Sustainable Design and Construction Statement

Land at Midland Crescent Finchley Road, Camden

Prepared by



On behalf of Stadium Capital Holdings

November 2012 Ref: 5171/SDCS-1112TP.00

Prepared by Metropolis Green

on behalf of

Stadium Capital Holdings

Prepared By	Position	Date
Tyler Peck	Sustainability and Planning Consultant	09/11/12

Approved By	Position	Date
Miranda Pennington	Partner, Licensed BREEAM, Code for Sustainable Homes and EcoHomes Assessor	09/11/12

Revision	Date	Changes	Author
Rev0	09/10/12	Document created for approval	Tyler Peck

Contact Details:

Metropolis Green LLP 4 Underwood Row London N1 7LQ

T: 020 7324 2662

E: info@metropolisgreen.com W: www.metropolisgreen.com



1.0 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

- 1.1 This Sustainable Design and Construction Statement for the proposed development of the site at Midland Crescent, Finchley Road in the London Borough of Camden follows the Mayor of London's Supplementary Planning Guidance (SPG), as noted in London Plan Policy 5.3: Sustainable Design and Construction.
- 1.2 This report details how the design team has considered the site's potential environmental impacts and how those impacts can be managed and mitigated in line with the prevailing spatial planning policies and the BREEAM 2011 New Construction environmental assessment scheme.
- 1.3 The proposed development has targeted sustainability throughout the lifetime of the proposal. In particular, energy and water efficiency measures will be integral to the building's design and specification. Passive design measures will also feature within the building to prevent overheating and avoid excessive requirements for heating/cooling.
- 1.4 All of the proposed measures in this report will reduce the site's impact on the environment and contribute to its sustainability. The proposed development satisfies the high standards of sustainability as prescribed by the relevant tiers of planning policy. The BREEAM 2011 New Construction pre-assessments for the student accommodation and commercial uses demonstrate that the proposed development can achieve a rating of Very Good with scores of 61.32% (student accommodation) and 58.60% (commercial).



CONTENTS

1.0	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	3
2.0	INTRODUCTION	5
3.0	SITE BACKGROUND AND PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT	6
4.0	POLICY CONTEXT	7
5.0	RE-USE OF LAND & BUILDINGS	17
6.0	MAXIMISE THE USE OF NATURAL SYSTEMS	19
7.0	CONSERVE ENERGY, WATER & OTHER RESOURCES	22
8.0	NOISE, POLLUTION, FLOODING AND MICROCLIMATIC EFFECTS	27
9.0	ENSURE DEVELOPMENTS ARE COMFORTABLE AND SECURE	30
10.0	CONSERVE & ENHANCE THE NATURAL ENVIRONMENT & BIODIVERSITY	33
11.0	PROMOTING SUSTAINABLE WASTE BEHAVIOUR	35
12.0	SUSTAINABLE CONSTRUCTION	37
13.0	CONCLUSION	39
14.0	REFERENCES	41
APPE (STUE	NDIX A - BREEAM 2011 NEW CONSTRUCTION PRE-ASSESSMENT DENT ACCOMMODATION)	42
APPE	NDIX B - BREEAM 2011 NEW CONSTRUCTION PRE-ASSESSMENT MERCIAL)	
	1¥1∟1 ∖∪ 1/ ∖∟/	+0



2.0 INTRODUCTION

- 2.1 This Sustainable Design and Construction Statement (SDCS) has been prepared by Metropolis Green to accompany the planning application submitted to the London Borough of Camden on behalf of Stadium Capital Holdings for the development of the site at Midland Crescent, Finchley Road in the West Hampstead ward.
- 2.2 This SDCS addresses local and regional policies on sustainable buildings and addresses issues that are covered by BREEAM to assess the sustainability of the various parts of the development. This SDCS is laid out according to Section 1.6 in the Mayor's SPG Sustainable Design and Construction, as noted in London Plan Policy 5.3: Sustainable Design and Construction.
- 2.3 This SDCS highlights where a sustainability standard can be potentially met and how the principle will be achieved. This report assumes a basic understanding of the BREEAM assessment methodology; however, for further information please refer to the BREEAM 2011 New Construction Technical Manual¹.
- 2.4 This SDCS should be read alongside the Energy Strategy prepared by Metropolis Green, the Design and Access Statement produced by CZWG Architects and other supplemental environmental reports submitted with the application (please see the References listed in Section 14.0 of this report).



¹ (http://www.breeam.org/BREEAM2011SchemeDocument/)

3.0 SITE BACKGROUND AND PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT

- 3.1 The site is located on the west side of Finchley Road in the West Hampstead ward of the London Borough of Camden. The site is 0.16 hectares in size and located to the north of the O2 Centre and Blackburn Road. Immediately to the north of the site on Finchley Road are a series of 3 storey brick buildings with retail/commercial at the ground floor level and residential units above. The site is triangular in shape, tapering approximately 120 m to the west, and is surrounded on the north and south by Network Rail lines.
- 3.2 The site previously contained a crescent-shaped retail and commercial building and was also previously used as a railway station. The last buildings on site were demolished in the mid-1980s and the site is currently vacant.
- 3.3 The description of development for this planning application is set out below:
 - "Erection of a part-4 and part-5 storey building with a double level basement comprising flexible commercial space (Use Classes A1/A2/A3/A4/B1/D1 & D2) at lower basement and ground floor levels, 140 student bedrooms with communal kitchen, lounge and common room areas at upper basement to fourth floor levels, common room at fifth floor and associated landscaping to site."
- 3.4 A detailed review of the site background/context and the proposed development can be found in the Design and Access Statement prepared by CZWG Architects.



4.0 POLICY CONTEXT

- 4.0.1 Sustainable development is the core principle underpinning planning. At the heart of sustainable development is the simple idea of ensuring a better quality of life for everyone, now, and for future generations. A widely used definition was drawn up by the World Commission on Environment and Development in 1987: "development that meets the needs of the present without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs."
- 4.0.2 Planning has a key role to play in the creation of sustainable communities: communities that will stand the test of time, where people want to live, and which will enable people to meet their aspirations and potential.

4.1 National Policy

National Planning Policy Framework

- 4.1.1 The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) was published in March 2012 and sets out the Government's planning policies for England, and how these policies are expected to be applied. The policies in the document, taken as a whole, constitute the Government's view of what sustainable development in England means in practice for the planning system.
- 4.1.2 Paragraph 14 of the NPPF states that: At the heart of the NPPF is a presumption in favour of sustainable development, which should be seen as a golden thread running through both plan-making and decision-taking. For decision-taking this means approving development proposals that accord with the development plan without delay.
- 4.1.3 The NPPF outlines a set of core land-use planning principles that should underpin both plan-making and decision-taking, three of which are particularly relevant to this SDCS. Under paragraph 17, these principles are that planning should:
 - support the transition to a low carbon future in a changing climate, taking full account of flood risk and coastal change, and encourage the reuse of existing resources, including conversion of existing buildings, and encourage the use of renewable resources (for example, by the development of renewable energy);
 - contribute to conserving and enhancing the natural environment and reducing pollution. Allocations of land for development



- should prefer land of lesser environmental value, where consistent with other policies in this Framework; and
- encourage the effective use of land by reusing land that has been previously developed (brownfield land), provided that it is not of high environmental value.
- 4.1.4 Design is addressed in section 7 of the NPPF, and paragraph 56 states: The Government attaches great importance to the design of the built environment. Good design is a key aspect of sustainable development, is indivisible from good planning, and should contribute positively to making places better for people.
- 4.1.5 Meeting the challenge of climate change is addressed in section 10 of the NPPF, and paragraph 93 states: Planning plays a key role in helping shape places to secure radical reductions in greenhouse gas emissions, minimising vulnerability and providing resilience to the impacts of climate change, and supporting the delivery of renewable and low carbon energy and associated infrastructure. This is central to the economic, social and environmental dimensions of sustainable development.
- 4.1.6 Further to the above, paragraph 95 addresses local plan-making and states that to support the move to a low carbon future, local planning authorities should:
 - plan for new development in locations and ways which reduce greenhouse gas emissions;
 - actively support energy efficiency improvements to existing buildings; and
 - when setting any local requirement for a building's sustainability, do so in a way consistent with the Government's zero carbon buildings policy and adopt nationally described standards.
- 4.1.7 Additionally, paragraph 96 discussed decision-taking and states that In determining planning applications, local planning authorities should expect new development to:
 - comply with adopted Local Plan policies on local requirements for decentralised energy supply unless it can be demonstrated by the applicant, having regard to the type of development involved and its design, that this is not feasible or viable; and
 - take account of landform, layout, building orientation, massing and landscaping to minimise energy consumption.
- 4.1.8 Conserving and enhancing the natural environment is addressed in section 11 of the NPPF, and excerpts from paragraph 109 state that the planning system should contribute to and enhance the natural and local environment by:



- minimising impacts on biodiversity and providing net gains in biodiversity where possible, contributing to the Government's commitment to halt the overall decline in biodiversity, including by establishing coherent ecological networks that are more resilient to current and future pressures; and
- preventing both new and existing development from contributing to or being put at unacceptable risk from, or being adversely affected by unacceptable levels of soil, air, water or noise pollution or land instability.
- 4.1.9 Paragraph 118 notes that when determining planning applications, local planning authorities should aim to conserve and enhance biodiversity by encouraging opportunities to incorporate biodiversity in and around developments.
- 4.1.10 Noise is addressed under paragraph 123 which notes that Planning policies and decisions should aim to:
 - avoid noise from giving rise to significant adverse impacts on health and quality of life as a result of new development; and
 - mitigate and reduce to a minimum other adverse impacts on health and quality of life arising from noise from new development, including through the use of conditions.
- 4.1.11 Additionally, paragraph 125 states that: By encouraging good design, planning policies and decisions should limit the impact of light pollution from artificial light on local amenity.
- 4.1.12 Lastly, it is important to note that paragraph 187 of the NPPF addresses decision-taking by local planning authorities with respect to development applications. This paragraph states that: Local planning authorities should look for solutions rather than problems, and decision-takers at every level should seek to approve applications for sustainable development where possible. Local planning authorities should work proactively with applicants to secure developments that improve the economic, social and environmental conditions of the area.

4.2 Regional Policy

London Plan 2011

Policy 5.2: Minimising Carbon Dioxide Emissions

4.2.1 Planning decisions

A. Development proposals should make the fullest contribution to minimising carbon dioxide emissions in accordance with the following energy hierarchy:



- 1. be lean: use less energy;
- 2. be clean: supply energy efficiently;
- 3. be green: use renewable energy
- D. As a minimum, energy assessments should include the following details:
 - a) Calculation of baseline energy demand and carbon dioxide emissions on a 'whole energy' basis, showing the contribution of emissions both from uses covered by building regulations and those that are not (see paragraph 5.22);
 - b) Proposals to reduce carbon dioxide emissions through the energy efficient design of the site, buildings and services;
 - c) Proposals to further reduce carbon dioxide emissions through the use of decentralised energy where feasible, such as district heating and cooling and combined heat and power (CHP); and
 - d) Proposals to further reduce carbon dioxide emissions through the use of onsite renewable energy technologies.
- E. The carbon dioxide reduction targets should be met onsite. Where it is clearly demonstrated that the specific targets cannot be fully achieved onsite, any shortfall may be provided offsite or through a cash in lieu contribution to the relevant borough to be ring fenced to secure delivery of carbon dioxide savings elsewhere.

Policy 5.3: Sustainable Design and Construction

4.2.2 Strategic

A. The highest standards of sustainable design and construction should be achieved in London to improve the environmental performance of new developments and to adapt to the effects of climate change over their lifetime.

Planning decisions

B. Development proposals should demonstrate that sustainable design standards are integral to the proposal, including its construction and operation, and ensure that they are considered at the beginning of the design process.

Policy 5.7: Renewable Energy

4.2.3 Strategic

A. The Mayor seeks to increase the proportion of energy generated from renewable sources, and expects that the projections for installed



renewable energy capacity outlined in the Climate Change Mitigation and Energy Strategy and in supplementary planning guidance will be achieved in London.

Planning decisions

- B. Within the framework of the energy hierarchy (see Policy 5.2), major development proposals should provide a reduction in expected carbon dioxide emissions through the use of on-site renewable energy generation, where feasible.
- 4.2.4 Section 5.42 of the London Plan states that: Individual development proposals will also help to achieve these targets by applying the energy hierarchy in Policy 5.2. There is a presumption that all major development proposals will seek to reduce carbon dioxide emissions by at least 20 per cent through the use of on-site renewable energy generation wherever feasible.

4.3 Local Policy

- 4.3.1 The London Borough of Camden's Core Strategy sets out the key elements of the Council's planning vision and strategy for the borough. It is the central part of Local Development Framework (LDF) and was adopted in November 2010. The LDF is a group of documents setting out the borough's planning strategy and policies.
- 4.3.2 The Core Strategy contributes to achieving the vision and objectives of Camden's Community Strategy and helps the Council's partners and other organisations deliver relevant parts of their programmes. It covers the physical aspects of location and land use but also addresses other factors that make places attractive, sustainable and successful, such as social and economic matters. It plays a key part in shaping the kind of place Camden will be in the future, balancing the needs of residents, businesses and future generations.
- 4.3.3 Within the Core Strategy there are specific policies relating to sustainability.
- 4.3.4 The Core Strategy sets out the Council's approach to managing Camden's growth so that it is sustainable, meets our needs for homes, jobs and services, and protects and enhances quality of life and the borough's many valued and high quality places. Section 3 focuses on delivering the key elements of Camden's strategy relating to:
 - making Camden more sustainable and tackling climate change, in particular improving the environmental performance of buildings, providing decentralised energy and heating networks, and reducing and managing our water use;



- promoting a more attractive local environment through securing high quality places, conserving our heritage, providing parks and open spaces, and encouraging biodiversity;
- · improving health and well-being;
- making Camden a safer place while retaining its vibrancy; and
- dealing with our waste and increasing recycling.
- 4.3.5 The implications of our actions on the environment are increasingly clear and action is needed at global, national and local levels. The Core Strategy has an important role in reducing Camden's environmental impact and achieving sustainable development meeting our social, environmental and economic needs in ways that protect the environment and do not harm our ability to meet our needs in the future. A Sustainable Camden that adapts to a growing population is one of the elements in the vision in Camden's Community Strategy.
- 4.3.6 The Core Strategy Policy CS13 sets out the approach that developers should take when considering energy and carbon reductions for developments.

CS13 – Tackling climate change through promoting higher environmental standards

Reducing the effects of and adapting to climate change

- 4.3.7 The Council will require all development to take measures to minimise the effects of, and adapt to, climate change and encourage all development to meet the highest feasible environmental standards that are financially viable during construction and occupation by:
 - a) ensuring patterns of land use that minimise the need to travel by car and help support local energy networks;
 - b) promoting the efficient use of land and buildings;
 - c) minimising carbon emissions from the redevelopment, construction and occupation of buildings by implementing, in order, all of the elements of the following energy hierarchy:
 - 14.1 ensuring developments use less energy,
 - 14.2 making use of energy from efficient sources, such as the King's Cross, Gower Street, Bloomsbury and proposed Euston Road decentralized energy networks;
 - 14.3 generating renewable energy on-site; and



- d) ensuring buildings and spaces are designed to cope with, and minimise the effects of, climate change.
- 4.3.8 The Council will have regard to the cost of installing measures to tackle climate change as well as the cumulative future costs of delaying reductions in carbon dioxide emissions.

Local energy generation

- 4.3.9 The Council will promote local energy generation and networks by:
 - e) working with our partners and developers to implement local energy networks in the parts of Camden most likely to support them,
 - f) protecting existing local energy networks where possible (e.g. at Gower Street and Bloomsbury) and safeguarding potential network routes (e.g. Euston Road).

Water and surface water flooding

- 4.3.10 We will make Camden a water efficient borough and minimise the potential for surface water flooding by:
 - g) protecting our existing drinking water and foul water infrastructure, including Barrow Hill Reservoir, Hampstead Heath Reservoir, Highgate Reservoir and Kidderpore Reservoir;
 - h) making sure development incorporates efficient water and foul water infrastructure;
 - i) requiring development to avoid harm to the water environment, water quality or drainage systems and prevents or mitigates local surface water and down-stream flooding, especially in areas up-hill from, and in, areas known to be at risk from surface water flooding such as South and West Hampstead, Gospel Oak and King's Cross.

Camden's carbon reduction measures

- 4.3.11 The Council will take a lead in tackling climate change by:
 - j) taking measures to reduce its own carbon emissions;
 - k) trialling new energy efficient technologies, where feasible; and
 - I) raising awareness on mitigation and adaptation measures.
- 4.3.12 The Core strategy has informed the Council's Development Polices. Section 3 of this document set out a number of policies to promote sustainability and tackle climate change.
- 4.3.13 The objectives of Section 3 are enforced through policy DP22 Promoting sustainable design and construction and DP23 Water.



Policy DP22 - Promoting sustainable design and construction

- 4.3.14 The Council will require development to incorporate sustainable design and construction measures. Schemes must:
 - a) demonstrate how sustainable development principles, including the relevant measures set out in paragraph 22.5, have been incorporated into the design and proposed implementation; and
 - b) incorporate green or brown roofs and green walls wherever suitable.
- 4.3.15 The Council will promote and measure sustainable design and construction by:
 - expecting new build housing to meet Code for Sustainable Homes Level 3 by 2010 and Code Level 4 by 2013 and encouraging Code Level 6 (zero carbon) by 2016.
- 4.3.16 The Council will require development to be resilient to climate change by ensuring schemes include appropriate climate change adaptation measures, such as:
 - f) summer shading and planting;
 - g) limiting run-off;
 - h) reducing water consumption;
 - i) reducing air pollution; and
 - j) not locating vulnerable uses in basements in flood-prone areas.

Policy DP23 - Water

- 4.3.17 The Council will require developments to reduce their water consumption, the pressure on the combined sewer network and the risk of flooding by:
 - a) incorporating water efficient features and equipment and capturing, retaining and re-using surface water and grey water on-site;
 - b) limiting the amount and rate of run-off and waste water entering the combined storm water and sewer network through the methods outlined in part a) and other sustainable urban drainage methods to reduce the risk of flooding;
 - c) reducing the pressure placed on the combined storm water and sewer network from foul water and surface water run-off and ensuring developments in the areas identified by the North London Strategic Flood Risk Assessment and shown on Map 2 as being at risk of surface water flooding are designed to cope with the potential flooding;



- d) ensuring that developments are assessed for upstream and downstream groundwater flood risks in areas where historic underground streams are known to have been present; and
- e) encouraging the provision of attractive and efficient water features.

Camden Planning Guidance Sustainability (CPG3)

- 4.3.18 The Core Strategy is supported by Supplementary Planning Documents (SPDs) which play an important role in planning decisions. SPDs provide detailed guidance on how planning strategy and policies will be implemented for specific topics, areas and sites.
- 4.3.19 CPG3 contains advice and guidance for developers on ways to achieve carbon reductions and more sustainable developments. It also highlights the Council's requirements and guidelines which support the relevant Local LDF policies, including DP22 as noted above.
- 4.3.20 Section 9 covers sustainability assessment tools, with BREEAM being of particular relevance to this development (see section 4.4.4 below). The key message of the document is that development of 500sq m or more of non-residential floorspace will need to be designed in line with BREEAM.
- 4.3.21 Developers are strongly encouraged to meet the following standards in accordance with Development Policy DP22 - Promoting sustainable design and construction:

Time period	Minimum rating	Minimum standard for categories (% of un-weighted credits)
2010-2012	Very Good	Energy 60%
2013 +	Excellent	Water 60%
		Materials 40%

4.4 Environmental Assessment Method: BREEAM 2011 New Construction

- 4.4.1 BREEAM is the world's leading and most widely used environmental assessment method for buildings. It sets the standard for best practice in sustainable design and is used to describe a building's environmental performance.
- 4.4.2 BREEAM 2011 New Construction is a performance based assessment method and certification scheme for new buildings. The primary aim of BREEAM 2011 New Construction is to mitigate the life cycle impacts of new buildings on the environment in a robust and cost effective manner.
- 4.4.3 The BREEAM 2011 New Construction scheme can be used to assess the environmental life cycle impacts of new non-domestic buildings at



the design and construction stages. 'New Construction' is defined as development that results in a new standalone structure, or new extension to an existing structure, which will come into operation/use for the first time upon completion of the works.

