a	n/a
Mining Instability			n/a	n/a	n/a
Man-Made Mining Cavities					
Natural Cavities					
Non Coal Mining Areas of Great Britain				n/a	n/a
Potential for Collapsible Ground Stability Hazards	pg 9	Yes		n/a	n/a
Potential for Compressible Ground Stability Hazards				n/a	n/a
Potential for Ground Dissolution Stability Hazards				n/a	n/a
Potential for Landslide Ground Stability Hazards	pg 9	Yes	Yes	n/a	n/a
Potential for Running Sand Ground Stability Hazards	pg 9		Yes	n/a	n/a
Potential for Shrinking or Swelling Clay Ground Stability Hazards	pg 9	Yes		n/a	n/a
Radon Potential - Radon Affected Areas			n/a	n/a	n/a
Radon Potential - Radon Protection Measures			n/a	n/a	n/a
Industrial Land Use					
Contemporary Trade Directory Entries	pg 10	1	24	n/a	n/a
Fuel Station Entries	pg 12			1	3



Summary

Data Type	Page Number	On Site	0 to 250m	251 to 500m	501 to 1000m (*up to 2000m)
Sensitive Land Use					
Areas of Adopted Green Belt					
Areas of Unadopted Green Belt					
Areas of Outstanding Natural Beauty					
Environmentally Sensitive Areas					
Forest Parks					
Local Nature Reserves					
Marine Nature Reserves					
National Nature Reserves					
National Parks					
Nitrate Sensitive Areas					
Nitrate Vulnerable Zones					
Ramsar Sites					
Sites of Special Scientific Interest					
Special Areas of Conservation					
Special Protection Areas					



Map ID		Details	Quadrant Reference (Compass Direction)	Estimated Distance From Site	Contact	NGR
	Contaminated Land	Register Entries and Notices				
1	Location:	Even Numbers 2-10 Ascham Street, Odd Numbers 15-31 Falkland Road And	A13SE	164	1	529085
	Notice Type:	Even Numbers 34-48 Leverton Street, London, Nw5 Environmental Protection Act (1990) Section 78A(2) And 78(B) Determination	(SE)			185362
	Reference: Dated:	Not Supplied 12th September 2005				
	Positional Accuracy: Boundary Quality:	Positioned by the supplier Good				
	Contaminated Land	Register Entries and Notices				
2	Location: Notice Type: Reference: Dated:	29 Falkland Road, London, Nw5 2pu Environmental Protection Act (1990) Section 78A(2) And 78(B) Determination That Land Is Contaminated Not Supplied 31st July 2005	A13SE (SE)	207	1	529131 185360
	Positional Accuracy: Boundary Quality:	Positioned by the supplier Good				
	Contaminated Land	Register Entries and Notices				
3	Location: Notice Type: Reference: Dated: Positional Accuracy:	31 Falkland Road, London, Nw5 2pu Environmental Protection Act (1990) Section 78A(2) And 78(B) Determination That Land Is Contaminated Not Supplied 31st July 2005 Positioned by the supplier	A13SE (SE)	212	1	529136 185359
	Boundary Quality:	Good				
	Contaminated Land	Register Entries and Notices				
4	Location:	Even Numbers 14-20 Ascham Street, Odd Numbers 15-33 Lady Margaret	A13SE	214	1	529151
	Notice Type:	Road, And Odd Numbers 37-41 Falkland Road, London, Nw5 Environmental Protection Act (1990) Section 78A(2) And 78(B) Determination That Land Is Contaminated	(E)			185389
	Reference: Dated:	Not Supplied 12th September 2005				
	Boundary Quality:	Good				
_	Contaminated Land	Register Entries and Notices				
5	Location: Notice Type:	33 Falkland Road, London, Nw5 2pu Environmental Protection Act (1990) Section 78A(2) And 78(B) Determination That Land Is Contaminated	A13SE (SE)	218	1	529142 185358
	Reference: Dated: Positional Accuracy:	Not Supplied 12th September 2005 Positioned by the supplier				
	Boundary Quality:	Good				
0	Contaminated Land	Register Entries and Notices	A400E	004	4	5004.40
б	Notice Type: Reference: Dated: Positional Accuracy:	Update on Remediation Statement - Remediation Work Completed Not Supplied 31st July 2005 Positioned by the supplier	(SE)	224	1	529149 185357
		Guuu				
7	Local Authority Poll	ution Prevention and Controls	A120E	00	1	520026
7	Location: Authority: Permit Reference: Dated:	36/52 Fortress Road, LONDON, NW5 1AD London Borough of Camden, Pollution Projects Team NOT GIVEN 15th May 1997	(E)	00	I	185443
	Process Type: Description: Status: Positional Accuracy:	Local Authority Air Pollution Control PG6/34 Respraying of road vehicles Authorisation revokedRevoked Manually positioned to the address or location				
	Local Authority Poll	ution Prevention and Controls				
8	Name: Location: Authority: Permit Reference: Dated: Process Type:	Perk Clean 20 Fortress Road, London, Nw5 2hb London Borough of Camden, Pollution Projects Team PPC/DC21 12th January 2007 Local Authority Pollution Prevention and Control	A13SE (SE)	93	1	529004 185375
	Description: Status: Positional Accuracy:	PG6/46 Dry cleaning Permitted Located by supplier to within 10m				
		······································				



