

Application No:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2013/7242/P	17/12/2013 11:52:48	OBJ	<p>I strongly oppose the re-development of this property. It was to be restored by the developers and you should see that this is done. If not why? Has your department been negligent in not enforcing it? Why did you not have the developers restore the property first and THEN build their new apartments?</p> <p>This is a unique heritage property in keeping with thye surrounding area and should be preseved.</p>
2013/7242/P	19/12/2013 20:11:22	COMMNT	<p>I object to the demolition of Athlone House.</p> <p>The blocks of flats built by the previous developer were given planning permission subject to Athlone House being maintained and restored.</p> <p>This condition should still apply and I urge Camden Council to enforce the Section 106 agreement. The argument that Athlone House is beyond repair does not stand up. Technically it is quite feasible to renovate the existing structure, albeit not nearly as profitable as knocking it down and putting up a pastiche of a neo classical building. The developers have brought the extra expense on themselves by letting the building fall into disrepair.</p> <p>I expect the current application to be turned down so there seems little purpose in commenting on the scheme in any detail. However, I should like to say that, whereas Athlone House makes a positive contribution to the Heath, the proposed building pays no respect to its setting and will be an eyesore.</p>
2013/7242/P	16/12/2013 21:52:45	OBJ	<p>I wish to add my voice in support of the Highgate Society's objections to the latest disgraceful application to abolish this attractive historic local landmark.</p> <p>I have written in previously to object to previous applications to destroy this house, my remarks still stand, and no doubt you will be able to dig out my email.</p> <p>It is quite disgraceful that rich foreign buyers should repeatedly try to get round our planning laws, and drain our local councils of resources in the process. They should instead go and live in Dubai. There are a string of planning requirements connected with the building of the hideous new homes alongside which made it quite clear that Athlone House should be repaired.</p>
2013/7242/P	17/12/2013 13:20:36	OBJ	<p>I oppose the development. The house is of historic importance. It is much loved my the local community. The developers have not kept to their previous agreement. Another instance were planning have let down the public.</p>
2013/7242/P	19/12/2013 14:09:46	OBJ	<p>As Highgate resident and almost daily user of Heath wish to object that this unsuitable and grandiose development. Old House which is a much-loved feature if the Heath should be preserved.</p> <p>Proposal is out of keeping with the restrained quality of architecture so prominent to the Heath and amounts to overdevelopment. Lacks architectural consistency and merit</p> <p>Agreement was made when flats were allowed in the grounds that the House would be kept. This should be enforced.</p>

Application No:

2013/7242/P

Received:

18/12/2013 21:57:42

Comment:

COMMNT

Response:

this is a beautiful old building and should be restored, ideally for use as a public amenity, to be appreciated by many not ripped down and replaced with a single luxury home. it would be a sad loss to the architecture of camden if it were to go.