- 4.4.4 The proposed development at Midland Crescent consists of two building uses: commercial space on the lower ground -2 level and student accommodation units (and ancillary cycle storage, lobby, management and common room uses) on the other levels of the building. For the purposes of a BREEAM pre-assessment, the commercial space has been defined as an office building type as a generic standard, given that the future use is not known at this stage. The student accommodation use has been defined as a multi-residential (student halls of residence) building type. In order to demonstrate the ability to achieve certification at the desired rating, separate BREEAM pre-assessments have been prepared under the office and multi-residential building types, and are found in Appendices A and B to this report.
- 4.4.5 BREEAM credits are also awarded in 9 categories (plus an additional Innovation category) of sustainable design according to performance. These credits are then added together to produce a single overall score on a scale of Pass, Good, Very Good, Excellent and Outstanding, dependent on the total score received from achieving credits across the various categories. There are minimum standards that must be achieved in order to meet the higher rating levels under BREEAM. For more detail, please refer to the BREEAM 2011 New Construction Technical Manual (see the reference in section 2.0 of this report).
- 4.4.6 A scheme can be assessed at Design Stage (DS) leading to an Interim BREEAM Certificate and/or at the Post Construction Stage (PCS) – leading to a Final BREEAM Certificate.

5.0 RE-USE OF LAND & BUILDINGS

5.1 Introduction

5.1.1 London has a large population and a comparatively small land area; therefore land is a precious, finite resource. The efficient use of land requires that developments optimise the carrying capacity of land, that previously developed land is re-used, and that green spaces within London are protected and opportunities for the provision of new open space are maximised.

5.2 Land

	Comments	Complies
Essential Standards 100% of development on previously developed land, unless very special circumstances can be demonstrated.	The site is currently vacant, but previously contained a crescent-shaped retail and commercial building and was also previously used as a railway station. The entirety of the site does not technically meet the definition of previously developed land under BREEAM issue LE 01; however, given the site's location within the urban area and between two railway lines, it can be practically defined as previously developed land.	Yes
Development density should be maximised based on local context and (Policy 4B.7) design	The site's location favours redevelopment and is identified by the London Borough of Camden as a potential development site. The design team has extensively analysed the design options for the development and the proposed building makes efficient use of a constrained site, whilst providing a high standard of built form.	
principles (Policy 4B.1), open space provision (Policy 3D.10) and public transport capacity (Policy 3C.10).	The proposed development sensitively introduces 140 student accommodation units and commercial space to the site, alongside the existing buildings on Finchley Road and the site density has been appropriately maximised. As a result of noise and vibration considerations, the site is not appropriate for the provision of outdoor amenity space.	Yes

For further information regarding the design of the building and the site, please refer to the Design and Access Statement prepared by CZWG Architects. There is significant existing public transport capacity within walking distance from the site to serve the proposed density of development, including: numerous bus routes, Finchley Road underground station (Jubilee and Metropolitan lines) and Finchley Road & Frognal railway station (London Overground services). For further information regarding local public transport facilities, please refer to the Transport Assessment prepared by Tim Spencer & Co.

5.3 Buildings

	Comments	Complies
Existing buildings are reused where practicable, where the density of development and residential amenity are optimised and where the building conforms to or has the potential to meet the standards for energy, materials, biodiversity and water conservation set out in this SPG.	The site is currently vacant.	N/A
Preferred Standard Existing roof space reused where practicable to create new outdoor spaces and enhance biodiversity alongside the integration of renewable energy (section 2.3.2)	As noted above, the site is currently vacant. The proposed roof space will include a green roof and the integration of renewable energy technology through solar thermal and photovoltaic (PV) panels.	N/A

6.0 MAXIMISE THE USE OF NATURAL SYSTEMS

6.1 Introduction

6.1.1 The overriding principle is that location, urban design, passive solar design and maximising the use of natural ventilation should be used to minimise resource use and maximise the comfort of users over the lifetime of the development. The main climatic influences on internal comfort include solar heat and air flow. Building facades are the interface between the external and internal climate. Buildings need to be designed to be able to adapt to the likely effects of climate change on London's climate over the next decades.

6.2 Location and Urban Design

	Comments	Complies
Essential Standard All development to follow the principles of good design set out in London Plan policy 4B.1	The proposed development is of high quality design and the principles of London Plan policy have been clearly addressed in this SDCS, and by demonstrating that the target of achieving a BREEAM rating Very Good can be met. Achieving these standards will ensure that the Mayor's Essential Standards are satisfied. For further information regarding the design of the proposed development, please refer to the Design and Access Statement, prepared by CZWG Architects.	Yes
Preferred Standard Minimise need for and use of mechanical ventilation, heating and cooling systems	Ventilation is addressed in the Energy Strategy prepared by Metropolis Green. This report notes that due to the location of the site and the impacts of noise and air pollution (as set out in the Local Air Quality Assessment prepared by Ramboll), windows will not be openable and passive ventilation cannot be provided to either the commercial space or the student accommodation units on site. Fresh air ventilation will be provided to the whole building via a mechanical ventilation system including heat recovery, which will contribute to mitigating the risk of summer overheating.	Not feasible



6.3 Adapting to Climate Change

	Comments	Complies
Essential Standards Buildings provide for flexibility of uses during their projected operational lives	The Design and Access Statement prepared by CZWG Architects notes that the proposed framed concrete construction at the lower level ensures that this space will be adaptable. The use of a column grid ensures that the structure is minimised, and provides a consistent and generic internal environment, which does not become an obstacle to possible future spatial divisions, access or services. This offers future flexibility by allowing the building's use and layout to be easily converted in the future.	Yes
Buildings adapted to and mitigate for the effects of the urban heat island and the expected increases in hot dry summers and wet mild winters.	The Energy Strategy prepared by Metropolis Green addresses overheating in the proposed development. The orientation of the building is constrained by the site location, existing neighbouring railway lines and street orientation. The proposed building has, however, been designed to maximise daylight and sunlight where possible and reduce the need for artificial lighting during the daytime hours. Simplified Building Energy Model (SBEM) calculations show that the solar gain limits in summer have not been exceeded (Criterion 3 of Building Regulations Part L for new build buildings other than dwellings) and are therefore considered to be at an acceptable level for the proposal.	Yes
	Low U-values will be achieved through effective wall, roof and floor insulation to ensure that 2010 Building Regulation standards are exceeded. A high level of air tightness has been designed in the new buildings. For further information, please refer to the Energy Strategy prepared by Metropolis Green.	

	the	stud	ent	accor	nmod	dation	n u	nits	in
	dedic	cated	roon	ns at	the	lowe	r gr	ound	-1
	level	of the	e dev	elopmo	ent.	The o	cycle	stora	ge
Design in facilities for bicycles	will b	e des	signe	d to e	nsure	con	nplia	nce w	/ith
and electric vehicles	BREI	EAM	issu	e Tra	03	for	the	stude	ent

Yes

The proposed development is car-free; therefore the provision of facilities for electric vehicles is not applicable.

The proposed development will provide 162 secure, covered cycle storage spaces for

Additional Comments

With respect to the encouragement of non carbon based transport modes, the site is served by public transport links within proximity to the site. As discussed previously, multiple bus routes pass along Finchley Road with connections to central London, Golders Green, Finchley, Hendon and other destinations. The site is also located within walking distance to Finchley Road underground station and Finchley Road & Frognal railway station. The site's location and proximity to local amenities along Finchley Road enables future residents' trips to be made on foot, cycle or local public transport, rather than by private car (the proposed development is also car-free).

accommodation units.

7.0 CONSERVE ENERGY, WATER & OTHER RESOURCES

7.1 Introduction

7.1.1 London is promoting the development of resource efficient buildings, from inception to demolition. This includes the efficient use of energy, materials and water. These issues have been addressed in part by the Mayor's renewables policy and by BREEAM as detailed in the sections below

7.2 Energy

	Comments	Complies
Essential Standard Carry out energy demand assessment Maximise energy efficiency Major commercial and residential developments to demonstrate that consideration has been given to the following ranking method for heating and where necessary, cooling systems:	An Energy Strategy has been prepared by Metropolis Green. The report has been prepared in line with GLA guidance on energy assessments, London Plan policy, London Borough of Camden policy requirements, and follows the Mayor's energy hierarchy: Be Lean, Be Clean, and Be Green. The report describes these policies, the calculation methodology used, and the measures taken to achieve policy requirements.	
passive design; solar water heating; then combined heat and power for heating and cooling (i.e. trigeneration), preferably fuelled by renewables; then community heating and cooling then heat pumps; and then gas condensing boilers.	All energy and carbon figures have been calculated using approved SBEM software, which is used to demonstrate compliance with Building Regulations 2010 and BREEAM 2011 New Construction requirements.	Yes
Wherever on site outdoor lighting is proposed as part of a development, it should be energy efficient, minimising light lost to sky. Carbon emissions from the total energy needs (heat, cooling and power) of the development should be reduced by at least 20% by the on-site generation of renewable energy.	Following London Plan policy 5.2 which requires a 25% reduction in regulated carbon emissions and the London Borough of Camden's Core Strategy policy CS13, this report demonstrates that the proposal can achieve a total reduction of 69,632 kgCO ₂ /yr, this level of CO ₂ reduction equates to an overall 40.5% improvement in Building Emissions Rate (BER) over Target Emissions Rate (TER).	

Preferred Standards

All developments to demonstrate that consideration has been given to the following ranking method for heating and where necessary for cooling, systems and should incorporate the highest feasible of the following options:

-solar water heating; then -combined heat and power/trigeneration, preferably fuelled by renewables; then -community heating.

New developments should always be connected to existing community heating networks preferably fuelled by renewables where feasible.

Wherever outdoor lighting or other electrically powered street furniture is proposed on site, it should be solar powered and minimise light lost to the sky. Lighting, heating and cooling controls should enable services to operate efficiently under different loadings and allow for localised control.

Major developments should be zero carbon emission developments (ZEDs).

Major developments should make a contribution to London's hydrogen economy through the adoption of hydrogen and/or fuel cell technologies and infrastructure. Borough of Camden's Planning Guidance CPG3 requirements are for a 20% reduction in CO₂ emissions through the specification of on-site renewable technologies. This report demonstrates that the development at Midland Crescent has potential to achieve a 11.06% CO2 reduction through specification of а combination Photovoltaic (PV) and Solar Thermal panels, which does not reach the 20% target due to conflicting demands on the roof space, however, it should be noted that this energy strategy results in an overall 34.31% reduction in regulated carbon emissions.

Additionally, line with the London in Borough of Camden's Development Policy DP22. results of energy strategy calculations demonstrate that as a result of the enerav and services efficiency measures and renewable technologies sufficient proposed, the site has improvements to achieve the required credits for BREEAM issue Ene 01 and will contribute to the achievement of BREEAM Very Good.

The achievements demonstrated in this report are the result of provision and improvement of fabric to high energy efficiency standards, high efficiency mechanical ventilation with heat recovery (MVHR) combined with the specification of communal high efficiency gas fired boilers and roof mounted PV and solar thermal panels.

For further information, please refer to the original report.

7.3 Materials

	Comments	Complies
Essential Standards 50% timber and timber products from Forest Stewardship Council (FSC) source and balance from a known temperate source. Insulation materials containing substances known to contribute to stratospheric ozone depletion or with the potential to contribute to global warming must not be used. Minimise use of new aggregates.	The design team can commit to at least 50% FSC approved timber and 100% legally sourced timber for the proposed development. Another Essential Standard will be met through the specification of insulation materials with a Global Warming Potential (GWP) of less than 5 and a low embodied impact relative to their thermal properties, determined by the Green Guide. This specification will satisfy the insulation requirements under BREEAM issue Mat 04. The design team has set a target of using a minimum of 25% recycled aggregates in line with BREEAM issue Wst 02, thus complying with the Mayor's Essential Standard.	Yes
Preferred Standards No construction material nor specification with high embodied impact to be used (as defined by the summary ratings within the Green Guide to Specification) unless a compelling whole life energy or technical case for its use exists	The Materials categories in BREEAM promotes the sustainable procurement and use of materials, taking into account the environmental impacts of materials and the responsible sourcing of basic building and finishing elements, by using the BRE Green Guide to Specification, which is also one of the Mayor's Preferred Standards. The design team can commit to achieving the highest feasible level of credits under BREEAM issue Mat 01 and 2 credits have currently been allocated.	Yes

Additional Comments

It is anticipated that there will be a requirement that the principal contractor will have a policy for sustainable environmental sourcing of construction materials. This will be confirmed during the design stage BREEAM assessment and the design team has currently committed to the responsible sourcing of materials in line with the criteria of BREEAM issue Mat 03. The source of the materials has not yet been specified; however local materials will be procured where it is viable to do so.

7.4 Water

	Comments	Complies
Essential Standards 100% metering of all newly built property	Water metering of the proposed development will be specified in accordance with the requirements of BREEAM issue Wat 02 and in line with the Mayor's Essential Standard.	Yes
Mayor's Preferred Standards Use of greywater for all non-potable uses. These standards are based on the principles of: Incorporating water saving devices Making use of alternative water sources Designing low water use landscaping and gardens	The feasibility of including greywater recycling (GWR) in the development has been investigated and found not to be possible in this building. Due to the nature of the site, and therefore the size and shape of the proposed building, the plant room is designed to be located at one end of the building where there is a larger footprint. This would mean the secondary waste pipes connecting all showers and basins at ground floor and above shall need to be routed across the length of the building at level -1. The drop in the pipeline to enable satisfactory flow by gravity will mean the pipe dropping below the line of the ceilings thus making it not practical to achieve. The student accommodation is to be provided with mechanical supply and extract air systems; the installation of ducts within the corridors will further aggravate the situation on the drop of the waste pipes from the soffit as the supply and extract ducts shall need to cross each other. Water management has, however, been considered carefully and the solution for the site includes an extensive green roof of approximately 750 m² and a separate foul and surface water drainage system up to	Not feasible
	the outfalls to the combined sewer, to manage surface water run-off to less than 50% of the existing flow rates (including a factor for climate change). Internal water consumption will be reduced by low flow fixtures and fittings in compliance with	

BREEAM requirements and Part G of the Building Regulations. For further information, please see the additional comments in this table below.

The inclusion of the green roof, which also delivers other significant benefits in terms of biodiversity, reducing the urban heat island effect, better roof insulation and contributes to improving air quality, means that rainwater harvesting for internal non-potable water is not feasible due to the high levels of treatment required for the water (it is discoloured as it filtrates through the green roof and is a dark brown colour). This is an expensive solution that would require significant on-going management maintenance and is not appropriate for this type of development.

Additional Comments

As water consumption is potentially one of the highest impact areas of any building over its lifetime, the design team are targeting internal water consumption as a key area for improvement and this objective will satisfy the strict requirements of BREEAM issue Wat 01. Water consumption across the development will be reduced through the use of efficient water fixtures and fittings, including taps, showers and dual flush toilets. The specification of low flow sanitary bathroom fittings/fixtures and white goods will help to achieve substantial savings in water consumption throughout the life cycle of the proposed development. This water strategy ensures that a targeted 25% improvement in water consumption against a notional baseline performance can be achieved.

8.0 NOISE, POLLUTION, FLOODING AND MICROCLIMATIC EFFECTS

8.1 Introduction

8.1.1 New development needs to take into account the adverse effects it may have on noise, pollution, flooding and micro-climatic effects. All new developments should minimise contributions to flooding and include appropriate mitigation for potential worst case situations.

8.2 Noise

	Comments	Complies
Demonstrate that adverse impacts of noise have been minimised, using measures at source or between source and receptor (including choice and location of plant or method, layout, screening and sound absorption) in preference to sound insulation at the receptor, wherever practicable	A Noise and Vibration Assessment for the site and proposed development has been prepared by AECOM. The report concludes that appropriate noise and vibration levels can be achieved with mitigation measures, as recommended. For further information regarding noise and vibration please refer to the original report. It is anticipated that the noise attenuation requirements under BREEAM issue Pol 05 can be achieved.	Yes

8.3 Air Pollution

	Comments	Complies
Essential Standards All new gas boilers should	The proposed heating plant for the scheme (high efficiency communal gas boilers) will be specified with low NO_x emissions. Emissions are anticipated to be \leq 40 mg/kWh, which will achieve full credits under BREEAM issue Pol 02.	Y
produce low levels of NO _X Take measures to reduce and mitigate exposure to air pollution	A Local Air Quality Assessment for the proposed development has been prepared by Ramboll. The report notes that appropriate mitigation measures have been incorporated into the design of the development to ensure that exposure and emissions are reduced and the proposed	Yes



	development would therefore be in accordance with planning policy and guidance relating to air quality impacts. For further information regarding air quality, please refer to the original report.	
Preferred Standards Low emission developments that are designed to minimize the air quality impact of plant, vehicles and other sources over the lifetime of the development	As noted above, the Local Air Quality Assessment prepared by Ramboll demonstrates that the air quality impact of plant and other sours of pollution have been carefully considered by the design team and appropriate mitigation measures have been incorporated into the design. The proposed scheme will be a low emission development to the extent feasible. The proximity of public transport and local amenities will be an incentive for future residents of the student accommodation to walk, cycle and use public transport rather than use the private car; therefore reducing pollutants associated with vehicle use.	Yes

8.4 Water Consumption, Water Pollution and Flooding

	Comments	Complies
Essential Standards Use of Sustainable Drainage	An initial review has determined that the site is located in an area designated by the Environment Agency as Flood Zone 1, with little or no risk of fluvial or tidal flooding. At the time of the BREEAM assessment, a full Flood Risk Assessment for the site will be required in order to demonstrate compliance with BREEAM issue Pol 03.	
Systems (SUDS) measures, wherever practical Achieve 50% attenuation of the undeveloped site's surface water run-off at peak times	An outline drainage strategy for the proposed development has been prepared by Ramboll. The strategy notes that the proposal includes a separate foul and surface water drainage system up to the outfalls to the combined sewer. A flow control device restricts the surface water flow downstream of the network. Surface water is attenuated within the proposed green roof with the potential of further	Yes

	attenuation storage across the paved areas proposed within the site. Initial calculations have determined that at least 50% attenuation of the undeveloped site's surface water run-off at peak times can be achieved, including an allowance for climate change. The surface water runoff attenuation strategy will continue to be developed as the detailed design of the development progresses.	
Preferred Standards Achieve 100% attenuation of the undeveloped site's surface water run-off at peak times	As noted above, the precise amount of surface water runoff attenuation will be determined at the detailed design stage of the drainage strategy for the site, and the design team is committed to incorporating SUDS as appropriate.	If possible

8.5 Microclimate

	Comments	Complies
Essential Standards Mitigate any negative impact on the microclimate of existing surrounding public realm and buildings to meet the Lawson criteria for wind comfort and safety	The proposed building is 4 and 5 storeys in height and located on a site adjacent to two railways and with limited street frontage. As such, the local climate is not considered to be affected. Furthermore, the scheme is not near a large expanse of water and occurrences such as wind tunnelling are not considered to be an issue for this site Therefore the development meets the Essential Standard by avoiding the creation of adverse local climatic conditions. A full wind comfort/safety assessment has not been deemed necessary by the design team.	Yes

9.0 ENSURE DEVELOPMENTS ARE COMFORTABLE AND SECURE

9.1 Introduction

9.1.1 Sustainable communities will only be sustainable if they have been designed with people, as well as the environment, in mind. Developments must be comfortable and safe to use for all sections of society and all cultures and religions. This includes internal and external comfort with regards to health, accessibility, secure design and safe transport links.