Map ID		Details	Quadrant Reference (Compass Direction)	Estimated Distance From Site	Contact	NGR
	Local Authority Poll	ution Prevention and Controls				
8	Name: Location: Authority: Permit Reference: Dated: Process Type: Description: Status: Positional Accuracy:	M & A Coachworks Fortess Grove, London, Nw5 2HE London Borough of Camden, Pollution Projects Team PPC3 15th May 1997 Local Authority Pollution Prevention and Control PG6/34 Respraying of road vehicles Permitted Manually positioned to the address or location	A13SE (E)	93	1	529031 185415
	Local Authority Poll	ution Prevention and Controls				
9	Name: Location: Authority: Permit Reference: Dated: Process Type: Description: Status: Positional Accuracy:	Zappeo Dry Cleaners 310 Kentish Town Road, London, Nw5 2th London Borough of Camden, Pollution Projects Team PPC/DC2 12th January 2007 Local Authority Pollution Prevention and Control PG6/46 Dry cleaning Permitted Located by supplier to within 10m	A13SE (SE)	193	1	529009 185256
	Local Authority Poll	ution Prevention and Controls				
10	Name: Location: Authority: Permit Reference: Dated: Process Type: Description: Status: Positional Accuracy:	Post Office Vehicle Services Unit A Kentish Town Business Park, Regis Road, LONDON, NW5 3RR London Borough of Camden, Pollution Projects Team PPC2 27th February 1996 Local Authority Pollution Prevention and Control PG6/34 Respraying of road vehicles Permitted Automatically positioned to the address	A13SW (S)	249	1	528820 185192
	Local Authority Poll	ution Prevention and Controls				
11	Name: Location: Authority: Permit Reference: Dated: Process Type: Description: Status: Positional Accuracy:	The Kleen Machine 347 Kentish Town Road, London, Nw5 2tj London Borough of Camden, Pollution Projects Team PPC/DC44 26th January 2007 Local Authority Pollution Prevention and Control PG6/46 Dry cleaning Permitted Located by supplier to within 10m	A13SE (S)	270	1	528988 185167
	Local Authority Poll	ution Prevention and Controls				
12	Name: Location: Authority: Permit Reference: Dated: Process Type: Description: Status: Positional Accuracy:	J Murphy & Sons Ltd 81 Highgate Road, London, Nw5 1ts London Borough of Camden, Pollution Projects Team PPC10 1st March 2007 Local Authority Pollution Prevention and Control PG6/34 Respraying of road vehicles Permitted Located by supplier to within 10m	A13NW (NW)	312	1	528642 185605
	Local Authority Poll	ution Prevention and Controls				
13	Name: Location: Authority: Permit Reference: Dated: Process Type: Description: Status: Positional Accuracy:	Royal Mail Property Holdings Ltd 1 Regis Road, LONDON, NW5 3EW London Borough of Camden, Pollution Projects Team Not Given Not Supplied Local Authority Air Pollution Control PG6/10 Coating manufacturing Authorisation revokedRevoked Manually positioned to the road within the address or location	A8NW (S)	343	1	528875 185083
	Local Authority Poll	ution Prevention and Controls				
14	Name: Location: Authority: Permit Reference: Dated: Process Type: Description: Status: Positional Accuracy:	M & A Coachworks 135 Highgate Road, CAMDEN, NW5 1LE London Borough of Camden, Pollution Projects Team PPC5 6th September 1993 Local Authority Pollution Prevention and Control PG6/34 Respraying of road vehicles Permitted Manually positioned to the address or location	A13NW (NW)	397	1	528600 185695



