				Printed on:	19/08/201	3 09:05:03
<b>Application No:</b>	Site Address:	Case Officer:	Consultees Name:	Received:		Comment:
2012/5825/P	8 Pilgrims Lane	Rob Tulloch	Mrs Caroline Compton	16/08/2013 08:06:15		OBJ
	London					

The basement application must not be allowed.

NW3 1SL

It will destabilise neighbouring properties. It will cause incalculable inconvenience to local people with the road blocked at frequent intervals.

It is the most anti-social way to behave, the applicants having no consideration for their neighbours.

Although I am writing from Oxford, I have lived in Hampstead for more than seventy years.

				Printed on: 19	/08/2013 09:05:03
<b>Application No:</b>	Site Address:	Case Officer:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:
2012/5825/P	8 Pilgrims Lane	Rob Tulloch	Mrs Caroline Compton	16/08/2013 08:05:43	OBJ
	London				

**Response:** The basement application must not be allowed.

NW3 1SL

It will destabilise neighbouring properties. It will cause incalculable inconvenience to local people with the road blocked at frequent intervals. It is the most anti-social way to behave, the applicants having no consideration for their neighbours.

				Printed on: 19	0/08/2013 09:05:03
<b>Application No:</b>	Site Address:	Case Officer:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:
2012/5825/P	8 Pilgrims Lane	Rob Tulloch	Mr Edward Bourne	17/08/2013 18:11:16	OBJ
	London				

I wish to support the objections already lodged, on the following grounds, with which I agree:

NW3 1SL

- A healthy mature tree (currently subject to a tree preservation order) will be removed if the latest proposal is approved.
- The application will result in several months of traffic and pedestrian blockage around Pilgrim's Lane
- The development will result in the loss of open space in order to accommodate additional parking space.

- Our consultant's view is that the applicant has failed to demonstrate that the proposed development will not impact groundwater and the stability of neighbouring properties. They conclude that the proposed development does not meet the planning requirements of DP 27 for basements.

- The proposed basement layout is very similar in area to the one that was previously rejected by Camden on 16th February 2011. (Please see graphic submitted by an earlier objector).

				Printed on: 19/08/2	013 09:05:03
<b>Application No:</b>	Site Address:	Case Officer:	<b>Consultees Name:</b>	Received:	Comment:
2012/5825/P	8 Pilgrims Lane London	Rob Tulloch	Dr robert leane	16/08/2013 13:43:45	OBJ

- More trees going!? At least 6 trees have gone on or behind Denning Road where I live this year alone. The views from my flat have deteriorated hugely over recent years.

- yet more disruption to what is meant to be a peaceful area, by people that are virtually never here from what I can tell.

- I continue to hear stories of structural problems caused by these basement extensions

NW3 1SL

				Printed on: 19/08/20	013 09:05:03
<b>Application No:</b>	Site Address:	Case Officer:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:
2012/5825/P	8 Pilgrims Lane London	Rob Tulloch	Dr robert leane	16/08/2013 13:43:44	OBJ

- More trees going!? At least 6 trees have gone on or behind Denning Road where I live this year alone. The views from my flat have deteriorated hugely over recent years.

- yet more disruption to what is meant to be a peaceful area, by people that are virtually never here from what I can tell.

- I continue to hear stories of structural problems caused by these basement extensions

NW3 1SL

				Printed on:	19/08/2013	09:05:03
Application No:	Site Address:	Case Officer:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Co	mment:
2012/5825/P	8 Pilgrims Lane	Rob Tulloch	Mr Colin C Barnfather	17/08/2013 14:40:11	OE	ЗJ
	London					

Dear Rob Tulloch

NW3 1SL

Thank you for telling me that yet another version of Planning Application 2012/5825/P has been submitted.

As a frequent visitor to Pilgrims Lane, I commented in November 2012 that it is entirely unreasonable to subject the residents of Pilgrims Lane to a year or more of noise, dust and traffic chaos during the construction of what is simply luxury space, hidden underground because it is inappropriate for a historic Arts and Crafts house. Since then there has been press coverage of the misery and expense caused to many London residents by similar excavations.

More importantly, I understand that it has now been established, not only that the proposed work will cause loss of space to additional parking and the destruction of a tree with a preservation order on it, but that the proposed excavation poses technically specified serious dangers to the brick pillars of 10 Pilgrims Lane " flying freehold" and to a sewer in the basement wall of 6 Pilgrims Lane.

Now that it is clearer than ever that the proposed development is not merely inappropriate but positively dangerous, I urge most strongly that the application be refused.

Regards, Colin Barnfather.

				Printed on:	19/08/2013	09:05:03
Application No:	Site Address:	Case Officer:	Consultees Name:	Received:	(	Comment:
2012/5825/P	8 Pilgrims Lane	Rob Tulloch	Mrs Julie J Barnfather	17/08/2013 15:05:07	C	)BJ
	London					

Dear Rob Tulloch

I am dismayed to hear from you that Planning Application 2012/5825/P has been submitted again.

NW3 1SL

I visit Pilgrims Lane often and I think that it is very wrong to subject the people who live there to noise, dust and traffic chaos during elaborate and lengthy construction of what is simply luxury space, hidden underground because it does not fit in with a historic Arts and Crafts house. There has recently been press coverage of the misery and expense caused to many London residents by similar excavations.

More importantly, I understand that it has now been established, not only that the proposed work will cause loss of space to additional parking and the destruction of a tree with a preservation order on it, but that the proposed excavation poses technically specified serious dangers to the brick pillars of 10 Pilgrims Lane "flying freehold" and to a sewer in the basement wall of 6 Pilgrims Lane.

Now that it is very clear that the proposed development is not only inappropriate but actually positively dangerous, I urge most strongly that the application be refused.

Regards, Julie Barnfather.