9.2 Indoor Comfort

	Comments	Complies
Essential Standards	The comfort of building occupants is an important aspect of the proposed scheme. As such, the comfort of all rooms will be carefully considered and quality fittings will be specified. At the detailed design stage, inert or low emission finishes, construction materials, carpets and furnishings can be specified, as appropriate. As noted in section 8.3 of this report above, the design team has taken steps to reduce the potential risk of airborne pollutants released from the proposed development.	
Inert or low emission finishes, construction materials, carpets and furnishings should be used wherever practical. All plant and machinery should be accessible for easy maintenance	Adverse health impacts can result from Volatile Organic Compounds, carbon monoxide and fine particles. In order to address these potential issues, the design team is aware that specified materials should not contain or emit toxic chemicals (e.g. natural materials and low solvent finishing products and furnishings). These products are rated highly in the Green Guide and are rewarded by the BREEAM Materials category. Excellent ventilation is vital during construction to aid the removal of chemicals. Designing for and managing internal air quality will benefit the health of building occupants.	Yes

	The plant for the proposed development will be located in a dedicated room at the lower ground floor level and in a dedicated area on the roof level. These areas will be easily accessible for maintenance, therefore meeting the Mayor's Essential Standard.	
Preferred Standards Design buildings for indoor comfort of users	The measures listed above ensure compliance with the Mayor's Preferred Standard to design buildings for the indoor comfort of users.	Yes

9.3 Designing Inclusive Environments

	Comments	Complies
	The development is accessible for people walking, cycling and travelling by public transport. The Design and Access Statement prepared by CZWG Architects outlines the inclusive design measures incorporated into the proposed development. The report	Complies
Essential Standards All developments should meet the principles of inclusive design, adopting the principles of SPG Accessible London: Achieving an Inclusive Environment.	notes that, as a minimum, the scheme will comply with Building Regulations Approved Document Part M in order to maximise access for users with mobility, hearing and visual impairments as well as those with learning difficulties. Lifetime Home Standards are not required to be met for the student accommodation, but the design team has chosen to design to adopt the key criteria that are relevant to the proposed development. The needs of wheelchair users have been considered throughout the	Yes
	design of the proposed development and in excess of the required 10% of the units within the scheme have been designed for wheelchair users. For further information regarding inclusive design, please refer to the Design and Access Statement.	

Preferred Standards	The development will be e-enabled by the	
Developments should be fully e- enabled	provision of IT systems in accordance with the Mayor's Preferred Standard.	Yes

9.4 Secure Design

	Comments	Complies
Essential Standards Developments should incorporate principles of "secured by design" (SBD).	The proposed development has been designed with site security as an important consideration. The design team has undertaken initial consultation with the local Architectural Liaison Officer (ALO) and the relevant comments and recommendations have been addressed. It is anticipated that the scheme will meet all Secured by Design criteria and achieve credits under BREEAM issue Hea 06.	Yes

10.0 CONSERVE & ENHANCE THE NATURAL ENVIRONMENT & BIODIVERSITY

10.1 Introduction

10.1.1 Open and green spaces can contribute to the image and vitality of urban areas. As London becomes more compact and intensive in its built form, the value of these open spaces will increase. Open spaces will need to fulfil a multitude of functions, from educational to social and cultural to sport and recreation, as well as visual respite from the hard urban areas. In addition, open and green spaces support a diverse wildlife in London.

10.2 Open Space

	Comments	Complies
Essential Standards No net loss of publicly accessible open space	The site is primarily composed of scrub vegetation with areas of rough grassland and hardstanding. The site is not currently accessible to the public; therefore the proposed development will not result in the loss of any public open space.	Yes
Preferred Standards Create appropriate new open, green publicly accessible spaces where these can address identified areas of deficiency of public open space	Given the constraints of the location, size and shape of the site, it is not feasible or appropriate to provide publicly accessible open space. The Design and Access Statement prepared by CZWG Architects notes that there are a great many public open green spaces of various sizes offering a wide range of facilities and planting in and around the area of the site. For a detailed review of public open space, please refer to the original report. Additionally, as noted in section 5.2 of this report, as a result of noise and vibration considerations, the site is not appropriate for the provision of outdoor amenity space.	Not feasible

10.3 Natural Environment and Biodiversity

	Comments	Complies
Essential Standards No net loss of biodiversity and access to nature on the development site. Reduction in areas of deficiency of access to nature.	An Ecological Impact Assessment has been prepared by Capita Symonds. The report concludes that the site is dominated by existing dense scrub vegetation and hardstanding and as such offers a low ecological value due to its location and habitats present on site. It has been assessed that the proposed works are unlikely to result in a significant ecological impact to the wider environment. While the site has been deemed to have low ecological value, the Ecological Impact Assessment notes that there are, however, several tree corridors in neighbouring roads, including a small parcel of woodland to the north of the site, this is a designated Site of Interest for Nature Conservation (SINC).	Yes
Preferred Standards Net gain of biodiversity and access to nature on the development site	The Ecological Impact Assessment prepared by Capita Symonds provides a series of recommendations to enhance the ecological value of the site including: a green roof, artificial habitats and native landscaping. Please refer to the original report for further details regarding the recommendations. The report further notes that the removal of the scrub vegetation and construction of the proposed structure will remove all the vegetation currently on site, but with the provision of a well designed native landscape planting and the provision of nesting boxes and possibly a green roof, would sufficiently reduce the overall net loss of habitats within the local environment. It is anticipated that the ecologist's recommendations will be implemented in the proposed development and credits have currently been allocated under BREEAM issues LE 03, 04 and 05.	Yes

11.0 PROMOTING SUSTAINABLE WASTE BEHAVIOUR

11.1 Introduction

11.1.1 London produces about 17 million tonnes of solid waste every year. Of this, the councils collect 4.4 million tonnes of municipal waste which includes waste from households, and some commercial and industrial sources. The balance is made up of 6.4 million tonnes of commercial and industrial waste and 6.1 million tonnes of construction and demolition waste.

11.2 Waste

	Comments	Complies
	The Waste category of BREEAM has stringent assessment criteria for both construction and operational waste. An Outline Site Waste Management Plan (Outline SWMP) has been prepared by	
Essential Standards	Ramboll. The plan provides high level guidance on the approach to waste management for the proposed development and key regulatory requirements. For further information regarding the waste strategy, please refer to the original report.	
Minimise, reuse and recycle demolition waste Specify use of reused or recycled construction materials Recycling facilities should be as easy to access as waste facilities	In line with BREEAM issue Wst 01, targets will be set to reduce the amount of non-hazardous construction waste generated by the building's design and construction ($\leq 7.5 \text{m}^3/100\text{m}^2$ and $\leq 6.5 \text{tonnes}/100\text{m}^2$) and two credits are currently allocated, thus meeting best practice levels. Additionally, targets to divert a significant amount of non-hazardous construction waste generated by the project from landfill will be set and there is potential to achieve an additional Wst 01 credit.	Yes
	The site is largely vacant and demolition waste will be limited; however demolition waste will be reused and recycled to the extent feasible during construction works.	

A credit under BREEAM issue Wst 02 has currently been allocated for specifying at least 25% of aggregates as recycled. The proposed development will incorporate internal waste and recycling bins for the student accommodation units in line with the requirements of BREEAM issue Wst 03. Also in line with the requirements of BREEAM issue Wst 03, communal bin storage areas have been provided in dedicated and accessible rooms at the ground floor level to accommodate the anticipated waste streams from both the student accommodation and commercial uses. The required space has been determined based on the London Borough of Camden's guidance on the waste storage requirements for commercial and residential properties. **Preferred Standards** Use prefabricated and standardised modulation components to minimise waste. Where possible, low waste fabrication If this is not feasible use low techniques will be used for the proposed waste fabrication techniques. development. Provide facilities to recycle 70% Renewable energy from a waste recovery No of commercial and industrial facility such as pyrolysis is not a suitable waste by 2020. technology for this development due to space constraints, and associated air quality Incorporation of or access to issues; therefore this Preferred Standard new waste recovery facilities will not be met (anaerobic digestion, pyrolysis/gasification) especially to provide a renewable source of energy eg methane or hydrogen

12.0 SUSTAINABLE CONSTRUCTION

12.1 Introduction

12.1.1 Many aspects of the construction process can have a significant adverse impact on the quality of the site and its surroundings. Sustainable construction makes economic sense as it involves the prudent use of existing and new resources and the efficient management of the construction process. This section discusses the measures necessary to achieve the objectives of the sustainability principles set out in London Plan policy.

12.2 Construction Stage

Essential Standards Reduce waste during construction and demolition phases and sort waste stream on site where practical Reduce the risk of statutory nuisance to neighbouring properties as much as possible through site management All developers should consider and comply with the Mayor and ALG's London Best Practice Guide on the control of dust and emissions from demolition and construction All developers should sign up to the relevant Considerate Constructors Scheme (CCS) or in the City of London to the Considerate Contractor scheme. The scheme will be registered with the CCS which is a national initiative, set up by the construction industry to improve the image of construction. All of the Mayor's Essential and Preferred Standards will be met though the development's registration with CCS. The principal contractor will therefore ensure that neighbouring homes and businesses are able to continue their day-to-day activities without significant disruption. They will liaise with neighbouring businesses and the local residents to ensure that noisy works are carried out at appropriate times agreed by all parties. A contact telephone number will be established so that residents can raise any concerns. Construction waste has been discussed in the previous section regarding Materials and a full SWMP will be prepared. It is likely that the principal contractor will provide an environmental materials policy, used for sourcing of construction materials and will have to operate an Environmental		Comments	Complies
	Reduce waste during construction and demolition phases and sort waste stream on site where practical Reduce the risk of statutory nuisance to neighbouring properties as much as possible through site management All developers should consider and comply with the Mayor and ALG's London Best Practice Guide on the control of dust and emissions from demolition and construction All developers should sign up to the relevant Considerate Constructors Scheme (CCS) or in the City of London to the Considerate Constructor	which is a national initiative, set up by the construction industry to improve the image of construction. All of the Mayor's Essential and Preferred Standards will be met though the development's registration with CCS. The principal contractor will therefore ensure that neighbouring homes and businesses are able to continue their day-to-day activities without significant disruption. They will liaise with neighbouring businesses and the local residents to ensure that noisy works are carried out at appropriate times agreed by all parties. A contact telephone number will be established so that residents can raise any concerns. Construction waste has been discussed in the previous section regarding Materials and a full SWMP will be prepared. It is likely that the principal contractor will provide an environmental materials policy, used for sourcing of construction materials	Yes

Preferred Standards

All contractors should be required by tender requirements to sign up to the Mayor and ALG's London Best Practice Guide on the control of dust and emissions from demolition and construction

All contractors should be required by tender requirements to sign up to the relevant Considerate Constructors Scheme or in the City of London to the Considerate Contractor scheme

The CCS is concerned with any area of construction activity that may have a direct or indirect impact on the image of the industry as a whole. The main areas of concern fall into three main categories: the environment, the workforce and the general public. All sites registered with the scheme are monitored by an experienced industry professional to assess their performance against the eight points of the Code of Considerate Practice. The commitment to register under the CCS and achieve a score beyond best practice (32 to 35.5 points) is rewarded by BREEAM issue Man 02.

Dust management for the development site will be implemented according to BRE guidance, meeting best practice standards. Measures include damping down the site along with dust sheets and covering waste receptacles. Provision will be made to ensure that areas occupied by contractors are kept in a clean and tidy condition.

The design team have also made the commitment to monitor, report, and set targets to reduce energy and water consumption from site activities and adopt best practice policies in respect of water pollution on site (ground and surface). These commitments are rewarded under BREEAM issue Man 03.

Yes

13.0 CONCLUSION

- This Sustainable Design and Construction Statement demonstrates that the proposed redevelopment of the Midland Crescent site has targeted very high standards of design and building quality. The proposed development maximises a site with a recognised opportunity for sustainable redevelopment and will provide high quality student accommodation and commercial space.
- The sustainable design and construction strategy focuses on the implementation of sustainable systems for energy, water, waste management, recycling, and the use and choice of materials. Much attention has been given to reducing the environmental impact throughout the whole lifetime of the building, and not just during occupation.
- 13.3 Following the energy hierarchy has enabled carbon reductions to be calculated for the proposed development at the Midland Crescent site. The total overall carbon reduction is predicted to be approximately 34.31% through high fabric efficiency and the inclusion of renewable energy technology.
- 13.4 Water consumption will be substantially reduced through the incorporation of water efficient fixtures and fittings throughout the proposed residential units and school. Environmentally friendly and responsibly sourced materials will be specified where possible.
- The scheme will incorporate best practice design principles with regards to noise and air pollution and the recommendations of appointed professionals will be adopted.
- 13.6 A proposed green roof will assist with surface water runoff management and drainage from the site. The site lies in an area at low risk from flooding and it is considered that there will be no increase in flood risk to person or property as a result of the proposed development.
- 13.7 Recycling facilities will be provided for all uses on the site and the reuse and disposal of demolition and construction waste will be guided by a Site Waste Management Plan. In addition, the site will be registered with the Considerate Constructors Scheme which will ensure that the site's impacts on the environment, the workforce and the general public are minimised.
- 13.8 The BREEAM 2011 New Construction pre-assessments for the student accommodation and commercial uses demonstrate that the proposed development can achieve a rating of Very Good with scores of 61.32% (student accommodation) and 58.60% (commercial). It



should be noted that these pre-assessments have been undertaken early in the design process and is therefore subject to change. It is also important to note that the threshold for BREEAM Very Good could be achieved by attaining other credits within BREEAM, and not achieving some of those allocated in the pre-assessments.

In conclusion, this report demonstrates that the proposed redevelopment has successfully met the majority of the Mayor's Essential and Preferred Standards referred to in the Sustainable Design and Construction SPG. Where a standard has not been met a justification has been provided. The design team has carefully considered the site's potential environmental impacts and this report details how those impacts will be managed and mitigated.

14.0 REFERENCES

14.1	Daylight, Sunlight & Overshadowing Report, prepared by Drivers Jonas Deloitte
14.2	Design and Access Statement, prepared by CZWG Architects
14.3	Design Note: Supporting Drainage Design Information, prepared by Ramboll
14.4	Ecological Impact Assessment Update, prepared by Capita Symonds
14.5	Energy Strategy, prepared by Metropolis Green
14.6	Local Air Quality Assessment, prepared by Ramboll
14.7	Noise and Vibration Assessment, prepared by AECOM
14.8	Obtrusive Light Lighting Report, prepared by Ramboll
14.9	Outline Site Waste Management Plan, prepared by Ramboll
14.10	Phase I Geoenvironmental Report, prepared by Capita Symonds
14.11	Transport Assessment, prepared by Tim Spencer & Co.

APPENDIX A - BREEAM 2011 NEW CONSTRUCTION PRE-ASSESSMENT (STUDENT ACCOMMODATION)



Building details

Building name	5171 - Midland Crescent (Student Accommodation)
Building type (main description)	Multi-Residential Accommodation
Building type (sub-group)	Multi-Residential - Halls of residence
Project type	New Construction (fully fitted)
Will the building be heated and/or cooled?	Yes
If applicable, does this industrial building have a heated or cooled operational area?	Option not applicable to building type
Commercial/industrial refrigeration and storage systems	No
Internal or external planting and/or soft landscaping	Yes
Building user transportation systems (lifts and/or escalators)	Yes
Laboratory function/area and size category	No laboratory
Laboratory containment level	No laboratory
Fume cupboard(s) and/or other containment devices	No
Vehicle Wash System	No
If applicable, will this healthcare building house inpatients?	Option not applicable to building type
If applicable, does this industrial building have an office area?	Option not applicable to building type

Disclaimer

This Pre-Assessment Estimator is the property of BRE Global Ltd and is made publicly available for information purposes only. Its use for testing, assessment, certification or approval is not permitted. The results presented are indicative only of a buildings potential performance which is based on a simplified, informal assessment and unverified commitments. The results do not represent a formal certified BREEAM assessment or rating and must not be communicated as such. BRE Global Ltd accepts no responsibility for any actions taken as a result of information presented or interpreted by the BREEAM Pre-Assessment Estimator. To carry out a formal BREEAM assessment contact a licensed BREEAM Assessor organisation. A list of licensed BREEAM Assessors is available from the Green Book Live website: www.greenbooklive.com

Copyright

The information and images contained in this document are the property of BRE Global Ltd unless explicitly stated to the contrary. They are protected by copyright laws. Material may be downloaded and printed without requiring specific permission but remains the intellectual property, technical know how and copyrighted material of BRE Global Ltd. Such material is not to be used in a derogatory manner, in a misleading context or for commercial purposes. If the material is being issued to others, the source including the web address and copyright status must be acknowledged.

Trade Marks

BRE, BRE Global, BREEAM, EcoHomes, SmartWaste, SmartLIFE, Envest, the Green Guide, and Insight are all registered trade marks owned by either BRE or BRE Global Ltd and may not be used without BRE's or BRE Global Ltd's written permission.



This assessment and indicative BREEAM rating is not a formal certified BREEAM assessment or rating and must not be communicated as such. The score presented is indicative of a buildings potential performance and is based on a simplified pre-formal BREEAM assessment and unverified commitments given at an early stage in the design process.

P
Building name 5171 - Midland Crescent (Student Accommodation)
Indicative building score (%) 61.32%
Indicative BREEAM rating Pre-Assessment result indicates potential for BREEAM Very Good rating
Indicative minimum standards level achieved Pre-Assessment result indicates the minimum standards for Excellent level

MANAGEMENT	Section Weighting	12.00%		Indicative	Section Score	8.18%
Man01 Sustainable Procurement						
	No. of BREEAM credits available	8		Available contribution	n to overall score	4.36%
	No. of BREEAM innovation credits available	1			ndards applicable	Yes
Pre-Assessment question/criteria			Response	Credits available	Indicative credits achieved	
Will roles, responsibilities ar	nd a training schedule be defined in accordanc	e with BREEAM?	Yes	1	1	
	RIBA stage A/B and performance targets contr	, ,	Yes	1	1	
	pointed to monitor and report progress during	_	Yes	1	1	
	pointed to monitor and report progress during	,	Yes	1	1	
	nic survey be conducted and any defects uncov Il compliant commissioning of building service:		No Yes	1	0	
	nt seasonal commissioning of building services		Yes	1	1	
	ta be recorded and aftercare support provided		Yes	1	1	
	sumption be recorded/reported for 3 years po		No	1	0	
	Total indicative BREEAM credits achieved	7				
Total indi	cative contribution to overall building score	3.82%				
	cative BREEAM innovation credits achieved	0				
	Indicative minimum standard(s) level P	re-Assessment re	sult indicates the	minimum standards	for Outstanding leve	el

Comments/notes:



Man02 Responsible Construction Practices

No. of BREEAM credits available	2	Available contribution to overall score	1.09%
No. of BREEAM innovation credits available	1	Minimum standards applicable	Yes

Pre-Assessment question/criteria

obcooment question, sinteria	
Which considerate construction scheme will be used or required to be used by the principal contractor?	Considerate Constructors Scheme
For the required scheme, what will be the target performance level set for the site/contractor?	A CCS score between 32 and 35.5.

