Printed on: 04/09/2013 09:05:05

Application No: Site Address: Case Officer: Consultees Name: Received: Comment:

2013/4602/P 29 Aberdare Gardens Sam Fowler Mrs Shani Zindel 02/09/2013 13:00:59 OBJ

London NW6 3AJ

## Response:

Good afternoon, we are the adjoining neighbours at 31 Aberdare Gardens.

You will have received an e-mail last Friday 30 August from Emma Murray of Michael Burroughs Associates objecting to the application on our behalf.

We are very concerned about the size and scale of the basement development which is significantly larger than the current footprint of the house and includes a swimming pool which extends under a large part of the garden - in a conservation area. This appears to be against Camden's planning guidelines, and we believe it could cause substantial problems to our adjoining property, as well as increase the risk of flooding in an area already at risk.

We also do not understand how a new application can be made for this development when the same proposal has already been refused by Camden (ref 2013/0085/P), and is going through an appeal process (ref APP/X5210/A/13/2197696).

Thank you

Application No: Site Address: Case Officer: Consultees Name: Printed on: 04/09/2013 09:05:05

Received: Comment:

Mr Jonathan Abrahams

OBJ

02/09/2013 13:04:38

London NW6 3AJ

## Response:

2013/4602/P

Good afternoon, we are the adjoining neighbours at 31 Aberdare Gardens.

29 Aberdare Gardens

You will have received an e-mail last Friday 30 August from Emma Murray of Michael Burroughs Associates objecting to the application on our behalf.

Sam Fowler

We are very concerned about the size and scale of the basement development which is significantly larger than the current footprint of the house and includes a swimming pool which extends under a large part of the garden - in a conservation area. This appears to be against Camden's planning guidelines, and we believe it could cause substantial problems to our adjoining property, as well as increase the risk of flooding in an area already at risk.

We also do not understand how a new application can be made for this development when the same proposal has already been refused by Camden (ref 2013/0085/P), and is going through an appeal process (ref APP/X5210/A/13/2197696).

Thank you