

Application No:	Site Address:	Case Officer:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:
2013/5501/P	90 Goldhurst Terrace London NW6 3HS	John Nicholls	Elizabeth Ewin	23/09/2013 11:29:42	COMMNT

Response:

I live in flat 3 90 Goldhurst Terrace and while I don't object in theory I do have a few reservations. There is currently an insurance claim in operation due to subsidance and a tree issue. The insurance companies structural engineers have at present a lot of site tests going on ie crack monitering and drain damage. This is being done in tandem with No 92 who are experiencing the same problems. We have a TPO in place and have repeatly requested from Camden permission to remove this tree without success in the past. Now hopefully with the two houses preparing proof in the near future this problem will be addressed. The planned monitoring and appeal and then subsequent works is going to take between twelve to eighteen months.As the house is vunerable at the moment I would not appreciate any structural work however minor to exacerbate our problems and also I would not like further damage to cause any problems with our insurers. Insurance companies do not need to be given any reason to renague on their commitments and I fear if anything went wrong this is a possibility. Also the house has a further problem at the back due to a sagging beam. This is a problem that has to be addressed in the near future by the four flats as this is not covered by the insurance. I worry that if the side entrance is closed off getting access to the rear will prove difficult and more expensive(scaffolding costs) plus will cause the garden flat considerable inconvenience. I am not sure this might effect our rights of easement. Land Registry NGL234740 edition 16/09/2013. All in all I do think it would be prudent to hold back on any extending until the above matters have been addressed or until we have a clearer picture as to the way forward.