Total indicative BREEAM credits achieved 2 Total indicative contribution to overall building score 1.09% Total indicative BREEAM innovation credits achieved 0
Total indicative BREEAM innovation credits achieved 0
Total indicative BNLLAW indivation credits achieved
Indicative minimum standard(s) level Pre-Assessment result indicates the minimu

Comments/notes:



Man03 Construction Site Impacts

No. of BREEAM credits available	5	Available contribution to overall score	2.73%
No. of BREEAM innovation credits available	0	Minimum standards applicable	No

Pre-Assessment question/criteria		Credits available	achieved
Will site energy consumption be metered/monitored?	Yes	1	1
Will site water consumption be metered/monitored?	Yes	1	1
Will the transport of construction materials and waste to/from site be measured/monitored?	No	1	0
Will timber be sourced in accordance with the Government's Timber Procurement Policy?	Yes	1	1
Will/does the principal contractor operate a compliant Environmental Management System?	Yes	1	1
Will the principal contractor adopt best practice pollution prevention policies & procedures?	Yes	1	1

Total indicative BREEAM credits achieved	4
Total indicative contribution to overall building score	2.18%
Total indicative BREEAM innovation credits achieved	N/A
Indicative minimum standard(s) level	N/A

Comments/notes:



Man04 Stakeholder Participation

No. of BREEAM credits available	4	Available contribution to overall score	2.18%
No. of BREEAM innovation credits available	0	Minimum standards applicable	Yes

Pre-Assessment question/criteria	Response	Credits available	achieved
Will an appropriate level of consultation activities be undertaken?	No	1	0
Will an access statement be developed and appropriate building user facilities provided?	Yes	1	1
Will building user guides and relevant user information be provided?	Yes	1	1
Will a post occupancy evaluation assessment be undertaken and information disseminated?	No	1	0

Total indicative BREEAM credits achieved	2
Total indicative contribution to overall building score	1.09%
Total indicative BREEAM innovation credits achieved	N/A
Indicative minimum standard(s) level	Pre-Assessment r

Comments/notes:		



Man05 Life o	ycle cost	and service	life pl	anning
--------------	-----------	-------------	---------	--------

No. of BREEAM credits available	3	Available contribution to overall score	1.64%
No. of BREEAM innovation credits available	0	Minimum standards applicable	No

Pre-Assessment question/criteria	Response	Credits available	achieved
Will a feasibility stage Life Cycle Cost (LCC) analysis be commissioned and complete	ed? No	1	0
Will a strategic and system level LCC be commissioned and complete	ed? No	1	0
Will a technical design LCC to be commissioned and complet	ed? No	1	0

Total indicative BREEAM credits achieved	0
Total indicative contribution to overall building score	0.00%
Total indicative BREEAM innovation credits achieved	N/A
Indicative minimum standard(s) level	N/A

Comments/notes:



	No. of BREEAM credits available	3		Available contributio		2.81%
	No. of BREEAM innovation credits available	1		Minimum sta	ndards applicable	Yes
					Indicative credits	
Assessment question/criteria			Response	Credits available	achieved	
	Will all fluorescent lamps be fitted with high fr		Yes	N/A	N/A	
	levant building areas be designed to achieve the appropriate		No	1		
	Will the design provide adequate glare control and view out f		No	1	0	
Will internal/external lightir	g be specified in accordance with the relevant CIBSE Guides/	British Standards?	Yes	1	1	
Will all re	levant building areas be designed to achieve exemplary level	daylight factor(s)?	No	1	0	
	Total indicative BREEAM credits achieved	1				
	Total indicative contribution to overall building score	0.94%				
	Total indicative BREEAM innovation credits achieved	0				
	Indicative minimum standard(s) level	Pre-Assessment res	ult indicates the	minimum standards	for Outstanding lev	el
ments/notes:						



Hea02 Indoor Air Quality

No. of BREEAM credits available	4	Available contribution to overall score	3.75%
No. of BREEAM innovation credits available	0	Minimum standards applicable	No

Pre-Assessment question/criteria	Response	Credits available	achieved
Will an air quality plan be produced?	No	1	0
Will the building be designed to minimise sources of internal air pollution?	No	1	U
Will the relevant products be specified to meet the VOC testing and emission levels required?	No	1	0
Will formaldehyde and total VOC levels be measured post construction?	No	1	0
Will the building be designed to, or have the potential to provide, natural ventilation?	No	1	0

Total indicative BREEAM credits achieved	0
Total indicative contribution to overall building score	0.00%
Total indicative BREEAM innovation credits achieved	N/A
Indicative minimum standard(s) level	N/A

Comments/notes:		



Hea03 Thermal Comfort

	No. of BREEAM credits available	2		Available contribution	on to overall score	1.88%
	No. of BREEAM innovation credits available	0		Minimum standards applicable		No
re-Assessment question/criteria			Response	Credits available	Indicative credits achieved	
	Will thermal modelling of the desi	gn be carried out?	No	1	0	
	Will the modelling inform the development of a thermal zoning and	control strategy?	No	1	0	
	Total indicative BREEAM credits achieved	0				
	Total indicative contribution to overall building score	0.00%				
	Total indicative BREEAM innovation credits achieved	N/A				
	Indicative minimum standard(s) level	N/A				
omments/notes:						



Hea04 Water Quality

Pre-Assessment question/criteria Will all water systems be designed to comply with the relevant HSE Approved Code of Practice and Guidance? Where humidification is to be provided, will a failsafe humidification system be specified? Will a wholesome supply of accessible, clean and fresh drinking water be supplied for building users? Total indicative BREEAM credits achieved Total indicative contribution to overall building score Total indicative BREEAM innovation credits achieved Indicative minimum standard(s) level Pre-Assessment result indicates the minimum standards for Outstanding level	No. of BREEAM credits available	1	ŀ	Available contribution	on to overall score	0.94%
Pre-Assessment question/criteria Will all water systems be designed to comply with the relevant HSE Approved Code of Practice and Guidance? Where humidification is to be provided, will a failsafe humidification system be specified? Will a wholesome supply of accessible, clean and fresh drinking water be supplied for building users? Total indicative BREEAM credits achieved Total indicative contribution to overall building score Total indicative BREEAM innovation credits achieved Indicative minimum standard(s) level Pre-Assessment result indicates the minimum standards for Outstanding level	No. of BREEAM innovation credits available	0		Minimum sta	andards applicable	Yes
Pre-Assessment question/criteria Will all water systems be designed to comply with the relevant HSE Approved Code of Practice and Guidance? Where humidification is to be provided, will a failsafe humidification system be specified? Will a wholesome supply of accessible, clean and fresh drinking water be supplied for building users? Total indicative BREEAM credits achieved Total indicative contribution to overall building score Total indicative BREEAM innovation credits achieved Indicative minimum standard(s) level Pre-Assessment result indicates the minimum standards for Outstanding level						
Will all water systems be designed to comply with the relevant HSE Approved Code of Practice and Guidance? Where humidification is to be provided, will a failsafe humidification system be specified? Will a wholesome supply of accessible, clean and fresh drinking water be supplied for building users? Total indicative BREEAM credits achieved Total indicative contribution to overall building score Total indicative BREEAM innovation credits achieved N/A	Pre-Assessment question/criteria		Resnonse	Credits available		
Where humidification is to be provided, will a failsafe humidification system be specified? Will a wholesome supply of accessible, clean and fresh drinking water be supplied for building users? Total indicative BREEAM credits achieved Total indicative contribution to overall building score Total indicative BREEAM innovation credits achieved Indicative minimum standard(s) level Pre-Assessment result indicates the minimum standards for Outstanding level		ce and Guidance?	·	Creates available	demeved	
Will a wholesome supply of accessible, clean and fresh drinking water be supplied for building users? Total indicative BREEAM credits achieved Total indicative contribution to overall building score Total indicative BREEAM innovation credits achieved Indicative minimum standard(s) level Pre-Assessment result indicates the minimum standards for Outstanding level	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			1	1	
Total indicative contribution to overall building score Total indicative BREEAM innovation credits achieved Indicative minimum standard(s) level Pre-Assessment result indicates the minimum standards for Outstanding level			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1	_	
Total indicative contribution to overall building score Total indicative BREEAM innovation credits achieved Indicative minimum standard(s) level Pre-Assessment result indicates the minimum standards for Outstanding level						
Total indicative BREEAM innovation credits achieved Indicative minimum standard(s) level Pre-Assessment result indicates the minimum standards for Outstanding level	Total indicative BREEAM credits achieved	1				
Indicative minimum standard(s) level Pre-Assessment result indicates the minimum standards for Outstanding level	Total indicative contribution to overall building score	0.94%				
	Total indicative BREEAM innovation credits achieved	N/A				
Comments/notes:	Indicative minimum standard(s) level	Pre-Assessment res	sult indicates the	minimum standards	s for Outstanding le	vel
Comments/notes:						
	Comments/notes:					



Hea05 Acoustic Performance

4	Available contribution to overall score		on to overall score	3.75%
0	Minimum standards applicable		No	
ate design advice? ng requirements?	Response No	Credits available	Indicative credits achieved	
0			,	
0.00%				
N/A				
N/A				
	0 ate design advice? ng requirements? 0 0.00% N/A	Response Ite design advice? No ng requirements? 0 0.00% N/A	Response Credits available to the design advice? Ing requirements? O 0.00% N/A	Minimum standards applicable Response Credits available achieved Indicative credits achieved A 4 O 0 0.00% N/A



Hea06 Safety and Security

	No. of BREEAM credits available	2	,	Available contributio	on to overall score	1.88%
	No. of BREEAM innovation credits available	0		Minimum sta	ndards applicable	No
					La di anni da anni dina	
Pre-Assessment guest	tion/criteria		Response	Credits available	Indicative credits achieved	
	Where external site areas are present, will safe access be designed for pedesti	rians and cyclists?	Yes	1	1	
	Will a suitably qualified security consultant be appointed and security consideration	ns accounted for?	Yes	1	1	
	Total indicative BREEAM credits achieved	2				
	Total indicative contribution to overall building score	1.88%				
	Total indicative BREEAM innovation credits achieved	N/A				
	Indicative minimum standard(s) level	N/A				
Comments/notes:						



IERGY	Section Weighting	19.00%	Indicative Section Score	11.96%
e01 Reduct	ion of CO ₂ Emissions			
	No. of BREEAM credits available	15	Available contribution to overall score	10.56%
	No. of BREEAM innovation credits available	5	Minimum standards applicable	Yes
	How do you wish to assess the number of BREEAM credits achieved for this issue?	Define a target r		
	Select the target number of BREEAM credits for the Ene01 issue	8	BREEAM Innovation credits	



	Total indicative BREEAM credits achieved	8	
	Total indicative contribution to overall building score	5.63%	
	Total indicative BREEAM innovation credits achieved	0	
	Indicative minimum standard(s) level	Pre-Assessment re	esult indicates the minimum standards for Excellent lev
omments/notes:			



No. of BREEAM credits available	1		Available contribution	on to overall score	0.7
No. of BREEAM innovation credits available	0		Minimum sta	ndards applicable	Υe
				Indicative credits	
Assessment question/criteria		Response	Credits available	achieved	
Will a BMS or sub-meters be specified to monitor energy use from major building		Yes	1	1	
Will a BMS or sub-meters be specified to monitor energy use by tenant/buildir	ng function areas?		N/A	N/A	
Total indicative BREEAM credits achieved	1				
Total indicative contribution to overall building score	0.70%				
Total indicative BREEAM innovation credits achieved	N/A				
Indicative minimum standard(s) level	Pre-Assessment res	ult indicates the	minimum standards	for Outstanding lev	rel .
				0 1	
ments/notes:					



No. of BREEAM credits available	1	Available contribution to overall score			0.70%
No. of BREEAM innovation credits available	0		Minimum sta	ndards applicable	No
Assessment question/criteria		Response	Credits available	Indicative credits achieved	
Will external light fittings and controls be specified in accordance with the B	REEAM criteria?	Yes	1	1	
Total indicative BREEAM credits achieved	1				
Total indicative contribution to overall building score	0.70%				
Total indicative BREEAM innovation credits achieved	N/A				
Indicative minimum standard(s) level	N/A				
ments/notes:					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					•



No. of BREEAM innovation credits available Te-Assessment question/criteria Compliant LZC feasibility study to be undertaken What will be the intended scope of the feasibility study? What will be the intended scope of the feasibility study? Please confirm the intended energy source of the Low and/or zero carbon system? Please select Please select No 1 Omerational stage carbon savings/emissions Total indicative BREEAM credits achieved Please select No 1 Omerational stage carbon savings/emissions 11.00% 2 1 Combination of one or more LZC energy sources Please select No 1 Omerational stage carbon savings/emissions 11.00% 1 Omerational stage carbon savings/emissions 11.00% 11.00% 11.00% Please select No 1 Omerational stage carbon savings/emissions 11.00% 11.00% 11.00% Please select No 1 Omerational stage carbon savings/emissions 11.00% 11.00% 11.00% Please select No 1 Omerational stage carbon savings/emissions 11.00% 11.00% 11.00% Please select No 1 Omerational stage carbon savings/emissions 11.00% 11.00% 11.00% 11.00% Please select No 1 Omerational stage carbon savings/emissions 11.00% 11.00% 11.00% 11.00% 11.00% 11.00% 11.00% 11.00% Please select No 1 Omerational stage carbon savings/emissions Please select No 11.00%		No. of BREEAM credits available	5	A	Available contribution	on to overall score	3.52%
re-Assessment question/criteria Compliant LZC feasibility study to be undertaken What will be the intended scope of the feasibility study? Operational stage carbon savings/emissions Target percentage net reduction in operational stage CO2 emissions Please confirm the intended energy source of the Low and/or zero carbon system? Please select No Total indicative BREEAM credits achieved Total indicative BREEAM innovation credits achieved		No. of BREEAM innovation credits available	1		Minimum sta	indards applicable	Yes
re-Assessment question/criteria Compliant LZC feasibility study to be undertaken What will be the intended scope of the feasibility study? Operational stage carbon savings/emissions Target percentage net reduction in operational stage CO2 emissions Please confirm the intended energy source of the Low and/or zero carbon system? Please select No Total indicative BREEAM credits achieved Total indicative BREEAM innovation credits achieved						Indicative credits	
What will be the intended scope of the feasibility study? Operational stage carbon savings/emissions Target percentage net reduction in operational stage CO2 emissions Please confirm the intended energy source of the Low and/or zero carbon system? Combination of one or more LZC energy sources Please select No 1 O Total indicative BREEAM credits achieved Total indicative contribution to overall building score Total indicative BREEAM innovation credits achieved O	re-Assessment question/criteria			Response			
Target percentage net reduction in operational stage CO2 emissions Please confirm the intended energy source of the Low and/or zero carbon system? Combination of one or more LZC energy sources Please select No 1 0 Total indicative BREEAM credits achieved Total indicative contribution to overall building score Total indicative BREEAM innovation credits achieved 0	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Compliant LZC feasibility study	to be undertaken	Yes	2	1	
Please confirm the intended energy source of the Low and/or zero carbon system? Combination of one or more LZC energy sources Please select No 1 0 Total indicative BREEAM credits achieved 2 Total indicative contribution to overall building score 1.41% Total indicative BREEAM innovation credits achieved 0		What will be the intended scope of the	e feasibility study?	Operational stage	e carbon savings/en	nissions	
Please select No 1 0 Total indicative BREEAM credits achieved 2 Total indicative contribution to overall building score 1.41% Total indicative BREEAM innovation credits achieved 0		Target percentage net reduction in operational sta	ige CO2 emissions	11.00%	2	1	
Total indicative BREEAM credits achieved 2 Total indicative contribution to overall building score 1.41% Total indicative BREEAM innovation credits achieved 0		Please confirm the intended energy source of the Low and/or zer	o carbon system?	Combination of o	ne or more LZC ene	ergy sources	
Total indicative contribution to overall building score 1.41% Total indicative BREEAM innovation credits achieved 0			Please select	No	1	0	
Total indicative contribution to overall building score 1.41% Total indicative BREEAM innovation credits achieved 0		Total indicative BREEAM credits achieved	2				
Total indicative BREEAM innovation credits achieved 0							
Indicative minimum standard(s) level Pre-Assessment result indicates the minimum standards for Outstanding level		-	0				
		Indicative minimum standard(s) level	Pre-Assessment re	sult indicates the	minimum standards	for Outstanding lev	⁄el
	omments/notes:						
omments/notes:							
omments/notes:							
omments/notes:							
omments/notes:							



N/A		Available contribution	on to overall score	N/A
N/A	Minimum standards applicable			N/A
			Indicative credits	
	Response	Credits available	achieved	
N/A				
	N/A N/A N/A	N/A Response N/A N/A N/A N/A	N/A Response Credits available N/A N/A N/A	N/A Minimum standards applicable Indicative credits Response Credits available achieved N/A N/A N/A



Ene06 Energy Efficient Transportation Systems

No. of BREEAM credits available	2		Available contributio	n to overall score	1.41%
No. of BREEAM innovation credits available	0		Minimum star	ndards applicable	N/A
Pre-Assessment question/criteria		Response	Credits available	Indicative credits achieved	
Will a transportation system analysis be carried out to determine the optimum numb Will three energy-efficient features offering the greatest potential energy savings be p		Yes Yes	1	1	
will three energy emolent reatures offering the greatest potential energy savings be p	dit of the system:	103	1	1	
Total indicative BREEAM credits achieved	2				
Total indicative contribution to overall building score	1.41%				
Total indicative BREEAM innovation credits achieved	N/A				
Indicative minimum standard(s) level	N/A				
Comments/notes:					



No. of BREEAM credits available	N/A		Available contribution	on to overall score	N/A
No. of BREEAM innovation credits available				andards applicable	N/A
NO. OF DIVEL AND INTERVALION CITEDIA AVAILABLE	N/A		IVIIIIIIIIIIII Sta	indards applicable	N/A
				Indicative credits	
2-Assessment question/criteria		Response	Credits available	achieved	
			1		
			4		
			1		
			4		
			1		
			1		
			_		
Total indicative BREEAM credits achieved					
Total indicative contribution to overall building score					
Total indicative BREEAM innovation credits achieved					
Indicative minimum standard(s) level	N/A				
mments/notes:					



Ene08 Energy Efficient Equipment

No. of BREEAM credits available	2	ļ	Available contributi	on to overall score	1.41%
No. of BREEAM innovation credits available	0		Minimum sta	andards applicable	No
Com IT-intensive o Re	g in equipment? wimming pool? munal laundry? Data centre? peration areas? sidential areas? Healthcare? tering facilities?	Present Yes No No No No No No No No No Yes No	Significant majority contributor No Yes	Select Req. F1 & F2	
Will the significant majority contributor(s) to 'unregulated' energy use (above) meet the Bf	REEAM criteria?	Indicative compliance?	Credits available	Indicative credits achieved	N/A
Total indicative BREEAM credits achieved	2				
Total indicative contribution to overall building score	1.41%				
Total indicative BREEAM innovation credits achieved	N/A				
Indicative minimum standard(s) level	N/A				
omments/notes:					



	No. of BREEAM credits available	1		Available contribution	on to overall score	0.70%
	No. of BREEAM innovation credits available	0		Minimum sta	andards applicable	No
					Indicative credits	
re-Assessment question/criteria			Response	Credits available	achieved	
	Will internal/external drying space and fixi	igs be provided?	Yes	1	1	
	Total indicative BREEAM credits achieved	1				
	Total indicative contribution to overall building score	0.70%				
	Total indicative BREEAM innovation credits achieved	N/A				
	Indicative minimum standard(s) level	N/A				
omments/notes:						
silinents, notes.						



BREEAM 2011 New Construction Pre-Assessment Estimator **TRANSPORT Section Weighting** 8.00% **Indicative Section Score** 8.00% Tra01 Public Transport Accessibility No. of BREEAM credits available Available contribution to overall score 2.67% No. of BREEAM innovation credits available Minimum standards applicable No Pre-Assessment question/criteria What is the building type category (for the purpose of Tra01 issue assessment)? Multi-Residential Accommodation What is the degree of public transport provision for the building's location? Excellent provision of public transport, i.e. large urban/metropolitan city centre Building's indicative Accessibility Index Does the building have a dedicated bus service? Total indicative BREEAM credits achieved 3 Total indicative contribution to overall building score 2.67% Total indicative BREEAM innovation credits achieved N/A Indicative minimum standard(s) level N/A Comments/notes:



Tra02 Proximity to Amenities

	No. of BREEAM credits available 2 Available contribution to		on to overall score	1.78%		
	No. of BREEAM innovation credits available	0		Minimum sta	ndards applicable	No
					Indicative credits	
re-Assessment question/criteria			Response	Credits available	achieved	
	Will the building be in close proximity of and accessible to appli	icable amenities?	Yes	2	2	
	Total indicative BREEAM credits achieved	2				
	Total indicative contribution to overall building score	1.78%				
	Total indicative BREEAM innovation credits achieved	N/A				
	Indicative minimum standard(s) level	N/A				
omments/notes:						
millents/flotes.						



