Delegated Report		Analysis shee		heet	Expiry Date:		06/11/2013		
		N/A	/ attac		Consultation Expiry Date:		17/10/2013		
Officer John Nicholls					Application Number(s) 2013/5719/P				
Application Address 35C Regents Park Road				Drawing Numb	Drawing Numbers				
London NW1 7SY				See decision no	See decision notice				
PO 3/4 Area Team Signature C&UD				Authorised Of	Authorised Officer Signature				
Proposal(s)									
Formation of a roof terrace to flat roof, associated installation of an access door and black painted									
metal railing, and raising and rendering of parapet to first floor flat (Class C3).									
Recommendation(s):			nning Permission						
Application Type:	Full Plann	Full Planning Permission							
Conditions or Reasons for Refusal:	Refer to Dra	Refer to Draft Decision Notice							
Informatives:									
Consultations									
Adjoining Occupiers:	No. notified	d	13	No. of responses	00	No. of a	objections	00	
				No. electronic	00				
Summary of consultation responses:	26/09/2013	A site notice was displayed on 18/09/2013 and a press notice on 26/09/2013. Thirteen adjoining occupier letters were also sent out. No comments or objections have been received.							
	The Primro	The Primrose Hill CAAC has commented as follows:							
CAAC/Local groups* comments: *Please Specify	mind that t	No objection, but the pattern of render should follow the existing, bearing in mind that this is a flank/rear elevation, where un-rendered brick is normal in the conservation area.							

Site Description

The property is a large five storey building divided into flats which forms the left hand side of a pair of end of row properties on the southern side Regent's Park Road at its junction with Albert Terrace Mews close to St Mark's Square. The property concerned is located at first floor level.

The property is not listed but does lie within the Primrose Hill Conservation Area and is considered to make a positive contribution to it.

Relevant History

None

Relevant policies

LDF Core Strategy and Development Policies

CS5 – Managing the impact of growth and development CS14 – Promoting high quality places and conserving our heritage

DP24 – Securing high quality design

DP25 – Conserving Camden's heritage

DP26 – Managing the impact of development on occupiers and neighbours

Primrose Hill Conservation Area Statement Camden Planning Guidance 2011 NPPF - 2012

Assessment

Proposal

The proposal includes the conversion of a flat roof at the side of the property at first floor level into a terrace facilitated by the fitting of a black painted metal railing around the edge and accessed by the creation of a door from the flat.

Amendments

The proposed glazed balustrade has been substituted with a metal black painted railing.

Design

The Primrose Hill Conservation Area Statement states in policy PH24 that roof terrace enclosures should be constructed in metal and set back behind the parapet and the access to the terrace should be designed to relate to the main building.

The proposed terrace measures 7.26sqm and raises the existing low parapet wall by 300mm, with a railing on top measuring 1.1m in total. The raised parapet will be finished in stucco to match the existing finish of the rest of this part of the flank of the building.

The proposed doorway access will be formed with a white powder coated, multi paned, metal 'Crittal' style door and frame which match the existing windows on the flank of the property. The existing rainwater good will be re-routed to accommodate this new opening.

The proposed terrace will be discreetly located behind the communal stair case which is attached to the side of the original mansion block. Views into Albert terrace Mews give glimpsed views of the side of the property and therefore the terrace would not be visible from the street.

The amendments mean that the enclosure now meets the requirements of policy PH24 of the Primrose Hill Conservation Area Statement. The design and materials are all considered acceptable and are considered to be compliant with policies DP24 and DP25 of the LDF 2010.

Amenity

There have been no amenity objections. The proposal does overlook some neighbouring windows but these are all at oblique angles or are non-habitable rooms or gardens and therefore this is not considered to cause unacceptable amenity harm and therefore the proposal is complaint with policy DP26 of the LDF.

Recommendation: Grant Planning Permission