	Details	Quadrant Reference (Compass Direction)	Estimated Distance From Site	Contact	NGR
Local Authority Poll	ution Prevention and Controls				
Name: Location: Authority: Permit Reference: Dated: Process Type: Description: Status: Positional Accuracy:	Sun Dry Cleaners 167 Fortress Road, London, Nw5 2hr London Borough of Camden, Pollution Projects Team PPC/DC46 28th December 2006 Local Authority Pollution Prevention and Control PG6/46 Dry cleaning Permitted Located by supplier to within 10m	A18SE (NE)	433	1	529132 185860
Local Authority Poll	ution Prevention and Controls				
Name: Location: Authority: Permit Reference: Dated: Process Type: Description: Status: Positional Accuracy:	Asf Garage Ltd 138 Highgate Road, London, NW5 1PB London Borough of Camden, Pollution Projects Team PPC22 1st April 1999 Local Authority Pollution Prevention and Control PG1/14 Petrol filling station Permitted Automatically positioned to the address	A18SW (NW)	448	1	528633 185810
Local Authority Poll	ution Prevention and Controls				
Name: Location: Authority: Permit Reference: Dated: Process Type: Description: Status: Positional Accuracy:	Hexagon Of Highgate Ltd 1 Browns Lane, Regis Road, LONDON, NW5 3EX London Borough of Camden, Pollution Projects Team PPC4 30th April 1993 Local Authority Pollution Prevention and Control PG6/34 Respraying of road vehicles Permitted Automatically positioned to the address	A8NW (SW)	451	1	528626 185072
Local Authority Poll	ution Prevention and Controls				
Name: Location: Authority: Permit Reference: Dated: Process Type: Description: Status: Positional Accuracy:	Perfect Dry Cleaners 151 Highgate Road, London, Nw5 1Ij London Borough of Camden, Pollution Projects Team PPC/DC31 24th January 2007 Local Authority Pollution Prevention and Control PG6/46 Dry cleaning Permitted Located by supplier to within 10m	A12NE (NW)	463	1	528588 185787
Local Authority Poll	ution Prevention and Controls				
Name: Location: Authority: Permit Reference: Dated: Process Type: Description: Status: Positional Accuracy:	The Choice Dry Cleaners 62 Chetwynd Road, London, Nw5 1dj London Borough of Camden, Pollution Projects Team PPC/DC40 24th December 2006 Local Authority Pollution Prevention and Control PG6/46 Dry cleaning Permitted Located by supplier to within 10m	A18SW (N)	530	1	528810 185992
Local Authority Poll	ution Prevention and Controls				
Name: Location: Authority: Permit Reference: Dated: Process Type: Description: Status: Positional Accuracy:	Eventech Ltd 3 - 6 Spring Place, LONDON, NW5 3BA London Borough of Camden, Pollution Projects Team PPC2 30th April 1993 Local Authority Pollution Prevention and Control PG6/34 Respraying of road vehicles Permitted Manually positioned to the address or location	A7NE (SW)	538	1	528569 185005
Local Authority Poll	ution Prevention and Controls				
Name: Location: Authority: Permit Reference: Dated: Process Type: Description: Status: Positional Accuracy:	L G Coachworks 61-65 Wilkin Street Mews, Wilkin Street, London, NW5 3NN London Borough of Camden, Pollution Projects Team NOT GIVEN 9th December 1997 Local Authority Air Pollution Control PG6/34 Respraying of road vehicles Authorised Manually positioned to the road within the address or location	A7NE (SW)	697	1	528586 184806
	Local Authority Poll Name: Location: Authority: Permit Reference: Dated: Process Type: Description: Status: Positional Accuracy: Local Authority Poll Name: Location: Authority: Permit Reference: Dated: Process Type: Description: Status: Positional Accuracy:	Local Authority Pollution Prevention and Controls Name: Sun Dry Cleaners Location: 167 Fortrass Road, London, Nv6 2hr Authority: London Borough of Camden, Pollution Projects Team Permit Reference: PPC/DC46 Dated: 28th December 2006 Process Type: Local Authority Pollution Prevention and Control Decarity: Local Authority Pollution Prevention and Controls Name: Permited Local Authority Pollution Prevention and Controls Name: Prevented Process Type: Local Authority Pollution Prevention and Control Decarity: Local Authority Pollution Prevention and Control Process Type: Local Authority Pollution Prevention and Control Description: PO1/14 Petrol filing station Status: Permited Positional Accuracy: Automatically positioned to the address Local Authority Pollution Prevention and Control Status: Process Type: Local Authority Pollution Prevention and Control Description: P 66/34 Respraying of road vehicles Status: Permited Positional Accuracy: Local Authority Pollution Prevention and Control	Details Quadram Local Authority Pollution Prevention and Controls A188E Name: Sup Dry Cleaners A188E Authority: London Berough of Canden, Pollution Projects Team A188E Permit Reference: PPC/DC46 Minitian Description: PG84-60 try leaning Permited Positional Accuracy: Local Authority Pollution Prevention and Control A188E Description: PG84-60 try leaning Permited Positional Accuracy: Local Authority Pollution Prevention and Controls A185W Name: A316 Grage Lid A185W (NW) Local Authority Pollution Prevention and Controls A185W (NW) Promotes Type: Local Authority Pollution Prevention and Control Permited Positional Accuracy: Automatically positioned to the address A8NW Local Authority Pollution Prevention and Controls A8NW (SW) Name: Heagap Of Highgate Idd Controls A8NW Local Authority Pollution Prevention and Controls A18NW A18NW Deastional Accuracy: Local Authority Pollution P	Details Quadrant Reference Distance Estimated Distance Local Authority Pollution Prevention and Controls A185E (NE) 433 Name: Location: tor Formss Read, London, NWS 2hr Authority: Location: tor Formss Read, London, NWS 2hr Authority: Location: Decompton: PECDC48 4135E (NE) 433 Perm Reference: PPCDC48 PPCDC48 443 Decompton: Perm Reference: PPCDC48 Permited Permited Permited Patients A185E (NV) 448 Local Authority Pollution Prevention and Control Decompton: Permited Patients A185W (NV) 448 Name: Local Authority Pollution Prevention and Control Decompton: PS1/14 Permited Patient Impsi Process Type: Local Authority Pollution Prevention and Control Decompton: PS1/14 Permited Permited Patient Impsi Process Type: Local Authority Pollution Prevention and Control Decompton: PS1/14 Permited Patient Impsi Process Type: Local Authority Pollution Prevention and Control Decompton: PS1/14 Permited Compton, PS1/14 Permited Patient Impsi Process Type: Local Authority Pollution Prevention and Control Decompton: PS1/14 Permited Compton, PS1/14 Permited Patient Impsi Patient Patient Prevention and Control Decompton: PS1/14 Permited Compton, PS1/14 Permited Patient Impsi Patient Patient Patient Patient Prevention and Control Decompton: PS1/14 Permited Compton, PS1/14 Permited Patient Impsi Patient Patient	Local Authority Politicion Prevention and ControlsAttability of the provided in the prevention and Control Politics Projects TeamAttability of the prevention and Control Politics Prevention and Control Prevention and Control Politics Prevention and Control Politics Prevention and Control Preventing Politics Prevention Prevention and Control P



