

Response:

Yet again, the property developer Ms Barrington is attempting to flout the planning regulations and good common sense with yet another application. I strongly object to this application which basically represents a large building in the garden of a house converted into several flats. It cannot be considered an outbuilding or garden store, but can only be seen as a new dwelling or the precursor to such. The building is very large - larger than one of the flats in the new conversion, it occupies a large proportion of the garden, it is about 1.5 m taller than the garden walls. The building will overlook the gardens of all the adjacent properties and indeed 3 of the new flats in 162. The light pollution and potential noise pollution from the building will be extreme. The idea that the building will somehow hide in the trees is ridiculous - much of the greenery in this area is simply overgrown shrubbery which has enlarged during the 2 years that the property has been unoccupied. The sight lines from the building are directly into the living space of the houses at 164, 160, and 162 Camden Road itself, and also in the mews houses at the rear. The proposed building is extremely large and will occupy almost the entire width of the rear of the garden. It is effectively a new mews house in the garden of 162. Please note that the building in the rear of 166 Camden road does not have planning permission, so should not be used as a comparison. The other houses in the area have small garden sheds, that's all.

Yours Sincerely,

Simon Burbidge

Comment:

COMMNT

Received:

07/11/2013 09:37:35

Application No:

2013/6164/P

2013/6164/P

12/11/2013 16:23:51

OBJ

We wish to object most strongly to this application as we feel it would create a dangerous precedent in the Conservation Area and have a detrimental effect on the immediate neighbours. We have lived here for over 25 years and feel that it is extremely important to preserve the private green spaces in the neighbourhood. As proposed, this structure could harm trees close to it. It has a large footprint and will take up a large part of the garden which should be preserved as a garden. Its height will also be much too dominant. It could cause light pollution and the opening of a roof terrace will severely impinge on the privacy and peace and quiet of the neighbours as well as causing noise pollution. We feel most strongly that if this application is accepted it will create a dangerous precedent in the neighbourhood. For these reasons we urge that the appeal be rejected. Please inform us of future developments.

Kind regards

[Redacted Signature]