<b>Delegated Re</b>	port A	Ort Analysis sheet		Expiry Date:	16/10/2012				
		N/A		Consultation Expiry Date:	27/09/2012				
Officer			Application Nu	umber					
Aysegul Olcar-Chamberl	in		2012/4196/P						
Application Address			Drawing Numbers						
38 Belsize Avenue									
London			See decision n	otico					
NW3 4AH									
PO 3/4 Area Tea	m Signature	C&UD	Authorised Of	ficer Signature					
Proposal									
Replacement of windows on front elevation and replacement of windows and French doors on rear									
elevation in connection with existing residential dwellings (Class C3).									
стана ст Стана стана стан									
Recommendation: Refuse Planning Permission									
Application Type:	Full Planning Permission								

		ision No	Refer to Draft Decision Notice									
Informatives:												
Consultations												
Adjoining Occupiers:	No. notified	00	No. of responses	00	No. of objections	00						
	No. electronic     00											
Summary of consultation responses:	A site notice was displayed from 29/08/2012 to 19/09/2012. A press notice was advertised on 06/09/2012 and expired on 27/09/2012. No response received.											
CAAC/Local groups comments:	<ul> <li>Belsize Residents Association objected to the proposal on the following grounds:</li> <li>The proposed PVCu windows would be contrary to the Belsize Conservation Area guidance.</li> <li>This is an important property in a prominent block on a principle elevation.</li> </ul>											
Belsize Conservation An 32-42) which is identic conservation area. Each pair to give the appearan The application property planning permission (ref units (basement flat and Relevant History	fied as a position of property in this ince of semi-detact has been subdivition : 2012/3751/P) is	ve cor terrace hed pr ided in implen	ntributor to the cha e has a gable-frontag operties. to three self-contained nented the property w	racter ge with d resid vould h	and appearance set-backs between ential units. If the re	of the n each ecent						
<b>2012/3751/P</b> – Planning floor maisonette and sec					version of ground - fi	irst						
<b>2012/3936/P</b> – Planning 4 circular windows and 4						n with						
<b>PW9902536</b> – Planning dwelling to a self contain a rebuilt rear extension a	ned maisonette ar	nd two	self contained flats, in									
Relevant policies LDF Core Strategy and	l Development P	olicies	;									
<b>Core Strategy</b> CS5 – Managing the imp CS5 – Managing the imp	pact of growth and	d devel	opment									

- DP24 Securing high quality design
- DP25 Conserving Camden's heritage
- DP26 Managing the impact of development on occupiers and neighbours

## Camden Planning Guidance 2011

CPG1 – Design (Section 4, page 24)

## Belsize Conservation Area Statement (2003)

Pages: 12-14, 30 and 40.

## Assessment

**Proposal:** It is proposed to replace all timber windows on the front elevation of the existing building with PVCu windows (except basement level) and replace the timber sash windows and French doors on the rear elevation of the existing building with PVCu windows (except hardwood double glazed windows and door on the ground floor). The proposed replacement PVCu windows would repeat the pattern of the existing windows which they would replace.

**Design and Appearance:** The front elevation of the application property and the rest of the terrace are pretty much intact. The front elevation of this terrace is impressively detailed by decorative stucco works and sub-divided sash windows. The rear elevation of the existing building is simply detailed and has been altered on the ground floor level.

Belsize Conservation Area Statement states that existing/original architectural features and detailing characteristic of the Conservation Area should be retained and kept in good repair, and only be replaced when there is no alternative (page 40). Where replacement is the only option possible option, Belsize Conservation Area Statement advices that materials should be chosen to closely match the original and use of PVCu windows would not be acceptable.

In addition that CPG1 states that original windows should be replaced like with like wherever possible in order to preserve the character of the property and the surrounding area and new windows should match the originals as closely as possible in terms of type, glazing patterns and proportions (including the shape, size and placement of glazing bars). CPG1 also discourages use of PVCu windows due to their appearance, relatively short lifespan and inability to biodegrade.

Given the good condition of the existing windows on the front elevation their replacement in principle is considered to be unacceptable in principle. Furthermore the proposed PVCu as a material would degrade from the historic interests and architectural characteristics of the existing building and would harm the appearance of the host building and the rest of the terrace.

The existing rear sash windows on the first and second floor levels are also in a good condition and ideally they should be repaired and retained. There is more scope for different style replacement windows and doors on the rear ground floor elevation of the building provided that the materials of the replacement windows and doors are sympathetic to the existing (in this case timber).

Although the frame thicknesses of the proposed windows and doors would match the existing the proposed replacement PVCu windows would still have chunkier appearance and would not match with the materials of the existing windows in terms of texture and appearance.

The proposal is considered to harm the appearance and character of the host building, rest of the terrace and wider conservation area.

**Amenity:** The proposal would not result in any adverse amenity issues in terms of loss of daylight, privacy and outlook to the neighbouring properties.

**Conclusion:** The proposed replacement PVCu windows would not match with the appearance and materials of the existing original windows and would be inappropriate to the age, materials and architectural comparison of the existing building and the rest of the terrace. Therefore, the proposal

would be contrary to policies CS15, DP24 and DP25.

Recommendation: Refuse planning permission.

**Disclaimer** 

This is an internet copy for information purposes. If you require a copy of the signed original please telephone Contact Camden on (020) 7974 4444