Map ID		Details	Quadrant Reference (Compass Direction)	Estimated Distance From Site	Contact	NGR
21	Local Authority Poll Name: Location: Authority: Permit Reference: Dated: Process Type: Description: Status: Positional Accuracy:	ution Prevention and Controls D P Enamellers Imperial Works, Perren Street, London, NW5 3ED London Borough of Camden, Pollution Projects Team Not Given 27th July 1997 Local Authority Air Pollution Control PG6/23 Coating of metal and plastic Authorisation revokedRevoked Manually positioned to the address or location	A8NW (SW)	706	1	528610 184784
22	Local Authority Poll Name: Location: Authority: Permit Reference: Dated: Process Type: Description: Status: Positional Accuracy:	ution Prevention and Controls Whittington Service Station (Esso) 213-217 Junction Road, LONDON, N19 5QA London Borough of Islington, Environmental Health Department Epa-Auth-020 18th December 1998 Local Authority Air Pollution Control PG1/14 Petrol filling station Authorised Manually positioned to the address or location	A18SE (NE)	698	2	529214 186115
23	Local Authority Poll Name: Location: Authority: Permit Reference: Dated: Process Type: Description: Status: Positional Accuracy:	ution Prevention and Controls Prince Of Wales Dry Cleaners 17 Prince Of Wales Road, London, Nw5 3lh London Borough of Camden, Pollution Projects Team PPC/DC12 12th January 2007 Local Authority Pollution Prevention and Control PG6/46 Dry cleaning Permitted Located by supplier to within 10m	A8SW (S)	740	1	528777 184696
24	Local Authority Poll Name: Location: Authority: Permit Reference: Dated: Process Type: Description: Status: Positional Accuracy:	ution Prevention and Controls J T Coachworks 52A Prince Wales Road, LONDON, NW5 3LR London Borough of Camden, Pollution Projects Team Not Given 30th April 1993 Local Authority Air Pollution Control PG6/34 Respraying of road vehicles Authorisation revokedRevoked Automatically positioned to the address	A8SW (SW)	790	1	528594 184700
25	Local Authority Poll Name: Location: Authority: Permit Reference: Dated: Process Type: Description: Status: Positional Accuracy:	ution Prevention and Controls Moderna Dry Cleaners 70 Queens Crescent, London, Nw5 4ee London Borough of Camden, Pollution Projects Team PPC/DC16 12th January 2007 Local Authority Pollution Prevention and Control PG6/46 Dry cleaning Permitted Located by supplier to within 10m	A7NW (SW)	807	1	528216 185005
26	Local Authority Poll Name: Location: Authority: Permit Reference: Dated: Process Type: Description: Status: Positional Accuracy:	ution Prevention and Controls Universal Dry Cleaners 9-11 Brecknock Road, London, N7 0bl London Borough of Camden, Pollution Projects Team PPC/DC30 29th January 2007 Local Authority Pollution Prevention and Control PG6/46 Dry cleaning Permitted Located by supplier to within 10m	A9NE (SE)	925	1	529761 185015
27	Local Authority Poll Name: Location: Authority: Permit Reference: Dated: Process Type: Description: Status: Positional Accuracy:	ution Prevention and Controls Fairways Camden 135-143 Camden Road, LONDON, NW1 9HA London Borough of Camden, Pollution Projects Team Not Given 11th December 1998 Local Authority Air Pollution Control PG1/14 Petrol filling station Site Closed Manually positioned to the address or location	A9SW (SE)	981	1	529516 184646



Map ID		Details	Quadrant Reference (Compass Direction)	Estimated Distance From Site	Contact	NGR
28	Local Authority Poll Name: Location: Authority: Permit Reference: Dated: Process Type: Description: Status: Positional Accuracy:	ution Prevention and Controls Visage 171 Malden Road, London, Nw5 4ht London Borough of Camden, Pollution Projects Team PPC/DC50 1st February 2008 Local Authority Pollution Prevention and Control PG6/46 Dry cleaning Permitted Manually positioned to the address or location	A12SW (W)	985	1	527961 185143
29	Local Authority Poll Location: Type: Reference: Date Issued: Enforcement Date: Details: Positional Accuracy:	ution Prevention and Control Enforcements 3 - 6 Spring Place, London, Nw5 3ba Air Pollution Control Enforcement Notice Not Given 16th November 2001 Not Supplied Failure To Maintain Proper Paperwork For Organic Compounds Manually positioned to the address or location	A7NE (SW)	538	1	528569 185005
	Nearest Surface Wa	ter Feature	A18SW (N)	364	-	528831 185826
30	Water Abstractions Operator: Licence Number: Permit Version: Location: Authority: Abstraction Type: Source: Daily Rate (m3): Yearly Rate (m3): Details: Authorised Start: Authorised Start: Authorised Start: Authorised Start: Permit Start Date: Permit Version: Licence Number: Permit Version: Location: Authority: Abstraction: Abstraction: Abstraction Type: Source: Daily Rate (m3): Yearly Rate (m3): Details:	London Borough Of Camden 28/39/39/0091 100 Two Bores At Kentish Town Sports Centre, Prince Of Wales St Environment Agency, Thames Region Commercial/Industrial/Public Services: Drinking; Cooking; Sanitary; Washing; (Small Garden) Water may be abstracted from a single point Groundwater 605 76509 Kentish Town Sports Centre, Prince Of Wales Road, London 01 January 31 December 13th June 1966 Not Supplied Located by supplier to within 100m London Borough Of Camden 28/39/39/0091 100 Two Bores At Kentish Town Sports Centre, Prince Of Wales St Environment Agency, Thames Region Industrial; Commercial And Public Services: Laundry Use Water may be abstracted from a single point Groundwater Not Supplied Not Supplied St. Pancras Public Baths, Prince Of Wales Road, London Nw1	A8SW (S) A8SW (S)	732	3	528800 184700 528800 184700
	Authorised Start: Authorised End: Permit Start Date: Permit End Date: Positional Accuracy:	01 January 31 December 13th June 1966 Not Supplied Located by supplier to within 10m				
30	Water Abstractions Operator: Licence Number: Permit Version: Location: Authority: Abstraction Type: Source: Daily Rate (m3): Yearly Rate (m3): Details: Authorised Start: Authorised Start: Authorised Start: Permit Start Date: Permit End Date: Positional Accuracy:	London Borough Of Camden 28/39/39/0091 100 Two Bores At Kentish Town Sports Centre, Prince Of Wales St Environment Agency, Thames Region Other Industrial/Commercial/Public Services: Process Water Water may be abstracted from a single point Groundwater Not Supplied Not Supplied St. Pancras Public Baths, Prince Of Wales Road, London Nw1 01 January 31 December 13th June 1966 Not Supplied Located by supplier to within 10m	A8SW (S)	732	3	528800 184700