BREEAM 2011 New Construction Pre-Assessment Estimator Tra03 Cyclist facilities No. of BREEAM credits available Available contribution to overall score 0.89% No. of BREEAM innovation credits available Minimum standards applicable No What is the building type category (for the purpose of Tra03 issue assessment)? Student residences and key worker accommodation Indicative credits Pre-Assessment question/criteria Response Credits available achieved Will cycle storage spaces be provided? Yes 1 1 Total indicative BREEAM credits achieved 1 Total indicative contribution to overall building score 0.89% Total indicative BREEAM innovation credits achieved N/A Indicative minimum standard(s) level N/A Comments/notes:



No. of BREEAM credits available	2	Available contribution to overall score	1.78%
No. of BREEAM innovation credits available	0	Minimum standards applicable	No

	reuits available	U		IVIIIIIIIIIIIII Sta	anuarus applicable
Building type categor	ry (for the purpos	e of Tra04 issue)?	Student residence	ces and key worker	accommodation
Buildings indicative Accessibilit	ty Index (sourced	from issue Tra01)	18		
					Indicative credits
-Assessment question/criteria			Response	Credits available	achieved
Will the building meet BREEAM's maximum parking capacity criteria for thi	s building type/A	ccessibility Index?	Yes	2	2
					<u> </u>
Total indicative BREEAM o	credits achieved	2			
Total indicative contribution to overa	II building score	1.78%			
Total indicative BREEAM innovation o	credits achieved	N/A			
Indicative minimum s	tandard(s) level	N/A			

Comments/notes:		



	No. of BREEAM credits available	1		Available contribution	on to overall score	0.89%
	No. of BREEAM innovation credits available	0		Minimum sta	andards applicable	No
					Indicative credits	
-Assessment question/criteria			Response	Credits available	achieved	
	Will a transport plan based on site specific travel survey/assessme	nt be developed?	Yes	1	1	
	Total indicative BREEAM credits achieved	1				
	Total indicative contribution to overall building score	0.89%				
	Total indicative BREEAM innovation credits achieved	N/A				
	Indicative minimum standard(s) level	N/A				
mments/notes:						



TER	Section Weighting	6.00%	Indicative Section Score	4.00%
1 Water Consumption	1			
	No. of BREEAM credits available	5	Available contribution to overall score	3.33%
	No. of BREEAM innovation credits available	1	Minimum standards applicable	Yes
	Select the level that corresponds closely to the target or likely water compor	nent specification	1? Level 2 - Two credits	
	Total indicative BREEAM credits achieved	2		
	Total indicative contribution to overall building score	1.33%		
	Total indicative BREEAM innovation credits achieved	0		
	Indicative minimum standard(s) level	Pre-Assessment	$result\ indicates\ the\ minimum\ standards\ for\ Outstanding\ level$	
ments/notes:				
nents/notes.				

BREEAM 2011 Pre-Assessment Estimator © BRE Global Ltd 09/11/2012 Section 2 - Page 28



Wat02 Water Monitoring

No. of BREEAM credits available	1		Available contributio	n to overall score	0.67%
No. of BREEAM innovation credits available	0		Minimum sta	ndards applicable	Yes
				Indicative credits	
Assessment question/criteria		Response	Credits available	achieved	
Will there be a water meter on the mains water supply to	the building(s)?	Yes	1	1	
Will metering/monitoring equipment be specified on the water supply to any relevant plant,	_	Yes			
Will all specified water meters have a	· -	Yes			
If the site/building has an existing BMS connection, will all pulsed meters be connect	ed to the BMS?	N/A			
Total indicative BREEAM credits achieved	1				
Total indicative contribution to overall building score	0.67%				
Total indicative BREEAM innovation credits achieved	N/A				
Indicative minimum standard(s) level Pr	e-Assessment res	ult indicates the	minimum standards	for Outstanding leve	el
ments/notes:					



Wat03 Water Leak Detection and Prevention

No. of BREEAM credits available	2	Available contribution to overall score			1.33%
No. of BREEAM innovation credits available	0	Minimum standards applicable		No	
				Indicative credits	
re-Assessment question/criteria		Response	Credits available	achieved	
Will a mains water leak detection system be installed on the building's m	ains water supply?	Yes	1	1	
Will flow control devices be installed in each sar	nitary area/facility?	Yes	1	1	
Total indicative BREEAM credits achieved	2				
Total indicative contribution to overall building score	1.33%				
Total indicative BREEAM innovation credits achieved	N/A				
Indicative minimum standard(s) level	N/A				
Comments/notes:					



Wat04 Water Efficient Equipment No. of BREEAM credits available Available contribution to overall score 0.67% Minimum standards applicable No. of BREEAM innovation credits available No No Indicative credits Pre-Assessment question/criteria Credits available achieved Response Will water efficient irrigation methods and/or vehicle wash systems (if relevant) be installed? Yes 1 Total indicative BREEAM credits achieved 1 Total indicative contribution to overall building score 0.67% Total indicative BREEAM innovation credits achieved N/A Indicative minimum standard(s) level N/A Comments/notes:



BREEAM 2011 New Construction Pre-Assessment Estimator **MATERIALS Section Weighting** 12.50% 6.73% **Indicative Section Score** Mat01 Life Cycle Impacts No. of BREEAM credits available Available contribution to overall score 5.77% No. of BREEAM innovation credits available Minimum standards applicable No Pre-Assessment question/criteria How do you wish to assess the number of BREEAM credits achieved for this issue? Define a target number of BREEAM credits to be achieved Select the number of BREEAM credits being targeted for the Mat01 issue **BREEAM Innovation credits** Total indicative BREEAM credits achieved Total indicative contribution to overall building score 1.92% Total indicative BREEAM innovation credits achieved 0 Indicative minimum standard(s) level N/A Comments/notes:



Mat02 Hard Landscaping and Boundary Protection					
No. of BREEAM credits available	1		Available contribution	on to overall score	0.96%
No. of BREEAM innovation credits available	0		Minimum sta	indards applicable	No
Pre-Assessment question/criteria		Response	Credits available	Indicative credits achieved	
Will ≥80% of all external hard landscaping and boundary protection achieve a Green Gui	de A or A+ rating?	Yes	1	1	
Total indicative BREEAM credits achieved	1				
Total indicative contribution to overall building score	0.96%				
Total indicative BREEAM innovation credits achieved	N/A				
Indicative minimum standard(s) level	N/A				
Comments/notes:					



BREEAM 2011 New Construction Pre-Assessment Estimator **Mat03 Responsible Sourcing** No. of BREEAM credits available Available contribution to overall score 2.88% No. of BREEAM innovation credits available Minimum standards applicable Yes Pre-Assessment question/criteria How do you wish to assess the number of BREEAM credits achieved for this issue? Define a target number of BREEAM credits Select the number of BREEAM credits being targeted for the Mat03 issue **BREEAM Innovation credits** Will all timber used on the project be sourced in accordance with the UK Govt's Timber Procurement Policy? Yes Total indicative BREEAM credits achieved 1 Total indicative contribution to overall building score 0.96% Total indicative BREEAM innovation credits achieved Indicative minimum standard(s) level Pre-Assessment result indicates the minimum standards for Outstanding level Comments/notes:



Mat04 Insulation

	No. of BREEAM credits available	2		Available contributio	on to overall score	1.92
	No. of BREEAM innovation credits available	0		Minimum sta	ndards applicable	No
					Indicative credits	
re-Assessment question/criteria			Response	Credits available	achieved	
	Is the building targeting an insulating ind	ex of 2 or more?	Yes	1	1	
	Will the building's insulating materials be responding	onsibly sourced?	Yes	1	1	
	Total indicative BREEAM credits achieved	2				
	Total indicative contribution to overall building score	1.92%				
	Total indicative BREEAM innovation credits achieved	N/A				
	Indicative minimum standard(s) level	N/A				



No. of BREEAM credits available	1		Available contribution	on to overall score	0.96%
No. of BREEAM innovation credits available	0		Minimum sta	indards applicable	N/A
e-Assessment question/criteria		Response	Credits available	Indicative credits achieved	
Will suitable durability/protection measures be specified and installed to vulnerable area	s of the building?	Yes	1	1	
Total indicative BREEAM credits achieved	1				
Total indicative contribution to overall building score	0.96%				
Total indicative BREEAM innovation credits achieved	N/A				
Indicative minimum standard(s) level	N/A				
mments/notes:					



BREEAM 2011 New Construction Pre-Assessment Estimator WASTE **Section Weighting** 7.50% 5.00% **Indicative Section Score Wst01 Construction Waste Management** No. of BREEAM credits available Available contribution to overall score 5.00% No. of BREEAM innovation credits available Minimum standards applicable Yes Pre-Assessment question/criteria How do you wish to assess the number of BREEAM credits achieved for this issue? Define a target number of BREEAM credits to be achieved Select the number of BREEAM credits being targeted for the Wst01 issue **BREEAM Innovation credits** Total indicative BREEAM credits achieved 2 Total indicative contribution to overall building score 2.50% Total indicative BREEAM innovation credits achieved Indicative minimum standard(s) level Pre-Assessment result indicates the minimum standards for Outstanding level Comments/notes:



BREEAM 2011 New Construction Pre-Assessment Estimator Wst02 Recycled Aggregates No. of BREEAM credits available Available contribution to overall score 1.25% No. of BREEAM innovation credits available Minimum standards applicable No Pre-Assessment question/criteria How do you wish to assess the number of BREEAM credits achieved for this issue? Define a target number of BREEAM credits to be achieved Select the number of BREEAM credits being targeted for the Wst02 issue **BREEAM Innovation credits** 1 0 Total indicative BREEAM credits achieved 1 Total indicative contribution to overall building score 1.25% Total indicative BREEAM innovation credits achieved 0 Indicative minimum standard(s) level N/A Comments/notes:



Wst03 Operational Waste

No. of BREEAM innovation credits available No. of BREEAM innovation credits available No. of BREEAM innovation credits available Response Credits available Indicative credits achieved Yes Will appropriate facilities for the storage of operational recyclable waste volumes be provided? If relevant, will a static waste compactor(s) or baler(s) be specified/installed? If relevant, will a vessel for composting suitable organic waste be specified/installed? Will internal and, if applicable, communal storage & home compost facilities be provided? Total indicative BREEAM credits achieved Total indicative contribution to overall building score Total indicative BREEAM innovation credits achieved Indicative minimum standard(s) level Pre-Assessment result indicates the minimum standards for Outstanding level	No. of BREEAM innovation credits available 0 e-Assessment question/criteria				Yes
Assessment question/criteria Will appropriate facilities for the storage of operational recyclable waste volumes be provided? If relevant, will a static waste compactor(s) or baler(s) be specified/installed? If relevant, will a vessel for composting suitable organic waste be specified/installed? Will internal and, if applicable, communal storage & home compost facilities be provided? Total indicative BREEAM credits achieved Total indicative contribution to overall building score Total indicative BREEAM innovation credits achieved N/A	e-Assessment question/criteria			Indicative credits	
Assessment question/criteria Will appropriate facilities for the storage of operational recyclable waste volumes be provided? If relevant, will a static waste compactor(s) or baler(s) be specified/installed? If relevant, will a vessel for composting suitable organic waste be specified/installed? Will internal and, if applicable, communal storage & home compost facilities be provided? Total indicative BREEAM credits achieved Total indicative contribution to overall building score Total indicative BREEAM innovation credits achieved N/A	e-Assessment question/criteria				
If relevant, will a static waste compactor(s) or baler(s) be specified/installed? If relevant, will a vessel for composting suitable organic waste be specified/installed? Will internal and, if applicable, communal storage & home compost facilities be provided? Total indicative BREEAM credits achieved Total indicative contribution to overall building score Total indicative BREEAM innovation credits achieved N/A		Response	Credits available		
If relevant, will a static waste compactor(s) or baler(s) be specified/installed? If relevant, will a vessel for composting suitable organic waste be specified/installed? Will internal and, if applicable, communal storage & home compost facilities be provided? Total indicative BREEAM credits achieved Total indicative contribution to overall building score Total indicative BREEAM innovation credits achieved N/A	Will appropriate facilities for the storage of operational recyclable waste volumes be provided?	Yes	1	1	
Will internal and, if applicable, communal storage & home compost facilities be provided? Total indicative BREEAM credits achieved Total indicative contribution to overall building score Total indicative BREEAM innovation credits achieved N/A		N/A			
Total indicative BREEAM credits achieved Total indicative contribution to overall building score Total indicative BREEAM innovation credits achieved N/A	If relevant, will a vessel for composting suitable organic waste be specified/installed?	Yes			
Total indicative contribution to overall building score 1.25% Total indicative BREEAM innovation credits achieved N/A	Will internal and, if applicable, communal storage & home compost facilities be provided?	Yes			
Total indicative contribution to overall building score 1.25% Total indicative BREEAM innovation credits achieved N/A					
Total indicative BREEAM innovation credits achieved N/A					
·	Total indicative contribution to overall building score 1.25%				
Indicative minimum standard(s) level Pre-Assessment result indicates the minimum standards for Outstanding level	Total indicative BREEAM innovation credits achieved N/A				
maleative minimal standards for Outstanding level	Indicative minimum standard(s) level Pre-Assessment res	ult indicates the	minimum standards	for Outstanding leve	el
	mments/notes:				



No. of BREEAM credits available	N/A		Available contribution	on to overall score	N/A
No. of BREEAM innovation credits available	N/A		Minimum sta	indards applicable	N/A
				Indicative credits	
e-Assessment question/criteria		Response	Credits available	achieved	
Total indicative BREEAM credits achieved	N/A				
Total indicative contribution to overall building score	N/A	İ			
Total indicative BREEAM innovation credits achieved	N/A				
Indicative minimum standard(s) level	N/A				
mments/notes:					
Time reg notes:					



AND USE & ECOLOGY	Section Weighting	10.00%		Indicative	Section Score	6.00%
E01 Site Selection						
	No. of BREEAM credits available	2		Available contributio	n to overall score	2.00%
No.	of BREEAM innovation credits available	0		Minimum star	ndards applicable	No
e-Assessment question/criteria		_	Response	Credits available	Indicative credits achieved	
Will at least 75% of the proposed developmen	's footprint be located on previously been Is the site deemed to be significantly	_	No No	1 1	0	
	otal indicative BREEAM credits achieved	0				
	ve contribution to overall building score ve BREEAM innovation credits achieved	0.00% N/A				
	Indicative minimum standard(s) level	N/A				
omments/notes:						



No. of BREEAM credits available	1		Available contribution	on to overall score	1.00%
No. of BREEAM innovation credits available	0		Minimum sta	andards applicable	No
				Indicative credits	
e-Assessment question/criteria		Response	Credits available	achieved	
Can the land within the construction zone be defined as 'land of low e		No	1	0	
Will all features of ecological value surrounding the construction zone/site bounda	ry be protected?	No	1	0	
Total indicative BREEAM credits achieved	0				
Total indicative contribution to overall building score	0.00%				
Total indicative BREEAM innovation credits achieved	N/A				
Indicative minimum standard(s) level	N/A				
mments/notes:					



LE03 Mitigating Ecological Impact

No. of BREEAM credits available	2	Available contribution to overall score	2.00%
No. of BREEAM innovation credits available	0	Minimum standards applicable	Yes

Pre-Assessment question/criteria			
What is the likely change in eco	ological value (plant species richness) as a result of the	sites development?	No negative change or improvement in plant species richness
	Total indicative BREEAM credits achieved	2	
	Total indicative contribution to overall building score	2.00%	
	Total indicative BREEAM innovation credits achieved	N/A	
	Indicative minimum standard(s) level	Pre-Assessment re	esult indicates the minimum standards for Outstanding level
Comments/notes:			
,			



LE04 Enhancing Site Ecology

No. of BREEAM cred	dits available	3		Available contribution	on to overall score	3.00%
No. of BREEAM innovation cred	dits available	0		Minimum sta	andards applicable	No
					Indicative credits	
Assessment question/criteria			Response	Credits available	achieved	
Will a suitably qualified ecologist be appointed to report on enhance	cing and proted	cting site ecology?	Yes	3	2	
Will the suitably qualified ecologists general reco	mmendations	be implemented?	Yes			
What is the targeted/intended improvement in ecological value as a	result of enha	incement actions?	Small improvem	ent in plant species	richness	
Total indicative BREEAM cred	dits achieved	2				
Total indicative contribution to overall b	ouilding score	2.00%				
Total indicative BREEAM innovation cred	dits achieved	N/A				
Indicative minimum stan	ndard(s) level	N/A				
nments/notes:						



LE05 Long Term Impact on Biodiversity

No. of BREEAM credits available	2		Available contributio	on to overall score	2.00%
No. of BREEAM innovation credits available	0		Minimum sta	ndards applicable	No
Pre-Assessment guestion/criteria		Response	Credits available	Indicative credits achieved	
Will the building meet BREEAM's mandatory criteria for this	BREEAM issue?	Yes	2	2	
Will a Biodiversity Champion be appointed to monitor/minimise impacts of site activities	on biodiversity?	Yes			
Will the contractor provide training for the site workforce on how to protect ecology du	ing the project?	Yes	1		
Will the contractor record actions to protect biodiversity and monitor their effectiveness during	ng construction?	Yes			
Will a new ecologically valuable habitat, appropriate to the local a	rea, be created?	Yes			

No

Total indicative BREEAM credits achieved	2
Total indicative contribution to overall building score	2.00%
Total indicative BREEAM innovation credits achieved	N/A
Indicative minimum standard(s) level	N/A

Where flora/fauna habitats exist on site, will the contractor programme site works to minimise disturbance?

ments/notes:	



POLLUTION	Section Weighting	10.00%		Indicativ	e Section Score	7.69%
ol01 Impact of Refrigerants						
	No. of BREEAM credits available	3		Available contribution	on to overall score	2.31%
	No. of BREEAM innovation credits available	0		Minimum sta	indards applicable	No
Pre-Assessment question/criteria	Will refrigerant containing systems be installed in the a	ssessed building?	Response No	Credits available	Indicative credits achieved	
	Total indicative BREEAM credits achieved Total indicative contribution to overall building score	3 2.31%				
	Total indicative BREEAM innovation credits achieved	N/A				
	Indicative minimum standard(s) level	N/A		_		
Comments/notes:						
Comments/notes:						
Comments/notes:						



BREEAM 2011 New Construction Pre-Assessment Estimator Pol02 NO_x Emissions No. of BREEAM credits available Available contribution to overall score 2.31% No. of BREEAM innovation credits available Minimum standards applicable No Pre-Assessment question/criteria Response Please enter the target/maximum NO_x emission level for space heating system 39.00 mg/kWh Please enter the target/maximum NO_x emission level for the water heating system 0 mg/kWh Total indicative BREEAM credits achieved 3 Total indicative contribution to overall building score 2.31% Total indicative BREEAM innovation credits achieved N/A Indicative minimum standard(s) level N/A Comments/notes:



Pol03 Surface Water Run off

3.85%	Available contribution to overall score	5	No. of BREEAM credits available
No	Minimum standards applicable	0	No. of BREEAM innovation credits available
	1. 10. 10. 10.		
	Indicative credits		

Pre-Assessment question/criteria

Will the site meet the will the site be design

What is the actual/likely annual probability of flooding for the assessed site?
Will a compliant Flood Risk Assessment be undertaken?
Will the site meet the BREEAM criteria for peak rate surface water run off?
criteria for surface water run off volume, attenuation and/or limiting discharge?
ned to minimise watercourse pollution in accordance with the BREEAM criteria?

