SAL-1285 - 29-33 Chalk Farm Road - Camden Town - Northern Line - Southbound Running Tunnel - Condition Survey R0

Lateral Reference (Ring Number)	Longitude Reference (Tunnel Section Part)	Condition Details	Photograph Reference
	Right Axis	Missing Bolt	
	Right Knee	Residue from Historic Water Ingress	
674	Right Axis	Missing Bolt	
677	Left Axis	Residue from Historic Water Ingress NL-SB-20	
678	Left Knee	Residue from Historic Water Ingress	
	Right Axis	Missing Bolt	
679	Right Shoulder	Damaged Segment	NL-SB-21
682	Right Axis	Missing Bolt	
684	Left Shoulder	Residue from Historic Water Ingress	
686	Left Shoulder	Residue from Historic Water Ingress	
	Right Axis	Missing Bolt	
687	Crown	Residue from Historic Water Ingress	
688	Left Axis	Residue from Historic Water Ingress	
689	Right Axis	Residue from Historic Water Ingress	
690	Left Axis	Residue from Historic Water Ingress	NL-SB-22
	Right Axis	Missing Bolt	
692	Left Knee	Residue from Historic Water Ingress	
694	Right Axis	Missing Bolt	
698	Right Shoulder	Residue from Historic Water Ingress	
699	Right Knee	Residue from Historic Water Ingress	
701	Left Axis	Residue from Historic Water Ingress	
	Left Shoulder	Residue from Historic Water Ingress	
702	Right Axis	Missing Bolt	
705	Right Knee	Residue from Historic Water Ingress	
706	Right Axis	2x Missing Bolt	
707	Left Knee	Residue from Historic Water Ingress	
710	Right Axis	Missing Bolt	
713	Left Knee	Residue from Historic Water Ingress	
	Crown	Residue from Historic Water Ingress	NL-SB-23
714	Left Axis	Residue from Historic Water Ingress	
	Left Shoulder	Residue from Historic Water Ingress	
	Right Axis	Missing Bolt	
715	Left Axis	Residue from Historic Water Ingress	
	Left Shoulder	Residue from Historic Water Ingress	
717	Left Knee	Residue from Historic Water Ingress	
718	Left Axis	Residue from Historic Water Ingress	
	Left Shoulder	Residue from Historic Water Ingress	
	Right Axis	2x Missing Bolt	
719	Right Axis	Missing Bolt	
	Right Knee	Missing Bolt	
721	Left Knee	Residue from Historic Water Ingress	
	Right Knee	Missing Bolt	
722	Left Knee	Residue from Historic Water Ingress	
	Right Axis	Missing Bolt	
723	Left Shoulder	Residue from Historic Water Ingress	NL-SB-24
	Right Shoulder	Residue from Historic Water Ingress	
724	Right Axis	Missing Bolt	
	Right Knee	Missing Bolt	
726	Left Knee	Residue from Historic Water Ingress	
	Right Axis	2x Missing Bolt	
730	Left Knee	Residue from Historic Water Ingress	
End point	Right Axis	Sign	NL-SB-25

11

Survey Associates Limited

Survey Associates Ltd, Eden House, River Way, Uckfield, East Sussex TN22 1SL | T: 0845 4504210 | E:sal.office@sal.uk.com | www.sal.uk.com





SAL-1285 - 29-33 Chalk Farm Road - Camden Town - Northern Line - Southbound Running Tunnel - Condition Survey R0

29-33 Chalk Farm Road, Camden Town, Northern Line, Southbound Running Tunnel

1. General View



2. Schedule of Condition inspection photographs





12

Survey Associates Limited

Survey Associates Ltd, Eden House, River Way, Uckfield, East Sussex TN22 1SL | T: 0845 4504210 | E:sal.office@sal.uk.com | www.sal.uk.com





SAL-1285 - 29-33 Chalk Farm Road - Camden Town - Northern Line - Southbound Running Tunnel - Condition Survey R0









SAL-1285 - 29-33 Chalk Farm Road - Camden Town - Northern Line - Southbound Running Tunnel - Condition Survey R0









13

Survey Associates Limited

Survey Associates Ltd, Eden House, River Way, Uckfield, East Sussex TN22 1SL | T: 0845 4504210 | E:sal.office@sal.uk.com | www.sal.uk.com



14

Survey Associates Limited
Survey Associates Ltd, Eden House, River Way, Uckfield, East Sussex TN22 1SL |
T: 0845 4504210 | E:sal.office@sal.uk.com | www.sal.uk.com





SAL-1285 – 29-33 Chalk Farm Road – Camden Town – Northern Line - Southbound Running Tunnel - Condition Survey R0