Map ID		Details	Quadrant Reference (Compass Direction)	Estimated Distance From Site	Contact	NGR
	Water Abstractions					
	Water Abstractions Operator: Licence Number: Permit Version: Location: Authority: Abstraction: Abstraction Type: Source: Daily Rate (m3): Yearly Rate (m3): Obetails: Authorised Start: Authorised End: Permit Start Date:	British Waterways Board 28/39/39/0164 101 Southampton Bridge, London, Nw8 - Regents Canal Environment Agency, Thames Region Amenity: Spray Irrigation - Direct Water may be abstracted from a single point Surface Not Supplied Not Supplied Pipeline Alongside The Regents Canal, London 01 January 31 December 17th December 2007 Not Supplied	A2SE (S)	1462	3	528500 184020
	Positional Accuracy:	Located by supplier to within 10m				
	Water Abstractions Operator: Licence Number: Permit Version: Location: Authority: Abstraction Type: Source: Daily Rate (m3): Yearly Rate (m3): Yearly Rate (m3): Details: Authorised Start: Authorised Start: Permit Start Date: Permit End Date: Positional Accuracy:	British Waterways Board 28/39/39/0164 100 Southampton Bridge, London, Nw8 - Regents Canal Environment Agency, Thames Region Amenity: Spray Irrigation - Direct Water may be abstracted from a single point Surface 3840 1 Pipeline Alongside The Regents Canal, London 01 January 31 December 25th April 1983 Not Supplied Located by supplier to within 10m	A2SE (S)	1462	3	528500 184020
	Water Abstractions Operator: Licence Number: Permit Version: Location: Authority: Abstraction: Abstraction Type: Source: Daily Rate (m3): Yearly Rate (m3): Details: Authorised Start: Authorised Start: Authorised Start: Authorised Start: Permit Start Date: Permit End Date: Positional Accuracy: Water Abstractions	British Waterways Board 28/39/39/0173 100 Oval Road, Camden - Grand Union Regents Canal Environment Agency, Thames Region Other Industrial/Commercial/Public Services: Non-Evaporative Cooling Water may be abstracted from a single point Surface 20 7000 Land At Oval Road, Camden, London 01 January 31 December 8th December 1994 Not Supplied Located by supplier to within 10m	A2SE (S)	1465	3	528490 184020
	Operator: Licence Number: Permit Version: Location: Authority: Abstraction: Abstraction Type: Source: Daily Rate (m3): Yearly Rate (m3): Details: Authorised Start: Authorised Start: Authorised Start: Authorised End: Permit Start Date: Permit End Date: Positional Accuracy:	British Waterways 28/39/39/0164B Not Supplied Southampton Bridge, LONDON, Nw8 Environment Agency, Thames Region Industrial Cooling (Cegb) Not Supplied River 3840 1 Annual Abstraction Total Aggregated To Another Licence For Quantity Purposes. Not Supplied Not Supplied Not Supplied Not Supplied Located by supplier to within 100m	A2SE (S)	1481	3	528500 184000



Map ID		Details	Quadrant Reference (Compass Direction)	Estimated Distance From Site	Contact	NGR
	Water Abstractions					
	Operator: Licence Number: Permit Version: Location: Authority: Abstraction Type: Source: Daily Rate (m3): Yearly Rate (m3): Details: Authorised Start: Authorised Start: Authorised End: Permit Start Date: Positional Accuracy:	Hanson Quarry Products Europe Ltd Th/039/0039/027 1 Kings Cross Concrete Plant-Borehole Environment Agency, Thames Region Mineral Products: General use relating to Secondary Category (High Loss) Water may be abstracted from a single point Groundwater Not Supplied Not Supplied Kings Cross Concrete Plant, Off York Way, London. 01 January 31 December 21st April 2010 Not Supplied Located by supplier to within 10m	A4SE (SE)	1709	3	529920 184040
	Water Abstractions					
	Operator: Licence Number: Permit Version: Location: Authority: Abstraction: Abstraction Type: Source: Daily Rate (m3): Yearly Rate (m3): Details: Authorised Start: Authorised Start: Authorised End: Permit Start Date: Permit End Date: Positional Accuracy:	Hanson Quarry Products Europe Ltd 28/39/39/0222 1 Kings Cross Concrete Plant-Borehole Environment Agency, Thames Region Mineral Products: General use relating to Secondary Category (High Loss) Water may be abstracted from a single point Groundwater Not Supplied Not Supplied Kings Cross Concrete Plant, Off York Way, London. 01 January 31 December 31st August 2006 Not Supplied Located by supplier to within 10m	A4SE (SE)	1709	3	529920 184040
	Groundwater Vulne	rability				
	Soil Classification: Map Sheet: Scale:	Not classified Sheet 39 West London 1:100,000	A13SW (S)	0	3	528927 185449
	Drift Deposits					
	None					
	Bedrock Aquifer De	signations				
	Aquifer Desination:	Unproductive Strata	A13SW (S)	0	4	528927 185449
	Superficial Aquifer	Designations				
	No Data Available					
	Extreme Flooding fr	rom Rivers or Sea without Defences				
	Flooding from River	rs or Sea without Defences				
	None					
	Areas Benefiting fro	om Flood Defences				
	Flood Water Storag	e Areas				
	Flood Defences					
	None					



