Delegated Re	port A	rt Analysis sheet		Expiry Date:	01/05/2013				
	Ν	N/A / attached		Consultation Expiry Date:	NI/A				
Officer		Application Number(s)							
Aysegul Olcar-Chambe		2013/0729/A							
Application Address		Drawing Numbers							
245 Tottenham Court R London		See draft decision notice							
W1T 7QW									
PO 3/4 Area Tea	am Signature	C&UD	Authorised Of	ficer Signature					
Proposal									
Display of internally illuminated fascia and projecting signs on shopfront of ground floor retail unit (Class A1).									
Recommendation:	Grant advert	tisement cons	sent						
Application Type:	Advertiseme	ement Consent							

Conditions or Reasons for Refusal:	_ Refer to Draft Decision Notice								
Informatives:									
Consultations									
Adjoining Occupiers:	No. notified	00	No. of responses	00	No. of objections	00			
	None		No. electronic	00					
Summary of consultation responses:	None								
	None								
CAAC/Local groups comments:									
Site Description									
The application site is a ground floor retail unit of a six storey office building on the east side of Tottenham Court Road and is currently vacant. The rear of the application site exits into a loading and delivery area with access to underground parking. The surrounding area has a commercial character. The site is within Central London Frontage and Central London Area (Fitzrovia). The site is not in a Conservation Area.									
Relevant History			d are 04/05/0040 for th	:					
2013/0692/P – Planning permission was granted on 01/05/2013 for the installation of two air conditioning units (replacing existing air conditioning units) and louvre above doors on rear ground floor façade of retail unit (Class A1).									
Relevant policies									
LDF Core Strategy and Development Policies									
CS5 (Managing the impact of growth and development) CS7 (Promoting Camden's centres and shops) CS14 (Promoting high quality places and conserving our heritage)									
DP24 (Securing high quality design) DP26 (Managing the impact of development on occupiers and neighbours) DP30 (Shopfronts)									
Camden Planning Guidance 2011 CPG1 (Design) – Section 8									
Town and Country Planning (Control of Advertisements) (England) Regulations 2007 Camden Planning Guidance 2011 (as amended)									

Assessment

Proposal:

The proposed signs would be in aluminium and perspex.

The proposed fascia sign with dimensions 3270mm by 800mm high would be located above the entrance door above approximately 3m above the pavement level. It would be installed against the orange fascia background and would project 125mm beyond it. The proposed fascia sign would have a text of 'GREGGS' in white against blue background and an orange logo. It would be internally illuminated (350.000 cd/m) and the illumination would be static.

The proposed box sign would be fixed to the façade panel (approximately 3m above the pavement level). It would be 700mm x 700mm x 160mm and would be in blue with white text of 'GREGGS' and an orange logo. It would be internally illuminated (350.000 cd/m) and the illumination would be statistic.

The originally proposed vinyl signs to the shop windows were omitted from the proposal.

Design:

The proposed signs do not obscure any architectural features of the host building and would be appropriate to the size and scale of the building and the shop front itself. Cumulatively they would not clutter the existing shopfront and would follow the pattern of the other fascia and box signs on the streetscene.

The proposed illumination is considered acceptable given the existing mixed commercial character of this busy street frontage. The proposal is therefore considered acceptable in design terms and not detrimental to the host building or its surroundings.

Amenity and public safety:

Due to the method of illumination the proposed signs would not be considered to harm the amenity of neighbouring properties in terms of light spill and would be considered acceptable.

The location of the signage is not considered harmful to either pedestrian or vehicle traffic. The proposal therefore raises no public safety concerns.

Recommendation: Grant advertisement consent.