15

Survey Associates Ltd, Eden House, River Way, Uckfield, East Sussex TN22 1SL | T: 0845 4504210 | E:sal.office@sal.uk.com | www.sal.uk.com





Appendix D

Document TLF-716

Civil/Structural Engineering Design

Item No	Item	Description (Put in Not Applicable where not applicable)		
	Design Concept (Basement Only)			
	The basement structure for the development at Collindale Avenue is to be 3.93m deep - based on Ground Floor (28.540m SSL) to Basement (24.610m SSL)			
CE1	The perimeter of the structure will be formed using contiguous auger bore piled wall with a reinforced concrete capping beam. The excavation of the basement will be formed during a controlled excavation with temporary propping to the top and bottom of the piled wall.			
	Design Criteria			
	The design of the basement structure is based on the Geotechnical Soil Investigation Crossfield Consulting Limited dated February 2009.			
	Design is based on BS8002/CIRIA C580.			
	Typical strength profile for the london Clay, Su – 25kPA at 2m depth increasing to 90kPA at 7m depth.			
	The perimeter piled wall is designed for the following loads:			
CE2	• Surcharge Load – 10 kN	l/m²		
	Loading Criteria – In Operation	on/Use 10 kN/m ²		
	Loading Criteria – Installation	10 kN/m²		
	Loading Criteria – Removal	10 kN/m²		
	Load Transfers	NO		
	Waybeam Requirements			
	Foundations/Suspension/Anchorage			
	The foundations are Auger Bore Piles to the perimeter and load bearing structure to the internal structure. This is split into two categories, the perimeter wall and the internal load bearing structure:			
CE3	 Perimeter foundations are 300mm diameter auger bore piled wall. This will be a contiguous piled wall The internal structure has load bearing pad and strip foundations. 			
CE4	Use of, or need for, Fixings of Works	or Elements built into existing assets and/or the Permanent		

www.pjce.com

16 Kew Foot Road, Richmond, London TW9 2SS

Pringuer-James Consulting Engineers is a Limited Company Registered in England and Wales No. 5260983

None Required

Item No	Item and Description (Put in Not Applicable where not applicable)
	Soil Properties and Effects on Drainage
CE5	The soil properties have been investigated – see attached Borehole logs.
	The structure being built is a basement through London Clay. All Grey water will be channelled into an internal pumping chamber for discharge to the Local Authority sewer in Chalk Farm Road.
	Drainage Proposals
CE6	Parameters for proposed drainage design as part of Temporary Works, arrangements for drainage and their effects on the existing drainage system. Note that crash decks/roofed Temporary Works will require a drainage design for the effects of water and must include a proper drainage path to approved discharge.
	Stability Requirements during Erection, Use and Decommissioning
CE7	(If not covered in Design Criteria) The structure will remain stable during construction by the installation of the permanent ground floor slab with steel beams. The ground floor slab will be installed in sequence to form the prop to the piled wall prior to excavation. Elements of the slab will remain void for the removal of excavated soil. These areas of slab will be infilled once the excavation is complete. This minimises the requirement for temporary propping and associated transfer movement effects when temporary supports are removed and permanent structure is installed.
CEO	Use of proprietary systems and sub-contracted (Specialist) systems and responsibility for their design
CE8	None Required
	Corrosion
CE9	None Required
	Additional Information
CE10	

SECTION C-Design Documentation

(All documents required which are not on the Project MDL must be attached)

Document	Attached	Reference	Details / Reason for Inclusion	
Design Documentation				
Approved Scope of Works (For Temporary Works)	No			
Method Statement(s) and Decommissioning Plan	No			
Calculations (Cat 0 to 2 Works)	No			
Calculations &Sketches (Supporting of Cat 3 check)	Yes	Drawings embedded in Submission Document	Verification of Proposed Structure	
Drawings / Sketches	Yes	Drawings embedded in Submission Document	Floor Plan Layouts and section showing piled wall and location of London Underground Tunnel.	
Testing results - pullout, plate bearing etc)	No			
Site Investigation Reports	Yes	Borehole Log	Soil Condition and borehole logs	
Product Technical Data	No			
Structural Assessments & drawings (QSLA – TLF 720)	Yes			
	Authorisat	ions /Licences / Per	rmits	
Hazardous materials (TLF-187 Submission)	No			
Fire Approvals / Bb224	No		Bb224 approval from LU FSU / LFEPA provided by the Fire Engineer to be added if required.	
Materials Certification	No			
Movement of Materials Licences	No			
Storage Licence (Plant or Materials)	No/			
Hot Works Permits(s)	No			
Power Loading (Electrical Load Application)	No			
Local Authority Licences	No			
Waste Licenses	No			
Building Regulations Application (TLL)	No			