Waste

Map ID		Details	Quadrant Reference (Compass Direction)	Estimated Distance From Site	Contact	NGR
	Licensed Waste Ma	nagement Facilities (Locations)				
31	Licence Number: Location: Operator Name: Operator Location: Authority: Site Category: Licence Status: Issued: Last Modified: Expires: Suspended: Revoked: Surrendered: IPPC Reference: Positional Accuracy:	80349 Recycling Centre, Regis Road, Kentish Town, London, NW5 3EP Camden London Borough Council Not Supplied Environment Agency - South East Region, North East Thames Area Household Waste Amenity Sites Modified 10th December 1996 25th January 2002 Not Supplied Not Supplied Not Supplied Not Supplied Not Supplied Not Supplied Located by supplier to within 10m	A13SW (SW)	331	3	528740 185138
	Local Authority Lan	dfill Coverage				
	Name:	London Borough of Camden - Has no landfill data to supply		0	6	528927 185449
	Local Authority Lan	dfill Coverage				
	Name:	London Borough of Islington - Has no landfill data to supply		374	2	529247 185694
	Pagistarad Wasta T	ransfor Sitos				100001
32	Licence Holder: Licence Reference: Site Location: Operator Location: Authority: Site Category: Max Input Rate:	Wharf & Jetty Services Ltd DL098 BR Goods Depot, Gordon House Road, CAMDEN, London, NW5 As Site Address Environment Agency - Thames Region, North East Area Transfer Medium (Equal to or greater than 25,000 and less than 75,000 tonnes per vear)	A12NE (W)	597	3	528350 185650
	Waste Source Restrictions: Licence Status: Dated: Preceded By Licence: Superseded By Licence: Positional Accuracy: Boundary Quality: Authorised Waste Prohibited Waste	No known restriction on source of waste Licence lapsed/cancelled/defunct/not applicable/surrenderedCancelled 1st May 1982 Not Given Not Given Manually positioned to the road within the address or location Not Supplied Commercial Waste Construction And Demolition Wastes Biodegradable/Putrescible Waste Clinical Wastes Notifiable Wastes Special Wastes				
33	Registered Waste T Licence Holder: Licence Reference: Site Location: Operator Location: Authority: Site Category: Max Input Rate: Waste Source Restrictions: Licence Status: Dated: Preceded By Licence: Superseded By Licence: Positional Accuracy: Boundary Quality: Authorised Waste	Camden L.B.C T/NE/0475090 (CAM070) Regis Road Recycling Centre, CAMDEN, London, NW5 3EP Environment Department, Town Hall Extension, Argyle Street, London, Greater London, Wc1h 8eq Environment Agency - Thames Region, North East Area Recycling / Reclamation Very Small (Less than 10,000 tonnes per year) No known restriction on source of waste Operational as far as is knownOperational 10th December 1996 Not Given Manually positioned to the road within the address or location Not Supplied Elec/Onic Compts/Fix/Fit/App/Photocopi Empty Used Containers Lead/Acid Batteries Lighting Lamps/Tubes/Fluorescents Lwra Cat Bii Gen. Non-Putresc Lwra Cat. Bi Gen.Non-Putresc Lwra Cat. C 'Putresc' Mineral Oils Waste N.O.S.	A13SW (SW)	352	3	528700 185140



Geological

Map ID		Details	Quadrant Reference (Compass Direction)	Estimated Distance From Site	Contact	NGR
	BGS 1:625,000 Soli	d Geology				
	Description:	London Clay	A13SW (S)	0	4	528927 185449
	Coal Mining Affecte	d Areas				
	In an area which may	y not be affected by coal mining				
	Non Coal Mining Ar	eas of Great Britain				
	Potential for Collap	sible Ground Stability Hazards				
	Hazard Potential: Source:	Very Low British Geological Survey, National Geoscience Information Service	A13SW (S)	0	4	528927 185449
	Potential for Compr	ressible Ground Stability Hazards				
	Hazard Potential: Source:	No Hazard British Geological Survey, National Geoscience Information Service	A13SW (S)	0	4	528927 185449
	Potential for Groun	d Dissolution Stability Hazards				
	No Hazard					
	Potential for Lands	lide Ground Stability Hazards				
	Hazard Potential: Source:	Very Low British Geological Survey, National Geoscience Information Service	A13SW (S)	0	4	528927 185449
	Potential for Lands	lide Ground Stability Hazards				
	Hazard Potential: Source:	Low British Geological Survey, National Geoscience Information Service	A13SW (SW)	105	4	528857 185332
	Potential for Runnin	ng Sand Ground Stability Hazards				
	Hazard Potential: Source:	No Hazard British Geological Survey, National Geoscience Information Service	A13SW (S)	0	4	528927 185449
	Potential for Runnin	ng Sand Ground Stability Hazards				
	Hazard Potential: Source:	Very Low British Geological Survey, National Geoscience Information Service	A13SW (SW)	111	4	528799 185391
	Potential for Shrink	ing or Swelling Clay Ground Stability Hazards				
	Hazard Potential: Source:	Moderate British Geological Survey, National Geoscience Information Service	A13SW (S)	0	4	528927 185449
	Radon Potential - R	adon Affected Areas				
	Affected Area: Source:	The property is in a lower probability radon area, as less than 1% of homes are above the action level British Geological Survey, National Geoscience Information Service	A13SW (S)	0	4	528927 185449
	Radon Potential - R	adon Protection Measures				
	Protection Measure: Source:	No radon protective measures are necessary in the construction of new dwellings or extensions British Geological Survey. National Geoscience Information Service	A13SW (S)	0	4	528927 185449



