

Development Control Planning Services London Borough of Camden Town Hall Argyle Street London WC1H 8ND

Tel 020 7278 4444 Fax 020 7974 1680 Textlink 020 7974 6866

env.devcon@camden.gov.uk www.camden.gov.uk/planning

22 Hanover Square London W1S 1JA

Our Ref: 2013/1543/P

Your Ref:

Please ask for: Jason Traves Telephone: 020 7974 2123

20 March 2013

Dear Ms Roe.

Sarah Roe

Jones Lang LaSalle

Town and Country Planning (Environmental Impact Assessment) (England and Wales) Regulations 2011

Re: Screening Opinion for Former Odeon Site and Rosenheim Building, Grafton Way, WC1E 6DB, for proposed demolition of all structures (buildings and hard standing) and redevelopment for large-scale, mixed-use redevelopment proposal with building height the same or slightly greater that the prevailing heights of the surrounding area and containing medical related technology and apparatus including a proton beam therapy unit

Screening Opinion:

In accordance with the Town and Country Planning (Environmental Impact Assessment)(England and Wales) Regulations 2011 the London Borough of Camden has determined the following: Although this development constitutes an 'Urban Development Project' it falls below the threshold and criteria for Schedule 2 Development. Furthermore the proposal does not fall within the three main types of cases that would need an Environment Statement (ES) having regard to the characteristics of the development, its location and potential impacts, pursuant to the selection criteria of Schedule 3 of the Regulations 2011. This major development is considered to be of not more than local importance, not sited in a particularly environmentally sensitive or vulnerable location and not considered to pose any unusually complex and potentially hazardous environmental effects. Therefore, the proposal does not constitute Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) development and therefore, an ES is not required.

This opinion is based on the level of information provided and is without prejudice to future assessment if it becomes evident (for example, from further information submitted in support of a planning application) that it would be an EIA development.



Yours sincerely

Ed Watson

Assistant Director Planning