	Response	Credits available	achieved
35	Low	2	2
1?	Yes	2	2
f?	No	1	0
55	No	1	0
1?	No	1	0

Total indicative BREEAM credits achieved	2
Total indicative contribution to overall building score	1.54%
Total indicative BREEAM innovation credits achieved	N/A
Indicative minimum standard(s) level	N/A

Comments/notes:		



	No. of BREEAM credits available	1		Available contribution	on to overall score	0.77%
	No. of BREEAM innovation credits available	0		Minimum sta	ndards applicable	No
e-Assessment question/criteria			Response	Credits available	Indicative credits achieved	
	Will the external lighting be designed to redu	ce light pollution?	Yes	1	1	
	Total indicative BREEAM credits achieved	1				
	Total indicative contribution to overall building score	0.77%				
	Total indicative BREEAM innovation credits achieved	N/A				
	Indicative minimum standard(s) level	N/A				
omments/notes:						



Pol05 Noise Attenuation

No. of BREEAM credits available	1		Available contribution	on to overall score	0.77%
No. of BREEAM innovation credits available	0		Minimum sta	ndards applicable	No
				Indicative credits	
sessment question/criteria		Response	Credits available	achieved	
Will there be, or is there noise-sensitive areas/buildings within 800m radius of the	ne development?	Yes	1	1	
Will a noise impact assessment be completed and, if applicable, noise attenuation mea	asures specified?	Yes			
Total indicative BREEAM credits achieved	1				
Total indicative contribution to overall building score	0.77%				
Total indicative BREEAM innovation credits achieved	N/A				
Indicative minimum standard(s) level	N/A				
onto la staci					
ents/notes:					



INOVATION	Section Weighting	10.00%		Indicativ	e Section Score	0.00%
n01 Innovation						
	No. of BREEAM innovation credits available	10	A	vailable contribution	on to overall score	10.00%
				Minimum sta	indards applicable	No
			Exemplary level		Indicative credits	
e-Assessment question/criteria			achieved	Credits available	achieved	
		ble Procurement	No	1	0	
	Man02 Responsible Const			1	0	
		1 Visual Comfort	No	1	0	
	EneO1 Reduction of EneO4 Low and Zoro Ca		No No	5 1	0	
	Ene04 Low and Zero Carbon Technology Ene05 Energy Efficient Cold Storage			N/A	N/A	
	Wat01 Water Consumption			1	0	
	Mat01 Valci Consumption Mat01 Life Cycle Impacts			1	0	
	Mat03 Responsible Sourcing of Materials			1	0	
	Wst01 Construction Wa		No	1	0	
		ycled Aggregates	No	1	0	
	Total indicative BREEAM credits achieved	0				
То	tal indicative contribution to overall building score	0.00%				
	Indicative minimum standard(s) level	N/A				
	maleative miniman standard(s) level	TY/A				
omments/notes:						
minents, notes.						

BREEAM 2011 New Construction Assessment Report: Rating & Key Performance Indicators

This assessment and indicative BREEAM rating is not a formal certified BREEAM assessment or rating and must not be communicated as such. The score presented is indicative of a buildings potential performance and is based on a simplified pre-formal BREEAM assessment and unverified commitments given at an early stage in the design process.

Overall Indicative Building Performance

Building name	5171 - Midland Crescent (Student Accommodation)
Indicative building score (%)	61.32%
Indicative BREEAM rating	Pre-Assessment result indicates potential for BREEAM Very Good rating
Indicative minimum standards level achieved	Pre-Assessment result indicates the minimum standards for Excellent level

Summary of Indicative Building Performance by Environmental Section and Assessment Issue

		Indicative no.	Indicative	
	Indicative no.	credits	contribution to	
nagement	credits available	Achieved	score	Minimum standards level achieved
Man01 Sustainable Procurement	8.0	7.0	3.82%	Pre-Assessment result indicates the minimum standards for Outstanding level
Man02 Responsible Construction Practices	2.0	2.0	1.09%	Pre-Assessment result indicates the minimum standards for Outstanding level
Man03 Construction Site Impacts	5.0	4.0	2.18%	N/A
Man04 Stakeholder Participation	4.0	2.0	1.09%	Pre-Assessment result indicates the minimum standards for Outstanding level
Man05 Life cycle cost and service life planning Total indicative environmental section performance	3.0 22.0	0.0 15.0	0.00% 8.18%	N/A
	22.0	15.0	0.10%	
alth & Wellbeing Hea01 Visual Comfort	3.0	1.0	0.94%	Pre-Assessment result indicates the minimum standards for Outstanding level
Hea02 Indoor Air Quality	4.0	0.0	0.94%	N/A
Hea03 Thermal Comfort	2.0	0.0	0.00%	N/A
Hea04 Water Quality	1.0	1.0	0.94%	Pre-Assessment result indicates the minimum standards for Outstanding level
Hea05 Acoustic Performance	4.0	0.0	0.00%	N/A
Hea06 Safety and Security	2.0	2.0	1.88%	N/A
Total indicative environmental section performance	16.0	4.0	3.75%	·
ergy				
EneO1 Reduction of CO2 Emissions	15.0	8.0	5.63%	Pre-Assessment result indicates the minimum standards for Excellent level
Ene02 Energy Monitoring	1.0	1.0	0.70%	Pre-Assessment result indicates the minimum standards for Outstanding level
Ene03 External Lighting	1.0	1.0	0.70%	N/A
Ene04 Low and Zero Carbon Technology	5.0	2.0	1.41%	Pre-Assessment result indicates the minimum standards for Outstanding level
Ene05 Energy Efficient Cold Storage	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Ene06 Energy Efficient Transportation Systems	2.0	2.0	1.41%	N/A
Ene07 Energy Efficient Laboratory Systems	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Ene08 Energy Efficient Equipment	2.0	2.0	1.41%	N/A
Ene09 Drying Space	1.0	1.0	0.70%	N/A
Total indicative environmental section performance	27.0	17.00	11.96%	
ansport				
Tra01 Public Transport Accessibility	3.0	3.0	2.67%	N/A
Tra02 Proximity to Amenities	2.0	2.0	1.78%	N/A
Tra03 Cyclist facilities	1.0	1.0	0.89%	N/A
Tra04 Maximum Car Parking Capacity	2.0	2.0	1.78%	N/A
Tra05 Travel Plan	1.0	1.0	0.89%	N/A
Total indicative environmental section performance	9.0	9.0	8.00%	
ater				
Wat01 Water Consumption	5.0	2.0	1.33%	Pre-Assessment result indicates the minimum standards for Outstanding level
Wat02 Water Monitoring	1.0	1.0	0.67%	Pre-Assessment result indicates the minimum standards for Outstanding level
Wat03 Water Leak Detection and Prevention	2.0	2.0	1.33%	N/A
Wat04 Water Efficient Equipment	1.0 9.0	1.0 6.0	0.67% 4.00%	N/A
Total indicative environmental section performance	9.0	6.0	4.00%	
aterials Anaton Life Cools Inventor	6.0	2.0	1.92%	N/A
Mat01 Life Cycle Impacts Mat02 Hard Landscaping and Boundary Protection	6.0 1.0	2.0 1.0	0.96%	N/A N/A
Mat03 Responsible Sourcing	3.0	1.0	0.96%	Pre-Assessment result indicates the minimum standards for Outstanding level
Mat04 Insulation	2.0	2.0	1.92%	N/A
Mat05 Designing for Robustness	1.0	1.0	0.96%	N/A
Total indicative environmental section performance	13.0	7.00	6.73%	10/1
aste	-5.10	1.00	511575	
Wst01 Construction Waste Management	4.0	2.0	2.50%	Pre-Assessment result indicates the minimum standards for Outstanding level
Wst01 Construction waste Management Wst02 Recycled Aggregates	1.0	1.0	1.25%	N/A
Wst03 Operational Waste	1.0	1.0	1.25%	Pre-Assessment result indicates the minimum standards for Outstanding level
Wst04 Speculative Floor and Ceiling Finishes	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total indicative environmental section performance	6.0	4.00	5.00%	
nd Use and Ecology				
LE01 Site Selection	2.0	0.0	0.00%	N/A
LE02 Ecological Value of Site and Protection of Ecological Features	1.0	0.0	0.00%	N/A
LE03 Mitigating Ecological Impact	2.0	2.0	2.00%	Pre-Assessment result indicates the minimum standards for Outstanding level
LE04 Enhancing Site Ecology	3.0	2.0	2.00%	N/A
LE05 Long Term Impact on Biodiversity	2.0	2.0	2.00%	N/A
Total indicative environmental section performance	10.0	6.00	6.00%	
llution				
Pol01 Impact of Refrigerants	3.0	3.0	2.31%	N/A
Pol02 NOx Emissions	3.0	3.0	2.31%	N/A
Pol03 Surface Water Run off	5.0	2.0	1.54%	N/A
Pol04 Reduction of Night Time Light Pollution	1.0	1.0	0.77%	N/A
Pol05 Noise Attenuation	1.0	1.0	0.77%	N/A
Total indicative environmental section performance	13.0	10.00	7.69%	
novation				
Inn01 Innovation	10.0	0.0	0.00%	N/A

APPENDIX B - BREEAM 2011 NEW CONSTRUCTION PRE-ASSESSMENT (COMMERCIAL)



Building details

5171 - Midland Crescent (Commercial)	l
Office	*
Office - General office building	*
New Construction (shell only)	*
Yes	*
Option not applicable to building type	J
Yes	*
No	*
Yes	*
No laboratory	*
No laboratory	*
No	*
No	*
Option not applicable to building type	j
Option not applicable to building type	ı
	S171 - Midland Crescent (Commercial) Office Office - General office building New Construction (shell only) Yes Option not applicable to building type Yes No Yes No laboratory No laboratory No No Option not applicable to building type Option not applicable to building type

Disclaimer

This Pre-Assessment Estimator is the property of BRE Global Ltd and is made publicly available for information purposes only. Its use for testing, assessment, certification or approval is not permitted. The results presented are indicative only of a buildings potential performance which is based on a simplified, informal assessment and unverified commitments. The results do not represent a formal certified BREEAM assessment or rating and must not be communicated as such. BRE Global Ltd accepts no responsibility for any actions taken as a result of information presented or interpreted by the BREEAM Pre-Assessment Estimator. To carry out a formal BREEAM assessment contact a licensed BREEAM Assessor organisation. A list of licensed BREEAM Assessors is available from the Green Book Live website: www.greenbooklive.com

Copyright

The information and images contained in this document are the property of BRE Global Ltd unless explicitly stated to the contrary. They are protected by copyright laws. Material may be downloaded and printed without requiring specific permission but remains the intellectual property, technical know how and copyrighted material of BRE Global Ltd. Such material is not to be used in a derogatory manner, in a misleading context or for commercial purposes. If the material is being issued to others, the source including the web address and copyright status must be acknowledged.

Trade Marks

BRE, BRE Global, BREEAM, EcoHomes, SmartWaste, SmartLIFE, Envest, the Green Guide, and Insight are all registered trade marks owned by either BRE or BRE Global Ltd and may not be used without BRE's or BRE Global Ltd's written permission.



This assessment and indicative BREEAM rating is not a formal certified BREEAM assessment or rating and must not be communicated as such. The score presented is indicative of a buildings potential performance and is based on a simplified pre-formal BREEAM assessment and unverified commitments given at an early stage in the design process.

F
Building name 5171 - Midland Crescent (Commercial)
Indicative building score (%) 58.60%
Indicative BREEAM rating Pre-Assessment result indicates potential for BREEAM Very Good rating
Indicative minimum standards level achieved Pre-Assessment result indicates the minimum standards for Excellent level

n01 Sustainable Procurement						
N	o. of BREEAM credits available	8		Available contributic	on to overall score	4.36%
No. of BREEA	M innovation credits available	1		Minimum sta	ndards applicable	Yes
					Indicative credits	Shell & Core
-Assessment question/criteria			Response	Credits available	achieved	option?
Will roles, responsibilities and a training	schedule be defined in accordance	ce with BREEAM?	Yes	1	1	N/A
Will a BREEAM AP be appointed at RIBA stage A	B and performance targets cont	ractually agreed?	Yes	1	1	N/A
Will a BREEAM AP be appointed to mo	onitor and report progress during	g RIBA stage B-E?	Yes	1	1	N/A
Willa BREEAM AP be appointed to m	onitor and report progress durin	g RIBA stage F-L?	Yes	1	1	N/A
Will a thermographic survey be	conducted and any defects unco	vered remedied?	No	1	0	N/A
Will compliant of	commissioning of building service	es be carried out?	Yes	1	1	N/A
Will compliant seasonal of	commissioning of building service	es be carried out?	Yes	1	1	N/A
Will water/energy consumption data be recorded	ed and aftercare support provide	d for 12 months?	Yes	1	1	Option 3
Will water/energy consumption be	recorded/reported for 3 years p	ost construction?	No	1	0	N/A
Total indica	ntive BREEAM credits achieved	7				
Total indicative contrib	oution to overall building score	3.82%				
	M innovation credits achieved	0				
Indicat	ive minimum standard(s) level	Pre-Assessment res	ult indicates the	minimum standards	for Outstanding lev	vel .



Man02 Responsible Construction Practices

No. of BREEAM credits available	2	Available contribution to overall score	1.09%
No. of BREEAM innovation credits available	1	Minimum standards applicable	Yes

Shell & Core option?

Pre-Assessment question/criteria	option?
Which considerate construction scheme will be used or required to be used by the principal contractor? Considerate Constructors Scheme	
For the required scheme, what will be the target performance level set for the site/contractor? A CCS score between 32 and 35.5.	N/A

Total indicative BREEAI	M credits achieved 2	
Total indicative contribution to over	erall building score 1.09%	%
Total indicative BREEAM innovatio	n credits achieved 0	
Indicative minimur	n standard(s) level Pre-Assessr	ment result indicates the minimum standards for Ou

Comments/notes:		



Man03 Construction Site Impacts

No. of BREEAM credits available	5		Available contributio	on to overall score	2.73%
No. of BREEAM innovation credits available	0		Minimum standards applicable		
e-Assessment question/criteria		Response	Credits available	Indicative credits achieved	Shell & Core option?
Will site energy consumption be metere	d/monitored?	Yes	1	1	N/A
Will site water consumption be metere	d/monitored?	Yes	1	1	N/A
Will the transport of construction materials and waste to/from site be measure	d/monitored?	No	1	0	N/A
Will timber be sourced in accordance with the Government's Timber Procur	ement Policy?	Yes	1	1	N/A
Will/does the principal contractor operate a compliant Environmental Management System?		Yes	1	1	N/A
Will the principal contractor adopt best practice pollution prevention policies 8	& procedures?	Yes	_	_	,
Total indicative BREEAM credits achieved	4				
Total indicative contribution to overall building score	2.18%				
Total indicative BREEAM innovation credits achieved	N/A				
Indicative minimum standard(s) level	N/A				
omments/notes:					



Man04 Stakeholder Participation

No. of BREEAM credits available	4		Available contributio	n to overall score	2.18%
No. of BREEAM innovation credits available	0		Minimum standards applicable		Yes
re-Assessment question/criteria		Response	Credits available	Indicative credits achieved	Shell & Core option?
Will an appropriate level of consultation activit		No	1	0	N/A
Will an access statement be developed and appropriate building user		Yes	1	1	N/A
Will building user guides and relevant user inform		Yes	1	1	N/A
Will a post occupancy evaluation assessment be undertaken and informa	ition disseminated?	No	1	0	N/A
Total indicative BREEAM credits achieved Total indicative contribution to overall building score					
Total indicative BREEAM innovation credits achieved					
Indicative minimum standard(s) level		sult indicates the	minimum standards	for Outstanding lev	/el
omments/notes:					



Man05 Life cycle cost and service life planning

	No. of BREEAM credits available	3	Available contribution to overall score		1.64%	
	No. of BREEAM innovation credits available	0	Minimum standards applicable			No
re-Assessment question/criteria			Response	Credits available	Indicative credits achieved	Shell & Core option?
	Will a feasibility stage Life Cycle Cost (LCC) analysis be commissioned	and completed?	No	1	0	N/A
	Will a strategic and system level LCC be commissioned	and completed?	No	1	0	N/A
	Will a technical design LCC to be commissioned	l and completed?	No	1	0	N/A
	Total indicative BREEAM credits achieved Total indicative contribution to overall building score Total indicative BREEAM innovation credits achieved	0 0.00% N/A				
	Indicative minimum standard(s) level	N/A				
Comments/notes:						



HEALTH & WELLBEING	Section Weighting	15.00%		Indicative	Section Score	4.82%
lea01 Visual Comfort						
	No. of BREEAM credits available	3		Available contribution	on to overall score	3.21%
	No. of BREEAM innovation credits available	1		Minimum sta	ndards applicable	Yes
					Indicative credits	Shell & Core
re-Assessment question/criteria			Response	Credits available	achieved	option?
	Will all fluorescent lamps be fitted with high fr	requency ballasts?	Yes	N/A	N/A	N/A
Will all relevant build	ing areas be designed to achieve the appropriate		No	1		N/A
Will the de	sign provide adequate glare control and view out f	for building users?	No	1	0	N/A
Will internal/external lighting be specifi	ed in accordance with the relevant CIBSE Guides/	British Standards?	Yes	1	1	N/A
Will all relevant build	ing areas be designed to achieve exemplary level	daylight factor(s)?	No	1	0	N/A
	Total indicative BREEAM credits achieved	1				
Tota	indicative contribution to overall building score	1.07%				
Tota	l indicative BREEAM innovation credits achieved	0				
	Indicative minimum standard(s) level	Pre-Assessment re	sult indicates the	minimum standards	for Outstanding lev	/el
omments/notes:						



Hea02 Indoor Air Quality

No. of BREEAM credits available	4	ŀ	Available contribution	on to overall score	4.29%
No. of BREEAM innovation credits available	0		Minimum sta	andards applicable	No
Pre-Assessment question/criteria		Response	Credits available	Indicative credits achieved	Shell & Core option?
Will an air quality pl	Will an air quality plan be produced?		1	0	N/A
Will the building be designed to minimise sources of inter	nal air pollution?	No	1	O	N/A
Will the relevant products be specified to meet the VOC testing and emission	levels required?	No	1	0	N/A
Will formaldehyde and total VOC levels be measured po	st construction?	No	1	0	N/A
Will the building be designed to, or have the potential to provide, nat	ural ventilation?	No	1	0	N/A

Total indicative BREEAM credits achieved	0
Total indicative contribution to overall building score	0.00%
Total indicative BREEAM innovation credits achieved	N/A
Indicative minimum standard(s) level	N/A

Comments/notes:		



Hea03 Thermal Comfort

	No. of BREEAM credits available	2		Available contribution	on to overall score	2.14%
	No. of BREEAM innovation credits available	0		Minimum sta	ndards applicable	No
re-Assessment question/criteria			Response	Credits available	Indicative credits achieved	Shell & Core option?
	Will thermal modelling of the desi		No	1	0	N/A
	Will the modelling inform the development of a thermal zoning and	I control strategy?	No	1	0	N/A
	Total indicative BREEAM credits achieved	0				
	Total indicative contribution to overall building score	0.00%				
	Total indicative BREEAM innovation credits achieved	N/A				
	Indicative minimum standard(s) level	N/A				
omments/notes:						