Industrial Land Use

Map ID		Details	Quadrant Reference (Compass Direction)	Estimated Distance From Site	Contact	NGR
34	Contemporary Trad Name: Location: Classification: Status: Positional Accuracy:	e Directory Entries A S Hepburn 30a, Highgate Road, London, NW5 1QB Fasteners & Fixing Devices Inactive Automatically positioned to the address	A13NE (E)	0	-	528938 185451
35	Contemporary Trad Name: Location: Classification: Status: Positional Accuracy:	e Directory Entries Alexander Green Ltd 19, Greenwood Place, London, NW5 1LB Children & Babywear - Manufacturers & Wholesalers Inactive Manually positioned to the address or location	A13SW (SW)	60	-	528848 185403
36	Contemporary Trad Name: Location: Classification: Status: Positional Accuracy:	e Directory Entries Perk Clean 20 Fortess Rd, London, NW5 2HB Dry Cleaners Active Manually positioned to the address or location	A13SE (SE)	95	-	529006 185374
37	Contemporary Trad Name: Location: Classification: Status: Positional Accuracy:	e Directory Entries Millenium Designs Ltd 39-51, Highgate Road, London, NW5 1RS Clothing & Fabrics - Manufacturers Inactive Automatically positioned to the address	A13NW (W)	97	-	528813 185463
37	Contemporary Trad Name: Location: Classification: Status: Positional Accuracy:	e Directory Entries Regalfield Ltd 39-51, Highgate Road, London, NW5 1RS Clothing & Fabrics - Manufacturers Inactive Automatically positioned to the address	A13NW (W)	97	-	528813 185463
37	Contemporary Trad Name: Location: Classification: Status: Positional Accuracy:	e Directory Entries The Constance Wood Group 39-51, Highgate Road, London, NW5 1RS Clothing & Fabrics - Manufacturers Inactive Automatically positioned to the address	A13NW (W)	97	-	528813 185463
37	Contemporary Trad Name: Location: Classification: Status: Positional Accuracy:	e Directory Entries Alan Pharmaceuticals 33, Greenwood Place, London, NW5 1LB Pharmaceutical Manufacturers & Distributors Inactive Automatically positioned to the address	A13SW (W)	114	-	528791 185444
37	Contemporary Trad Name: Location: Classification: Status: Positional Accuracy:	e Directory Entries Billi Co Unit 5A, 33, Greenwood Place, London, NW5 1LB Candle Manufacturers & Suppliers Inactive Manually positioned to the address or location	A13SW (W)	114	-	528791 185444
37	Contemporary Trad Name: Location: Classification: Status: Positional Accuracy:	e Directory Entries Angelic Candles Ltd Unit 5A, 33, Greenwood Place, London, NW5 1LB Candle Manufacturers & Suppliers Inactive Manually positioned to the address or location	A13SW (W)	114	-	528791 185444
37	Contemporary Trad Name: Location: Classification: Status: Positional Accuracy:	e Directory Entries Amano Ltd Studio 3B, 33, Greenwood Place, London, NW5 1LB Knitwear Manufacturers & Wholesalers Inactive Manually positioned to the address or location	A13SW (W)	114	-	528791 185444
37	Contemporary Trad Name: Location: Classification: Status: Positional Accuracy:	e Directory Entries Muir & Osborne Studio 3B, 33, Greenwood Place, London, NW5 1LB Knitwear Manufacturers & Wholesalers Inactive Manually positioned to the address or location	A13SW (W)	114	-	528791 185444
37	Contemporary Trad Name: Location: Classification: Status: Positional Accuracy:	e Directory Entries World Classics Deane House, 27, Greenwood Place, London, NW5 1LB T-Shirts Inactive Manually positioned to the address or location	A13SW (W)	125	-	528780 185409



Industrial Land Use

Map ID		Details	Quadrant Reference (Compass Direction)	Estimated Distance From Site	Contact	NGR
38	Contemporary Trad Name: Location: Classification: Status: Positional Accuracy:	e Directory Entries S & A Electricals 1, Fortess Road, London, NW5 1AA Electrical goods - servicing & repairs Active Manually positioned to the address or location	A13SE (S)	105	-	528964 185332
39	Contemporary Trad Name: Location: Classification: Status: Positional Accuracy:	e Directory Entries Lakis 61, Fortess Road, London, NW5 1AD Sausage Manufacturers Active Automatically positioned to the address	A13NE (NE)	113	-	529007 185564
40	Contemporary Trad Name: Location: Classification: Status: Positional Accuracy:	e Directory Entries Tse Europe Ltd 79, Fortess Road, London, NW5 1AG Knitwear Manufacturers & Wholesalers Inactive Automatically positioned to the address	A13NE (NE)	163	-	529008 185620
41	Contemporary Trad Name: Location: Classification: Status: Positional Accuracy:	e Directory Entries Car Care 50, Leverton Street, London, NW5 2PG Garage Services Active Automatically positioned to the address	A13SE (E)	166	-	529108 185411
42	Contemporary Trad Name: Location: Classification: Status: Positional Accuracy:	e Directory Entries Universe Pizza 320, Kentish Town Road, London, NW5 2TH Catering Equipment Active Automatically positioned to the address	A13SE (S)	168	-	528997 185278
42	Contemporary Trad Name: Location: Classification: Status: Positional Accuracy:	e Directory Entries Zappeo Dry Cleaning 310, Kentish Town Road, London, NW5 2TH Dry Cleaners Active Automatically positioned to the address	A13SE (SE)	192	-	529008 185257
43	Contemporary Trad Name: Location: Classification: Status: Positional Accuracy:	e Directory Entries Fabulously French A, 15, Falkland Road, London, NW5 2PU Confectionery Manufacturers Inactive Automatically positioned to the address	A13SE (SE)	176	-	529086 185343
44	Contemporary Trad Name: Location: Classification: Status: Positional Accuracy:	e Directory Entries Merit Cleaning Co 15, Lady Somerset Road, London, NW5 1UR Commercial Cleaning Services Inactive Automatically positioned to the address	A13NE (N)	188	-	528971 185661
45	Contemporary Trad Name: Location: Classification: Status: Positional Accuracy:	e Directory Entries Caesar Fashions Ltd 53-79 Highgate Rd, London, NW5 1TL Clothing & Fabrics - Manufacturers Inactive Manually positioned to the address or location	A13NW (W)	207	-	528720 185525
45	Contemporary Trad Name: Location: Classification: Status: Positional Accuracy:	e Directory Entries Freight-Linc Logistics Studio 320,Highgate Studios,53-79 Highgate Rd, London, NW5 1TL Freight Forwarders Inactive Manually positioned to the address or location	A13NW (W)	207	-	528720 185525
45	Contemporary Trad Name: Location: Classification: Status: Positional Accuracy:	e Directory Entries Caesar Fashions Ltd Highgate Studios Unit 801,53-79 Highgate Rd, London, NW5 1TL Clothing & Fabrics - Manufacturers Active Manually positioned to the address or location	A13NW (W)	207	-	528721 185526
45	Contemporary Trad Name: Location: Classification: Status: Positional Accuracy:	e Directory Entries Airwaves Trading Ltd Highgate Studios,443 Highgate Rd, London, NW5 1TL Telecommunications Equipment & Systems Active Manually positioned to the address or location	A13NW (W)	208	-	528720 185526



