

File ✓
copied to
ZC at EH ✓



Development Control
Planning Services
London Borough of Camden
Town Hall
Argyle Street
London WC1H 8ND

Tel 020 7278 4444
Fax 020 7974 1975
Textlink 020 7974 6866

env.devcon@camden.gov.uk
www.camden.gov.uk/planning

Les Broer
RHWL Architects
77 Endell Street
London
WC2H 9DZ

Contact: Sarah Griffiths
Telephone: 020 7974 3231

Date: 19 June 2006

Dear Les,

Re: St Pancras Chambers

Re: Midland Road (external) screen wall - request for this to be omitted from the scheme and considered as a minor amendment

I received yesterday a copy of your minutes of the meeting of 7 June 2006 along with a copy of Arup's executive summary of why the screen wall cannot be built as part of the approved scheme, and a copy of Richard Griffiths's (Architect) key issues for consideration of the proposed parapet (the alternative scheme). Copies of drawings 10166 RG 272 F10 L01, 10166 RG 272 F10 L08 and a revised proposal drawing (no number) were also received. In short, the erection of the screen wall, railings behind and support trusses behind will be omitted from the scheme.

Only draft decisions have been made for both the planning permission (our ref 2004/3319/P) and Listed Building Consent (LBC) ref 2004/3322/L as the decisions are pending the signing of the S106 attached to the planning permission ref 2004/3319/P. However should something be considered to be a minor amendment, this can be made to the planning permission/LBC either before or after formal decisions have been made.

Taking into account the presentation on 7 June and the above-mentioned information, it is considered that the removal of this wall from the proposed scheme would not have a material impact on the use of the space behind it (terrace) or to the use of/internal layout (hotel) and it is considered that its omission would not be materially different to the scheme approved.

As such I am writing to you to confirm that the proposed changes to planning permission ref 2004/3319/P and LBC ref 2004/3322/L, as presented at the 7 June meeting and as described/shown in the above information/drawings, are considered to



June 19, 2006

be a minor amendment. The above-mentioned information/drawings and a copy of this letter will be placed on the files to update our records accordingly.

It was suggested by you and your colleagues at the meeting that further revisions to the approved scheme(s) may be needed shortly. Depending on what is being proposed these could be considered as minor amendments (and therefore agreed through the exchange of letters and drawings) or as revisions (these may need to go back to committee if the S106 has not been signed) or as new applications. I would ask that you keep my replacement Gavin Sexton, Katharine Owen (LBC) and Zoe Croad (EH) updated so that we can advise you accordingly.

I hope this clarifies the situation for you. Please contact me should you wish to discuss further.

Yours faithfully,

Sarah Griffiths
Planning Officer – King's Cross Team

Cc Katharine Owen LBC and Zoe Croad EH