

Application No:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2013/7242/P	23/11/2013 14:58:28	OBJ	<p>I strongly oppose the demolition of Athlone House. The property is a most important landmark and must be refurbished, not demolished.</p> <p>I do not understand why the Council allowed the original developers off the hook by not insisting that it was refurbished as originally agreed.</p> <p>I assume the Council will once again protect the property which which has purposefully been left to deteriorate, by refusing this outrageoys application.</p> <p>I reiterate, careful refurbishment is required, not destruction.</p> <p>Yours, J Crown</p>

2013/7242/P	25/11/2013 13:29:18	OBJ	<p>Please may I comment as follows:</p> <p>1) I understand that there was/is an agreement to re-instate Athlone House as a quid pro quo for the permission to develop other parts of the site.</p> <p>These other parts have been developed and sold. The agreement should a stand.</p> <p>2) as Mentioned above, the site has already been significantly developed. Further development would be excessive.</p> <p>3) The proposed structure is a significant overdevelopment in its own right, both above and below ground.</p> <p>4) The views from the Heath will be noticeably changed. The restoration of Athlone House would be a positive, the proposed development a negative outcome. This is because there is no or very little visual encroachment on local views from the Heath.</p>
-------------	---------------------	-----	---

Application No:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2013/7242/P	22/11/2013 19:46:39	OBJ	<p>I am completely opposed to this proposed development for the following reasons.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. My understanding is that there is a clear legal requirement for Athlone House to be restored. This task was apparently agreed by the developers of the flats nearby, as a condition of the development taking place. That being the case, why isn't the law, being enforced to ensure that Athlone House receives the attention that was promised and legally agreed to? The law is the law and should be adhered to by all concerned, irrespective of wealth, power or influence! 2. Athlone House is an architectural heritage that, if destroyed, could not be properly reinstated. It is a familiar and integral part of the area in which it exists. Its presence gives character and a historical perspective; something that the proposed replacement could never give. Historical buildings give the whole Hampstead area its unique character, and its up to each generation to value this above the comfort and convenience of wealthy individuals who may only spend a short time in their palaces. 3. The view of Athlone House, from Hampstead Heath, should also be taken into account. How could the proposed development compare? 4. Where else in the area would such destruction be contemplated? If this goes ahead it would truly be an act of vandalism and, in light of the apparent legal situation, completely incomprehensible.
2013/7242/P	23/11/2013 11:46:38	OBJ	<p>No planning applications for any part of this site should be granted until the condition attached to the original permission - the refurbishment of Athlone House - is implemented. Otherwise, the Council has allowed the developers to cock a snook at the planning system. To approve the current application would set a very damaging precedent and would undoubtedly encourage developers to believe that they can ride roughshod over the planning system.</p>