Hea04	Water Q	uality
-------	---------	--------

No. of BREEAM credits available	1	Available contribution to overall score			1.07%
No. of BREEAM innovation credits available			Minimum sta	ndards applicable	Yes
Pre-Assessment question/criteria		Response	Credits available	Indicative credits achieved	Shell & Core option?
Will all water systems be designed to comply with the relevant HSE Approved Code of Practi Where humidification is to be provided, will a failsafe humidification sys Will a wholesome supply of accessible, clean and fresh drinking water be supplied f	tem be specified?	Yes N/A Yes	1	0.5	N/A N/A Option 2
Total indicative BREEAM credits achieved Total indicative contribution to overall building score Total indicative BREEAM innovation credits achieved Indicative minimum standard(s) level	0.5 0.54% N/A Pre-Assessment res	sult indicates the	minimum standards	for Outstanding le	vel
comments/notes:					



Hea05 Acoustic Performance

No. of BREEAM credits available	2	Available contribution to overall score		2.14%	
No. of BREEAM innovation credits available	0		Minimum standards applicable		No
e-Assessment question/criteria		Response	Credits available	Indicative credits achieved	Shell & Core option?
Will/has a suitably qualified acoustician be appointed to provide appropri		Yes		1	
Will the building meet the relevant acoustic performance standards and test	ting requirements?	Yes	2	1	N/A
Total indicative BREEAM credits achieved	1				
Total indicative contribution to overall building score	1.07%				
Total indicative BREEAM innovation credits achieved	N/A				
Indicative minimum standard(s) level	N/A				
mments/notes:					



Hea06 Safety and Security

No. of BREEAM credits available	2	P	Available contribution	on to overall score	2.14%
No. of BREEAM innovation credits available	0		Minimum sta	andards applicable	No
				Indicative credits	Shell & Core
Pre-Assessment question/criteria		Response	Credits available	achieved	option?
Where external site areas are present, will safe access be designed for pedes	strians and cyclists?	Yes	1	1	N/A
Will a suitably qualified security consultant be appointed and security consideration	ons accounted for?	Yes	1	1	N/A
Total indicative BREEAM credits achieved	2				
Total indicative contribution to overall building score	2.14%				
Total indicative BREEAM innovation credits achieved	N/A				
Indicative minimum standard(s) level	N/A				
Comments/notes:					



ERGY	Section W	eighting 19.00 ^r	% Indicative Section Score	10.81%
01 Reduction o	of CO ₂ Emissions			
	No. of BREEAM credits	s available 15	Available contribution to overall score	9.83%
	No. of BREEAM innovation credits	s available 5	Minimum standards applicable	Yes
	How do you wish to assess the number of BREEAM credits achieved for t	this issue? Define a tar	get number of BREEAM credits achieved	
	Select the target number of BREEAM credits for the Er		BREEAM Innovation credits	



	Total indicative BREEAM credits achieved	8	
	Total indicative contribution to overall building score	5.24%	
	Total indicative BREEAM innovation credits achieved	0	
	Indicative minimum standard(s) level	Pre-Assessment re	esult indicates the minimum standards for Excellent le
omments/notes:			



Ene02	Energy	Mo	nito	ring

No. of BREEAM credits available	2	Available contribution to overall score			1.31%
No. of BREEAM innovation credits available	0	Minimum standards applicable		Yes	
Pre-Assessment question/criteria		Response	Credits available	Indicative credits achieved	Shell & Core option?
Will a BMS or sub-meters be specified to monitor energy use from major buildin	g services systems?	Yes	1	0.5	Option 2
Will a BMS or sub-meters be specified to monitor energy use by tenant/build	ding function areas?	Yes	1	1	N/A
Total indicative BREEAM credits achieved Total indicative contribution to overall building score Total indicative BREEAM innovation credits achieved Indicative minimum standard(s) level	0.98% N/A	osult indicator the	minimum standardard	s for Outstanding le	ng.
Comments/notes:	, re rissessiment re			o ror Catotamam ₈ re	



No. of BREEAM credits available	1	Į.	Available contribution	on to overall score	0.66%
No. of BREEAM innovation credits available	0		Minimum standards applicable		
Assessment question/criteria		Response	Credits available	Indicative credits achieved	Shell & Cor option?
Will external light fittings and controls be specified in accordance with the E	REEAM criteria?	Yes	1	1	N/A
Total indicative BREEAM credits achieved	1				
Total indicative contribution to overall building score	0.66%				
Total indicative BREEAM innovation credits achieved	N/A				
Indicative minimum standard(s) level	N/A				
iments/notes:					



Eneu4 Low and Zero Carbon Technol	ogy					
	No. of BREEAM credits available	5	ļ	Available contribution	on to overall score	3.28%
	No. of BREEAM innovation credits available	1		Minimum sta	andards applicable	Yes
					Indicative credits	Shell & Core
re-Assessment question/criteria			Response	Credits available	achieved	option?
	Compliant LZC feasibility study	y to be undertaken	Yes	2	1	N/A
What will be the intended scope of the feasibility study?			Operational stage	e carbon savings/en	nissions	
	Target percentage net reduction in operational st	age CO2 emissions	11.00%	2	1	N/A
Please confirm the intended energy source of the Low and/or zero carbon system?		Combination of one or more LZC energy sources				
		Please select	No	1	0	N/A
	Total indicative BREEAM credits achieved	2				
	Total indicative BREEAW Cledits achieved Total indicative contribution to overall building score					
	Total indicative BREEAM innovation credits achieved	0				
		-	esult indicates the	minimum standards	s for Outstanding le	vel
comments/notes:						



Ene05 Energy Efficient Cold Storage

No. of BREEAM credits available	2	Available contribution to overall score	1.31%
No. of BREEAM innovation credits available	1	Minimum standards applicable	No

Indicative credits Shell & Core

Pre-Assessment question/criteria

Will the refrigeration system be designed, installed and commissioned in accrodance with BREEAM criteria?

Will the refrigeration system demonstrate a saving in indirect greenhouse gas emissions?

Will the refrigeration system be a type described as a 'Future Technology' in the Refrigeration Road Map?

Response	Credits available	achieved	option?
Yes	1	0.5	Option 2
Yes	1	0.5	Option 2
No	1	0	N/A

Total indicative BREEAM credits achieved	1
Total indicative contribution to overall building score	0.66%
Total indicative BREEAM innovation credits achieved	0
Indicative minimum standard(s) level	N/A

comments/notes:



Ene06 Energy Efficient Transportation Systems

No. of BREEAM credits available	2		Available contribution	on to overall score	1.31%
No. of BREEAM innovation credits available	No. of BREEAM innovation credits available 0 Minimum stand		ndards applicable	N/A	
Pre-Assessment question/criteria		Response	Credits available	Indicative credits achieved	Shell & Core option?
Will a transportation system analysis be carried out to determine the optimum numb	er and size of lifts?	Yes	1	1	N/A
Will three energy-efficient features offering the greatest potential energy savings be p	part of the system?	Yes	1	1	N/A
Total indicative BREEAM credits achieved Total indicative contribution to overall building score Total indicative BREEAM innovation credits achieved Indicative minimum standard(s) level	2 1.31% N/A N/A				
Comments/notes:					



	No. of BREEAM credits available	N/A		Available contribution		N/A
	No. of BREEAM innovation credits available	N/A		Minimum sta	andards applicable	N/A
e-Assessment question/criteria			Response	Credits available	Indicative credits achieved	Shell & Core option?
				1		
				+		
	Total indicative BREEAM credits achieved	N/A				
Ţ	otal indicative ontribution to overall building score	N/A				
	otal indicative BREEAM innovation credits achieved	N/A				
	Indicative minimum standard(s) level	N/A	i			
mments/notes:						



Ene08 Energy Efficient Equipment

No. of BREEAM credits available	2		Available contributi	on to overall score	1.31%
No. of BREEAM innovation credits available	0	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		andards applicable	No
NO. OF DIVERNIT ITHIOVATION CLEUITS AVAILABLE	U		IVIIIIIIIIIIII 300	aridards applicable	NO
			Significant		
re-Assessment question/criteria			majority		
hich of the following will be present and likely to be a/the major contributor to 'unregulated' energy use:		Present	contributor		
Small power/plu	g in equipment?	Yes	No		
	Swimming pool?	No			
Com	nmunal laundry?	No			
	Data centre?	No			
	pperation areas?	No			
Re	esidential areas?	No			
Vitaban and as	Healthcare?	No	Vas		
KILCHEN AND CA	itering facilities?	Yes	Yes		
		Indicative		Indicative credits	Shell & Core
		compliance?	Credits available	achieved	option?
Will the significant majority contributor(s) to 'unregulated' energy use (above) meet the B	REEAM criteria?	Yes	2	1	Option 2
			-11		•
Total indicative BREEAM credits achieved	1				
Total indicative contribution to overall building score	0.66%				
Total indicative BREEAM innovation credits achieved	N/A				
Indicative minimum standard(s) level	N/A				
	·				
omments/notes:					



No. of BREEAM credits available	N/A		Available contribution	on to overall score	N/A
No. of BREEAM innovation credits available	N/A	Minimum standards applicable		Minimum standards applicable	
				Indicative credits	Shell & Cor
-Assessment question/criteria		Response	Credits available	achieved	option?
Total indicative BREEAM credits achieved	N/A				
Total indicative contribution to overall building score	N/A				
Total indicative BREEAM innovation credits achieved	N/A				
Indicative minimum standard(s) level	N/A				
mments/notes:					



BREEAM 2011 New Construction Pre-Assessment Estimator TRANSPORT Section Weighting 8.00% **Indicative Section Score** 6.22% Tra01 Public Transport Accessibility No. of BREEAM credits available Available contribution to overall score 2.67% No. of BREEAM innovation credits available Minimum standards applicable No Pre-Assessment question/criteria What is the building type category (for the purpose of Tra01 issue assessment)? Business (office/industrial) What is the degree of public transport provision for the building's location? Excellent provision of public transport, i.e. large urban/metropolitan city centre Building's indicative Accessibility Index Does the building have a dedicated bus service? Total indicative BREEAM credits achieved 3 Total indicative contribution to overall building score 2.67% Total indicative BREEAM innovation credits achieved N/A Indicative minimum standard(s) level N/A Comments/notes:



	No. of BREEAM credits available	1	Available contribution to overall score		ore 0.89%	
	No. of BREEAM innovation credits available	0	Minimum standards applicable		le No	
					Indicative credits	Shell & Core
re-Assessment question/criteria			Response	Credits available	achieved	option?
	Will the building be in close proximity of and accessible to appli	cable amenities?	Yes	1	1	N/A
	Total indicative BREEAM credits achieved	1				
	Total indicative contribution to overall building score	0.89%				
	Total indicative BREEAM innovation credits achieved	N/A				
	Indicative minimum standard(s) level	N/A				
Comments/notes:						
ioniments, notes.						



m standards applicable	No
Indicative credits	Shell & Co
able achieved	option?
0	N/A
	N/A



No. of BREEAM credits available	2		Available contribution	on to overall score	1.78%
No. of BREEAM innovation credits available	0		Minimum sta	andards applicable	No
Building type category (for the purpose o	of Tra04 issue)?	Business - offices	, Industrial		
Buildings indicative Accessibility Index (sourced fro	om issue Tra01)	18			
sessment question/criteria		Response	Credits available	Indicative credits achieved	Shell & Coroption?
Will the building meet BREEAM's maximum parking capacity criteria for this building type/Acce	essibility Index?	Yes	2	2	N/A
Total indicative BREEAM credits achieved	2				
Total indicative contribution to overall building score	1.78%				
Total indicative BREEAM innovation credits achieved	N/A				
Indicative minimum standard(s) level	N/A				
ents/notes:					
2.04					



	No. of BREEAM credits available	1	Available contribution to overall score Minimum standards applicable		0.89%	
	No. of BREEAM innovation credits available	0			No	
					Indicative credits	Shell & Cor
e-Assessment question/criteria			Response	Credits available	achieved	option?
	Will a transport plan based on site specific travel survey/assessme	nt be developed?	Yes	1	1	N/A
	Total indicative BREEAM credits achieved	1				
	Total indicative contribution to overall building score	0.89%				
	Total indicative BREEAM innovation credits achieved	N/A				
	Indicative minimum standard(s) level	N/A				
omments/notes:						
omments/notes.						



VATER	Section Weighting	6.00%	Indicative Section Score	3.38%
Vat01 Water Consumptio	n			
	No. of BREEAM credits available	5	Available contribution to overall score	3.75%
	No. of BREEAM innovation credits available	1	Minimum standards applicable	Yes
				Shell & Cor option?
	Select the level that corresponds closely to the target or likely water compor	ent specification?	Level 2 - Two credits	Option 3
	Total indicative BREEAM credits achieved	2		
	Total indicative contribution to overall building score	1.50%		
	Total indicative BREEAM innovation credits achieved	0		
	Indicative minimum standard(s) level	Pre-Assessment re	esult indicates the minimum standards for Outstanding le	vel
omments/notes:				
omments/notes.				



Wat02 Water I	Monitoring
---------------	------------

No. of BREEAM credits available	1		Available contribution	on to overall score	0.75%
No. of BREEAM innovation credits available	0		Minimum sta	ndards applicable	Yes
				Indicative credits	Shell & Cor
Assessment question/criteria	_	Response	Credits available	achieved	option?
Will there be a water meter on the mains water supply		Yes	1	1	N/A
Will metering/monitoring equipment be specified on the water supply to any relevant pla	nt/building areas?	Yes			
Will all specified water meters have		Yes			
If the site/building has an existing BMS connection, will all pulsed meters be connection.	ected to the BMS?	N/A			
Total indicative BREEAM credits achieved	1				
Total indicative contribution to overall building score	0.75%				
Total indicative BREEAM innovation credits achieved	N/A				
Indicative minimum standard(s) level		ult indicates the	minimum standards	for Outstanding le	/el
ments/notes:	TTC ASSESSMENT TCS	are marcates the	Tillimian Standards	Tor Outstanding ic	vei



Wat03 Water Leak Detection and Prevention

No. of BREEAM credits available	2	Д	Available contribution	on to overall score	1.50%
No. of BREEAM innovation credits available	0		Minimum sta	indards applicable	No
Pre-Assessment question/criteria		Response	Credits available	Indicative credits achieved	Shell & Core option?
Will a mains water leak detection system be installed on the building's m	nains water supply?	Yes	1	1	N/A
Will flow control devices be installed in each sar	nitary area/facility?	Yes	1	0.5	Option 2
Total indicative BREEAM credits achieved Total indicative contribution to overall building score Total indicative BREEAM innovation credits achieved Indicative minimum standard(s) level	1.13% N/A				
Comments/notes:					



No. of BREEAM credits available	N/A		Available contribution	on to overall score	N/A
No. of BREEAM innovation credits available	N/A		Minimum sta	indards applicable	N/A
e-Assessment question/criteria		Response	Credits available	Indicative credits achieved	Shell & Cor option?
Total indicative BREEAM credits achieved	N/A				
Total indicative contribution to overall building score	N/A				
Total indicative BREEAM innovation credits achieved	N/A				
Indicative minimum standard(s) level	N/A				
mments/notes:					



BREEAM 2011 New Construction Pre-Assessment Estimator **MATERIALS Section Weighting** 12.50% 7.29% **Indicative Section Score** Mat01 Life Cycle Impacts No. of BREEAM credits available Available contribution to overall score 5.21% No. of BREEAM innovation credits available Minimum standards applicable No Pre-Assessment question/criteria How do you wish to assess the number of BREEAM credits achieved for this issue? Define a target number of BREEAM credits to be achieved Select the number of BREEAM credits being targeted for the Mat01 issue **BREEAM Innovation credits** Total indicative BREEAM credits achieved Total indicative contribution to overall building score 2.08% Total indicative BREEAM innovation credits achieved 0 Indicative minimum standard(s) level N/A Comments/notes:



Mat02 Hard	Landscaping	and Boundary	/ Protection

Mat02 Hard Landscaping and Boundary Protection					
No. of BREEAM credits available	1		Available contribution to overall score		
No. of BREEAM innovation credits available	0	Minimum standards applicable			No
Pre-Assessment question/criteria		Response	Credits available	Indicative credits achieved	Shell & Core option?
Will ≥80% of all external hard landscaping and boundary protection achieve a Green G	uide A or A+ rating?	Yes	1	1	N/A
Total indicative BREEAM credits achieved	1				
Total indicative contribution to overall building score	1.04%				
Total indicative BREEAM innovation credits achieved	N/A				
Indicative minimum standard(s) level	N/A				
Comments/notes:					



BREEAM 2011 New Construction Pre-Assessment Estimator **Mat03 Responsible Sourcing** No. of BREEAM credits available Available contribution to overall score 3.13% No. of BREEAM innovation credits available Minimum standards applicable Yes Pre-Assessment question/criteria How do you wish to assess the number of BREEAM credits achieved for this issue? Define a target number of BREEAM credits Select the number of BREEAM credits being targeted for the Mat03 issue **BREEAM Innovation credits** Will all timber used on the project be sourced in accordance with the UK Govt's Timber Procurement Policy? Yes Total indicative BREEAM credits achieved 1 Total indicative contribution to overall building score 1.04% Total indicative BREEAM innovation credits achieved Indicative minimum standard(s) level Pre-Assessment result indicates the minimum standards for Outstanding level Comments/notes:



Mat04 Insulation

No. of BREEAM credits available	2	F	Available contribution	on to overall score	2.08%
No. of BREEAM innovation credits available	0	Minimum standards applicat		ndards applicable	No
Pre-Assessment question/criteria		Response	Credits available	Indicative credits achieved	Shell & Core option?
Is the building targeting an insulating i	ndex of 2 or more?	Yes	1	1	N/A
Will the building's insulating materials be re		Yes	1	1	N/A
Total indicative BREEAM credits achieved Total indicative contribution to overall building score Total indicative BREEAM innovation credits achieved Indicative minimum standard(s) level	2.08% N/A				
Comments/notes:					



No. of BREEAM credits available	1		Available contribution	on to overall score	1.04%
No. of BREEAM innovation credits available 0	Minimum sta	andards applicable	N/A		
Assessment question/criteria		Response	Credits available	Indicative credits achieved	Shell & Co option?
Will suitable durability/protection measures be specified and installed to vulnerable area	s of the building?	Yes	1	1	N/A
Total indicative BREEAM credits achieved	1				
Total indicative contribution to overall building score	1.04%				
Total indicative BREEAM innovation credits achieved	N/A				
Indicative minimum standard(s) level	N/A				
iments/notes:					



BREEAM 2011 New Construction Pre-Assessment Estimator WASTE **Section Weighting** 7.50% 5.36% **Indicative Section Score Wst01 Construction Waste Management** No. of BREEAM credits available Available contribution to overall score 4.29% No. of BREEAM innovation credits available Minimum standards applicable Yes Pre-Assessment question/criteria How do you wish to assess the number of BREEAM credits achieved for this issue? Define a target number of BREEAM credits to be achieved Select the number of BREEAM credits being targeted for the Wst01 issue **BREEAM Innovation credits** Total indicative BREEAM credits achieved Total indicative contribution to overall building score 2.14% Total indicative BREEAM innovation credits achieved Indicative minimum standard(s) level Pre-Assessment result indicates the minimum standards for Outstanding level Comments/notes:



BREEAM 2011 New Construction Pre-Assessment Estimator Wst02 Recycled Aggregates No. of BREEAM credits available Available contribution to overall score 1.07% No. of BREEAM innovation credits available Minimum standards applicable No Pre-Assessment question/criteria How do you wish to assess the number of BREEAM credits achieved for this issue? Define a target number of BREEAM credits to be achieved Select the number of BREEAM credits being targeted for the Wst02 issue **BREEAM Innovation credits** 1 0 Total indicative BREEAM credits achieved 1 Total indicative contribution to overall building score 1.07% Total indicative BREEAM innovation credits achieved 0 Indicative minimum standard(s) level N/A Comments/notes:



Wst03 Operational Waste

No. of BREEAM credits available	1		Available contribution	on to overall score	1.07%
	1				
No. of BREEAM innovation credits available	0		Minimum sta	andards applicable	Yes
Pre-Assessment question/criteria		Response	Credits available	Indicative credits achieved	Shell & Core option?
Will appropriate facilities for the storage of operational recyclable waste volu	umes be provided?	Yes	1	1	N/A
If relevant, will a static waste compactor(s) or baler(s) be s	pecified/installed?	N/A			N/A
If relevant, will a vessel for composting suitable organic waste be s	pecified/installed?	N/A			N/A
Total indicative BREEAM credits achieved	1				
	1.070/				
Total indicative contribution to overall building score	1.07%				
Total indicative BREEAM innovation credits achieved	N/A				
Indicative minimum standard(s) level	Pre-Assessment re	sult indicates the	e minimum standard	s for Outstanding le	vel

\cap	٦m	me	nts/	notes



No. of BREEAM credits available	1	Available contribution to overall score			1.07%
No. of BREEAM innovation credits available	0			indards applicable	No
NO. OF DIVERNIT HITTOVALION CIECUIS AVAILABLE	0		IVIIIIIIIIIIII Sta	inual us applicable	NO
				Indicative credits	Shell & Core
Pre-Assessment question/criteria		Response	Credits available	achieved	option?
The building's occupant(s)/tenant(s) will specify floor/ceiling finishes		Yes	1	1	N/A
Total indicative BREEAM credits achieved	1				
Total indicative contribution to overall building score	1.07%				
Total indicative BREEAM innovation credits achieved	N/A				
Indicative minimum standard(s) level	N/A				
Comments/notes:					



ND USE & ECOLOGY	Section Weighting	10.00%	Indicative Section Score			6.00%
1 Site Selection						
	No. of BREEAM credits available	2		Available contribution	on to overall score	2.00%
	No. of BREEAM innovation credits available	0		Minimum standards applicable		
Assessment question/criteria			Response	Credits available	Indicative credits achieved	Shell & Cor option?
Will at least 75% of the proposed	development's footprint be located on previously been		No	1	0	N/A
	Is the site deemed to be significantly	y contaminated?	No	1	0	N/A
	Total indicative BREEAM credits achieved	0				
	Total indicative contribution to overall building score	0.00%				
	Total indicative BREEAM innovation credits achieved	N/A				
	Indicative minimum standard(s) level	N/A				
nments/notes:						



LEO2 Ecological Value of Site and Protection of Ecological Features					
No. of BREEAM credits available	1	ļ	Available contributi	1.00%	
No. of BREEAM innovation credits available	0		Minimum sta	andards applicable	No
Pre-Assessment question/criteria		Response	Credits available	Indicative credits achieved	Shell & Core option?
Can the land within the construction zone be defined as 'land of low Will all features of ecological value surrounding the construction zone/site bound		Yes No	1	0	N/A
will all leatures of ecological value surrounding the construction zone/site bound	dary be protected?	INO			N/A
Total indicative BREEAM credits achieved	0				
Total indicative contribution to overall building score	0.00%				
Total indicative BREEAM innovation credits achieved	N/A				
Indicative minimum standard(s) level	N/A				
Comments/notes:					
commental notes.					