Space Allocation	No			
	Access and Clearances			
Crane Plan	No			
Crane Specification	No			
Traffic Plan (Local Authority Approvals)	No			
Track Clearances	No			
Accompanying Assurance Documentation				
Emergency Preparedness Plan (EPP)	No			
CDS for Monitoring Regime (Use form TLF 444)	No			

Concessions Against Standards

	Title and Reason	Against Standard No.	Document reference	Date
Submitted				
Obtained				

SECTION D – Design Organisation's Declaration for Items

This sections is for signatures of those relevant authorities/ competent person in the disciplines concerned

COMPANY NAME:	Pringuer-James Consulting Engineers
---------------	-------------------------------------

Designer's Declaration

I certify that above design complies with the design intent of the Temporary Works and integrates with other disciplines to meet all the requirements stated in items listed above & that the design has been accurately translated into the Temporary Works drawings and that the calculations, specification and drawings have been checked within the design group.

Signed: Designer: Teodor Perfanov Date: November 2012

Design Checker's Declaration

I certify that above design complies with the design intent of the Temporary Works and integrates with other disciplines to meet all the requirements stated in items listed above & that the design has been accurately translated into the Temporary Works drawings and that the calculations, specification and drawings have been checked within the design group.

Signed: Designer: Sean Pringuer-James Date: Nov 2012

Note: The preparer and the checker are different people

Design Approver's Declaration

Discipline: Structural Engineer

I certify that I have reviewed this design and confirm that it complies with the design intent in relation to Temporary Works as in the items listed above and integrates with other discipline designs to meet all the relevant requirements (except any specifically noted). I also confirm that the design has been accurately translated into the Temporary Works documents and that the calculations, specification and drawings have been check in accordance with clause 11 of the Notes to this document.

Signed: Designer: (Print or type name) Date:



SECTION E1 – Category 3 Checking Organisation

For Items:			
Name of Company/Partnership*:(Print or type name)			
(*delete as appropriate)			
and the Design Stateme	design has been checked by my firm and that it complies with thent dated (see above) and the Drawings listed. Any errors identiferrected to my satisfaction.		
Signed:	Director/Partner*: (Print or type name)	Date:	

SECTION E2 – Category 3 Checking Organisation

For Items:		
Name of Company	/Partnership*:(Print or type name)	
(*delete as appropriate)		
and the Design Statemer	lesign has been checked by my firm and that it complies with to nt dated (see above) and the Drawings listed. Any errors ident rrected to my satisfaction.	e v

(Add more sections E3, E4 etc as required)

Page **24** of **25**



SECTION F- Tube Lines' Reviewer's Endorsement

(Note: This Section is for Tube Lines Engineer's Signatures Only)

This section is for the "Endorsement" by the relevant Tube Lines Ltd accredited Discipline Engineers that the Temporary Works documentation for the relevant items have been completed satisfactorily and is also used for "Approval" of TLL internally designed Temporary Works.

	Tube Lines Reviewers Endorsement	
Discipline:		
For Items:		
listed above and integrates with or that the design has been accurate	design and confirm that it complies with the design intent in relation to Temporary Works as in the items her discipline designs to meet all the relevant requirements (except any specifically noted). I also confirm by translated into the Temporary Works documents and that the calculations, specification and drawings in accordance with clause 11 of the Notes to this document. Any errors identified in the calculations or by satisfaction.	
Signed:	Discipline Reviewer: (Print or type name) Date:	
Discipline:	Tube Lines Reviewers Endorsement	
For Items:		
listed above and integrates with or that the design has been accurate	design and confirm that it complies with the design intent in relation to Temporary Works as in the items her discipline designs to meet all the relevant requirements (except any specifically noted). I also confirm by translated into the Temporary Works documents and that the calculations, specification and drawings in accordance with clause 11 of the Notes to this document. Any errors identified in the calculations or by satisfaction.	
Signed:	Discipline Reviewer: (Print or type name) Date:	
Discipline:	Tube Lines Reviewers Endorsement	
For Items:		
listed above and integrates with or that the design has been accurate	design and confirm that it complies with the design intent in relation to Temporary Works as in the items her discipline designs to meet all the relevant requirements (except any specifically noted). I also confirm by translated into the Temporary Works documents and that the calculations, specification and drawings in accordance with clause 11 of the Notes to this document. Any errors identified in the calculations or by satisfaction.	
Signed:	Discipline Reviewer: (Print or type name) Date:	

(Add more sections F4, F5 etc as required)