				Printed on:	22/10/2013	09:05:04
Application No:	Site Address:	Case Officer:	<b>Consultees Name:</b>	Received:	Comment:	
2013/5668/L	28 Percy Street London W1T 2DB	Aysegul Olcar-Chamberlin		21/10/2013 17:05:04	CO!	MMNT

. . . .

-----

## **Response:**

I wish to object to this application.

• I understand the the Design and Access statement says 'Daylight to neighbouring properties is unlikely to be adversely affected by the proposal, as its scale and position is unlikely to cause overshadowing.' This should be properly assessed by an expert before coming to a conclusion like this. Considering the loss of daylight, view and general sense of enclosure due to the recently permitted additional floor to the office building to the rear, this is a significant issue for my property.

• I understand that translucent glass is proposed to the side wall of the extension. This proposal effectively accepts that over-looking of my property is an issue.

• Light pollution from the extension is likely to be an issue as the room is large and essentially made of glass- when lights are on at night they will glow into my bedroom.

• Finally the roof of the extension is likely over time to become a roof terrace, or indeed allow the top floor to extend further out as has now happened in this application. This will significantly increase overlooking to the rear of my property.

As stated above, I therefore ask you to decline this planning application.