LE03 Mitigating Ecological Impact

No. of BREEAM credits available	2	Available contribution to overall score	2.00%
No. of BREEAM innovation credits available	0	Minimum standards applicable	Yes

Pre-Assessment question/criteria			
What is the likely change in eco	ological value (plant species richness) as a result of the	sites development?	No negative change or improvement in plant species richness
	Total indicative BREEAM credits achieved	2	
	Total indicative contribution to overall building score	2.00%	
	Total indicative BREEAM innovation credits achieved	N/A	
	Indicative minimum standard(s) level	Pre-Assessment re	esult indicates the minimum standards for Outstanding level
Comments/notes:			
,			



No. of BREEAM credits available	3	Available contribution to overall score			3.00%
No. of BREEAM innovation credits available	0	Minimum standards applicable			No
Assessment guestion/criteria		Response	Credits available	Indicative credits achieved	Shell & Cor
	ina sita analami			acilieveu	
Will a suitably qualified ecologist be appointed to report on enhancing and protect		Yes Yes	3	2	N/A
Will the suitably qualified ecologists general recommendations be impleme What is the targeted/intended improvement in ecological value as a result of enhancement ac					
what is the targeted/interface improvement in ecological value as a result of criman	icement actions:	Sman improvem	ent in plant species	Ticiliic33	
Total indicative BREEAM credits achieved	2				
Total indicative contribution to overall building score	2.00%				
Total indicative BREEAM innovation credits achieved	N/A				
Indicative minimum standard(s) level	N/A				
ments/notes:					



No. of BREEAM innovation credits available -Assessment question/criteria Will the building meet BREEAM's mandatory criteria for this BREEAI Will a Biodiversity Champion be appointed to monitor/minimise impacts of site activities on biod				indards applicable	No
Will the building meet BREEAM's mandatory criteria for this BREEAI Will a Biodiversity Champion be appointed to monitor/minimise impacts of site activities on biod	Missue?				
Will a Biodiversity Champion be appointed to monitor/minimise impacts of site activities on biod	M iccura?	Response	Credits available	Indicative credits achieved	Shell & Coroption?
	_	Yes	2	2	N/A
		Yes			
Will the contractor provide training for the site workforce on how to protect ecology during the		Yes	_		
Will the contractor record actions to protect biodiversity and monitor their effectiveness during const	_	Yes	_		
Will a new ecologically valuable habitat, appropriate to the local area, be o	_	Yes	4		
Where flora/fauna habitats exist on site, will the contractor programme site works to minimise distu	arbance:	No	7		
Total indicative BREEAM credits achieved 2	2				
Total indicative contribution to overall building score 2.00	00%				
Total indicative BREEAM innovation credits achieved N/	/A				
Indicative minimum standard(s) level N/	/A				
nments/notes:					



No. of BREEAM credits available No. of BREEAM innovation credits available Pre-Assessment question/criteria Will refrigerant containing systems be installed in the assessed building? Is the Global Warming Potential of the specified refrigerant(s) likely to be 10 or less? What is the target range Direct Effect Life Cycle CO ₂ eq. emissions for the system? Will a refrigerant leak detection and containment system be specified/installed? Total indicative BREEAM credits achieved 1.5 Total indicative credits Acredits available Credits available Credits available Acredits available Yes 2 1 4 4 4 4 4 5 4 5 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	6.54%	e Section Score	Indicative		10.00%	Section Weighting	POLLUTION
No. of BREEAM innovation credits available No. of BREEAM innovation credits available Response Will refrigerant containing systems be installed in the assessed building? Is the Global Warming Potential of the specified refrigerant(s) likely to be 10 or less? What is the target range Direct Effect Life Cycle CO2eq. emissions for the system? Will a refrigerant leak detection and containment system be specified/installed? Total indicative BREEAM credits achieved Total indicative contribution to overall building score Indicative minimum standard(s) level N/A Indicative minimum standard(s) level N/A							ol01 Impact of Refrigerants
Indicative credits achieved Will refrigerant containing systems be installed in the assessed building? Is the Global Warming Potential of the specified refrigerant(s) likely to be 10 or less? What is the target range Direct Effect Life Cycle CO ₂ eq. emissions for the system? Will a refrigerant leak detection and containment system be specified/installed? Total indicative BREEAM credits achieved Total indicative contribution to overall building score Total indicative BREEAM innovation credits achieved Indicative minimum standard(s) level N/A	2.31%	on to overall score	Available contributio		3	No. of BREEAM credits available	
Will refrigerant containing systems be installed in the assessed building? Is the Global Warming Potential of the specified refrigerant(s) likely to be 10 or less? What is the target range Direct Effect Life Cycle CO ₂ eq. emissions for the system? Will a refrigerant leak detection and containment system be specified/installed? Total indicative BREEAM credits achieved Total indicative contribution to overall building score Indicative BREEAM innovation credits achieved N/A Indicative minimum standard(s) level N/A	No	ndards applicable	Minimum sta		0	No. of BREEAM innovation credits available	
Is the Global Warming Potential of the specified refrigerant(s) likely to be 10 or less? What is the target range Direct Effect Life Cycle CO ₂ eq. emissions for the system? Will a refrigerant leak detection and containment system be specified/installed? Total indicative BREEAM credits achieved Total indicative contribution to overall building score Total indicative BREEAM innovation credits achieved Indicative minimum standard(s) level N/A	Shell & Core option?	Indicative credits achieved		Response			re-Assessment question/criteria
What is the target range Direct Effect Life Cycle CO2eq. emissions for the system? Will a refrigerant leak detection and containment system be specified/installed? Total indicative BREEAM credits achieved Total indicative contribution to overall building score Total indicative BREEAM innovation credits achieved Indicative minimum standard(s) level N/A	Option 2	1	2	Yes			
Will a refrigerant leak detection and containment system be specified/installed? Total indicative BREEAM credits achieved 1.5 Total indicative contribution to overall building score 1.15% Total indicative BREEAM innovation credits achieved N/A Indicative minimum standard(s) level N/A				Yes			
Total indicative BREEAM credits achieved Total indicative contribution to overall building score Total indicative BREEAM innovation credits achieved Indicative minimum standard(s) level N/A		th capacity	kgCO2eq/kW coolt		s for the system?	What is the target range Direct Effect Life Cycle CO₂eq. emission	
Total indicative contribution to overall building score 1.15% Total indicative BREEAM innovation credits achieved Indicative minimum standard(s) level N/A	Option 2	0.5	1	Yes	ecified/installed?	Will a refrigerant leak detection and containment system be sp	
Total indicative BREEAM innovation credits achieved N/A Indicative minimum standard(s) level N/A					1.5	Total indicative BREEAM credits achieved	
Indicative minimum standard(s) level N/A					1.15%	Total indicative contribution to overall building score	
			I		N/A	Total indicative BREEAM innovation credits achieved	
			-		N/A	Indicative minimum standard(s) level	
							omments/notes:



BREEAM 2011 New Construction Pre-Assessment Estimator Pol02 NO_x Emissions No. of BREEAM credits available Available contribution to overall score 2.31% No. of BREEAM innovation credits available Minimum standards applicable No Shell & Core Pre-Assessment question/criteria option? Response Please enter the target/maximum NO_x emission level for space heating system 39.00 mg/kWh N/A Total indicative BREEAM credits achieved 3 Total indicative contribution to overall building score 2.31% Total indicative BREEAM innovation credits achieved N/A Indicative minimum standard(s) level N/A Comments/notes:



Pol03 Surface Water Run off

No. of BREEAM credits available	5	,	Available contributio	on to overall score	3.85%
No. of BREEAM innovation credits available	0		Minimum sta	ndards applicable	No
re-Assessment question/criteria		Response	Credits available	Indicative credits achieved	Shell & Core option?
What is the actual/likely annual probability of flooding for t Will a compliant Flood Risk Assessmen	-	Low Yes	2	2	N/A N/A
Will the site meet the BREEAM criteria for peak rate surfa	-	No	1	0	N/A
Will the site meet the criteria for surface water run off volume, attenuation and/or li		No	1	0	N/A
Will the site be designed to minimise watercourse pollution in accordance with the	BREEAM criteria?	No	1	0	N/A
Total indicative BREEAM credits achieved	2				
Total indicative contribution to overall building score	1.54%				
Total indicative BREEAM innovation credits achieved	N/A				
Indicative minimum standard(s) level	N/A				
Comments/notes:					
onnents/notes.					



Pol04 Reduction	n of Night	Time Light	Pollution

	No. of BREEAM credits available	1		Available contribution	on to overall score	0.77%
	No. of BREEAM innovation credits available	0		Minimum sta	indards applicable	No
re-Assessment question/criteria			Response	Credits available	Indicative credits achieved	Shell & Core option?
	Will the external lighting be designed to redu	ce light pollution?	Yes	1	1	N/A
	Total indicative BREEAM credits achieved	1				
	Total indicative contribution to overall building score	0.77%				
	Total indicative BREEAM innovation credits achieved	N/A				
	Indicative minimum standard(s) level	N/A				
omments/notes:						
·						



Pol05 Noise Attenuation

No. of BREEAM credits available	1		Available contribution	on to overall score	0.77%
No. of BREEAM innovation credits available	0		Minimum sta	ndards applicable	No
				Indicative credits	Shell & Cor
ssessment question/criteria		Response	Credits available	achieved	option?
Will there be, or is there noise-sensitive areas/buildings within 800m radius of t	he development?	Yes	1	1	
Will a noise impact assessment be completed and, if applicable, noise attenuation me	asures specified?	Yes			N/A
Total indicative BREEAM credits achieved	1				
Total indicative contribution to overall building score	0.77%				
Total indicative BREEAM innovation credits achieved	N/A				
Indicative minimum standard(s) level	N/A				
nents/notes:					



NOVATION	Section Weighting	10.00%		mulcative	e Section Score	0.00%
n01 Innovation						
	No. of BREEAM innovation credits available	10	A	Available contribution		10.00%
				Minimum sta	ndards applicable	No
e-Assessment question/criteria			Exemplary level achieved	Credits available	Indicative credits achieved	
	Man01 Sustaina	ble Procurement	No	1	0	
	Man02 Responsible Const	ruction Practices	No	1	0	
		1 Visual Comfort		1	0	
	Ene01 Reduction		No No	5	0	
	Ene04 Low and Zero Carbon Technology			1	0	
	Ene05 Energy Efficient Cold Storage			1	0	
	Wat01 Water Consumption			1	0	
	Mat01 Life Cycle Impacts Mat03 Responsible Sourcing of Materials Wst01 Construction Waste Management			1	0	
				1	0	
		ycled Aggregates	No No	1	0	
					,	
	Total indicative BREEAM credits achieved	0				
Tota	indicative contribution to overall building score	0.00%				
	Indicative minimum standard(s) level	N/A				
mments/notes:						

BREEAM 2011 New Construction Assessment Report: Rating & Key Performance Indicators

This assessment and indicative BREEAM rating is not a formal certified BREEAM assessment or rating and must not be communicated as such. The score presented is indicative of a buildings potential performance and is based on a simplified pre-formal BREEAM assessment and unverified commitments given at an early stage in the design process.

Overall Indicative Building Performance

Building name	5171 - Midland Crescent (Commercial)
Indicative building score (%)	58.60%
Indicative BREEAM rating	Pre-Assessment result indicates potential for BREEAM Very Good rating
Indicative minimum standards level achieved	Pre-Assessment result indicates the minimum standards for Excellent level

			14.75	
	Indicative no.	Indicative no.	Indicative contribution to	
anagement	credits available		score	Minimum standards level achieved
Man01 Sustainable Procurement	8.0	7.0	3.82%	Pre-Assessment result indicates the minimum standards for Outstanding level
Man02 Responsible Construction Practices	2.0	2.0	1.09%	Pre-Assessment result indicates the minimum standards for Outstanding level
Man03 Construction Site Impacts	5.0	4.0	2.18%	N/A
Man04 Stakeholder Participation	4.0	2.0	1.09%	Pre-Assessment result indicates the minimum standards for Outstanding level
Man05 Life cycle cost and service life planning	3.0	0.0	0.00%	N/A
Total indicative environmental section performance	22.0	15.0	8.18%	
ealth & Wellbeing	2.0		4.070/	
Hea01 Visual Comfort Hea02 Indoor Air Quality	3.0 4.0	0.0	1.07% 0.00%	Pre-Assessment result indicates the minimum standards for Outstanding level
Hea03 Thermal Comfort	2.0	0.0	0.00%	N/A N/A
Hea04 Water Quality	1.0	0.5	0.54%	Pre-Assessment result indicates the minimum standards for Outstanding level
Hea05 Acoustic Performance	2.0	1.0	1.07%	N/A
Hea06 Safety and Security	2.0	2.0	2.14%	N/A
Total indicative environmental section performance	14.0	4.5	4.82%	
nergy				
Ene01 Reduction of CO2 Emissions	15.0	8.0	5.24%	Pre-Assessment result indicates the minimum standards for Excellent level
Ene02 Energy Monitoring	2.0	1.5	0.98%	Pre-Assessment result indicates the minimum standards for Outstanding level
Ene03 External Lighting	1.0	1.0	0.66%	N/A
Ene04 Low and Zero Carbon Technology	5.0	2.0	1.31%	Pre-Assessment result indicates the minimum standards for Outstanding level
Ene05 Energy Efficient Cold Storage	2.0	1.0	0.66%	N/A
Ene06 Energy Efficient Transportation Systems	2.0	2.0	1.31%	N/A
Ene07 Energy Efficient Laboratory Systems	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Ene08 Energy Efficient Equipment	2.0	1.0	0.66%	N/A
Ene09 Drying Space	N/A 29.0	N/A 16.50	N/A 10.81%	N/A
Total indicative environmental section performance	29.0	16.50	10.81%	
ransport Taron Dublic Tarons of Association	2.0	2.0	2.670/	N/A
Tra01 Public Transport Accessibility Tra02 Proximity to Amenities	3.0 1.0	3.0 1.0	2.67%	N/A N/A
Tra03 Cyclist facilities	2.0	0.0	0.89%	N/A
Tra04 Maximum Car Parking Capacity	2.0	2.0	1.78%	N/A
Tra05 Travel Plan	1.0	1.0	0.89%	N/A
Total indicative environmental section performance	9.0	7.0	6.22%	
/ater				
Wat01 Water Consumption	5.0	2.0	1.50%	Pre-Assessment result indicates the minimum standards for Outstanding level
Wat02 Water Monitoring	1.0	1.0	0.75%	Pre-Assessment result indicates the minimum standards for Outstanding level
Wat03 Water Leak Detection and Prevention	2.0	1.5	1.13%	N/A
Wat04 Water Efficient Equipment	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total indicative environmental section performance	8.0	4.5	3.38%	
laterials				
Mat01 Life Cycle Impacts	5.0	2.0	2.08%	N/A
Mat02 Hard Landscaping and Boundary Protection	1.0	1.0	1.04%	N/A
Mat03 Responsible Sourcing	3.0	1.0	1.04%	Pre-Assessment result indicates the minimum standards for Outstanding level
Mat04 Insulation	2.0	2.0	2.08%	N/A
Mat05 Designing for Robustness	1.0	1.0	1.04%	N/A
Total indicative environmental section performance	12.0	7.00	7.29%	
/aste	4.0	2.0	2 4 404	Dec Assessment and the disease the articles are
Wst01 Construction Waste Management	4.0	2.0 1.0	2.14%	Pre-Assessment result indicates the minimum standards for Outstanding level
Wst02 Recycled Aggregates Wst03 Operational Waste	1.0	1.0	1.07% 1.07%	N/A Pre-Assessment result indicates the minimum standards for Outstanding level
Wst04 Speculative Floor and Ceiling Finishes	1.0	1.0	1.07%	N/A
wsto4 Speculative Floor and Celling Finishes Total indicative environmental section performance		5.00	5.36%	.47.
and Use and Ecology	0	5.00	5.30/0	
LE01 Site Selection	2.0	0.0	0.00%	N/A
LEO2 Ecological Value of Site and Protection of Ecological Features	1.0	0.0	0.00%	N/A
LE03 Mitigating Ecological Impact	2.0	2.0	2.00%	Pre-Assessment result indicates the minimum standards for Outstanding level
LE04 Enhancing Site Ecology	3.0	2.0	2.00%	N/A
LE05 Long Term Impact on Biodiversity	2.0	2.0	2.00%	N/A
Total indicative environmental section performance	10.0	6.00	6.00%	
ollution				
Pol01 Impact of Refrigerants	3.0	1.5	1.15%	N/A
Pol02 NOx Emissions	3.0	3.0	2.31%	N/A
Pol03 Surface Water Run off	5.0	2.0	1.54%	N/A
Pol04 Reduction of Night Time Light Pollution	1.0	1.0	0.77%	N/A
Pol05 Noise Attenuation	1.0	1.0	0.77%	N/A
Total indicative environmental section performance	13.0	8.50	6.54%	
nnovation				
Inn01 Innovation	10.0	0.0	0.00%	N/A
Total indicative environmental section performance	10.0	0.00	0.00%	