Industrial Land Use

Map ID		Details	Quadrant Reference (Compass Direction)	Estimated Distance From Site	Contact	NGR
	Contemporary Trad	e Directory Entries				
46	Name: Location: Classification: Status: Positional Accuracy:	R B Cleanings Lupton St, London, NW5 2HY Carpet, Curtain & Upholstery Cleaners Active Manually positioned to the road within the address or location	A13NE (NE)	238	-	529140 185612
	Fuel Station Entries					
47	Name: Location: Brand: Premises Type: Status: Positional Accuracy:	Parliament Hill Service Station 138-140, Highgate Road, London, NW5 1PB Unbranded Petrol Station Open Manually positioned to the address or location	A18SW (NW)	448	-	528633 185810
	Fuel Station Entries					
48	Name: Location: Brand: Premises Type: Status: Positional Accuracy:	Whittington Service Station 207 Junction Road, Tufnell Park, LONDON, N19 5QA Obsolete Not Applicable Obsolete Manually positioned to the address or location	A18SE (NE)	691	-	529220 186104
	Fuel Station Entries					
49	Name: Location: Brand: Premises Type: Status: Positional Accuracy:	Court Service Station 160a Malden Road, Kentish Town, LONDON, NW5 4BT Obsolete Not Applicable Obsolete Located by supplier to within 100m	A12SW (W)	900	-	528033 185200
	Fuel Station Entries					
50	Name: Location: Brand: Premises Type: Status: Positional Accuracy:	Fairways Garage 139-143 Camden Road, Sandal Road, Camden Town, LONDON, NW1 9HA Total Not Applicable Obsolete Manually positioned to the address or location	A9SW (SE)	980	-	529530 184658



Useful Contacts

Contact	Name and Address	Contact Details
1	London Borough of Camden - Pollution Projects Team Seventh Floor, Town Hall Extension, Argyle Street, London, WC1H 8EQ	Telephone: 020 7278 4444 Fax: 020 7860 5713 Website: www.camden.gov.uk
2	London Borough of Islington - Environmental Health Department 159 Upper Street, Islington, London, N1 1RE	Telephone: 020 7527 2000 Fax: 020 7477 3057 Website: www.islington.gov.uk
3	Environment Agency - National Customer Contact Centre (NCCC) PO Box 544, Templeborough, Rotherham, S60 1BY	Telephone: 08708 506 506 Email: enquiries@environment-agency.gov.uk
4	British Geological Survey - Enquiry Service British Geological Survey, Kingsley Dunham Centre, Keyworth, Nottingham, Nottinghamshire, NG12 5GG	Telephone: 0115 936 3143 Fax: 0115 936 3276 Email: enquiries@bgs.ac.uk Website: www.bgs.ac.uk
5	Natural England Northminster House, Northminster Road, Peterborough, Cambridgeshire, PE1 1UA	Telephone: 0845 600 3078 Fax: 01733 455103 Email: enquiries@naturalengland.org.uk Website: www.naturalengland.org.uk
6	London Borough of Camden Town Hall, Judd Street, London, WC1H 9JE	Telephone: 020 7974 4444 Fax: 020 7974 6866 Email: info@camden.gov.uk Website: www.camden.gov.uk
-	Health Protection Agency - Radon Survey, Centre for Radiation, Chemical and Environmental Hazards Chilton, Didcot, Oxfordshire, OX11 0RQ	Telephone: 01235 822622 Fax: 01235 833891 Email: radon@hpa.org.uk Website: www.hpa.org.uk
-	Landmark Information Group Limited The Smith Centre, Henley On Thames, Oxfordshire, RG9 6AB	Telephone: 0844 844 9952 Fax: 0844 844 9951 Email: customerservices@landmarkinfo.co.uk Website: www.landmarkinfo.co.uk

Please note that the Environment Agency / SEPA have a charging policy in place for enquiries.