

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2013/8302/P	Dr Amanda C Williams Residents' Association 1 Prince of Wales Rd	1 Prince of Wales Rd flat 49 NW5 3LW	19/02/2014 21:27:57	NOBJ	<p>I write as chair of 1 Prince of Wales Rd Residents' Association. There have been various informal discussions of the plans for the two applications, and it is from these, rather than from any formal consensus, that I represent the feelings of residents collectively as follows.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1 There is support for the 4 storey version. 2 Most residents have been fairly positive about the look of the new development, and see relatively little difference between the two versions from the outside. 3 There is recognition that the two flats at the top of our building at the eastern end will suffer loss of light, view, and privacy. 4 There is recognition that residents of the 4 flats at the eastern end of our building on the ground and first floors are concerned about noise through the walls (which the squatters have shown to be very thin) and on the ground floor of deliveries to shops or cinema. It is unclear how these deliveries will be arranged, and how rubbish will be collected. 5 All flats at the eastern end of our building are concerned about the disruption and noise of building, particularly those who work from home. 6 Residents have generally expressed satisfaction with Allies and Morrison's consultation processes and openness to exchanges of views; a marked contrast to the previous plans and their proponents and one which we hope will enable liaison over concerns above.
2013/8302/P	Ms Laura Connolly	3 Evangelist Road NW5 1UA	18/02/2014 21:05:21	OBJ	<p>I strongly object to this application for the following reasons:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The proposed development, by virtue of its design, bulk and massing, would appear as an over-dominant feature in the townscape, and would fail to preserve and enhance the character and appearance of the existing and adjacent buildings. 2. The retaining of the existing facade involves removing the existing elegant period windows and replacing them with modern clumsy large paned glazing units which are not sympathetic to the design of the existing building or the adjacent building. 3. The cinema is being used to mislead local residents as it is a very small space and is hearsay whether it will be commercially viable as a cinema.
2013/8302/P	Mr Graeme Durham	3 Evangelist Road London NW5 1UA	17/02/2014 22:38:35	COMMNT	<p>I am satisfied with the addition of a one storey roof addition however I object to the design on the following grounds:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The proposed development, by virtue of its design, bulk and massing, would appear as an over-dominant feature in the townscape, and would fail to preserve and enhance the character and appearance of the existing and adjacent buildings. 2. The retaining of the existing facade involves removing the existing elegant period windows and replacing them with modern clumsy large paned glazing units which are not sympathetic to the design of the existing building or the adjacent building.

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2013/8302/P	Mr Leslie Hearn	Flat 49 1 Prince of Wales Road NW5 3LW	16/02/2014 22:17:03	OBJ	<p>As neighbours of the former Pizza Express building (187 Kentish Town Road), we really appreciate the lengths gone to by the architects to consult us and the other residents.</p> <p>However, we still have some concerns with the revised plans.</p> <p>This option is preferable to the 5-storey option as there is less loss of light to our eastern aspect. However, there is still some loss of light and also the loss of view to the east, both of which we are reluctant to accept.</p> <p>Furthermore, we are concerned about the access of occupants to roof area and consequent loss of privacy to our bedroom.</p> <p>We are also concerned about the location of plant (air conditioning fans, lift gear etc.) on the roof and the consequent noise nuisance to us.</p> <p>We are sorry that there are going to be any changes and a use cannot be found for the building as it stands or with internal modification.</p> <p>We will derive no benefit from the redevelopment but will have to endure the disturbance of a lengthy construction process, which will impinge greatly on our privacy, followed by a loss to our enjoyment of our property.</p>
2013/8302/P	Daisy Durham Walters	132 Kentish Town Road NW1 9QB	18/02/2014 11:09:17	COMMNT	<p>I am satisfied with the addition of a one storey roof addition however I object to the design on the following grounds:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1.The proposed development, by virtue of its design, bulk and massing, would appear as an over-dominant feature in the townscape, and would fail to preserve and enhance the character and appearance of the existing and adjacent buildings. 2. The retaining of the existing facade involves removing the existing elegant period windows and replacing them with modern clumsy large paned glazing units which are not sympathetic to the design of the existing building or the adjacent building.
2013/8302/P	Mr mark martines	flat 13, 1 prince of wales rd NW5 3LW	15/02/2014 19:26:52	COMMNT	<p>While I do not object to this proposal I think the alternative proposal (cinema) is a better option for the site and local community. The addition of 1 storey helps raise the profile of the building and reinforce its status as a landmark building on the corner of a busy intersection. I do however believe class A1/A2/A3 ground floor units are likely to remain empty as is usually the case with these developments and this will have a detrimental affect on the life of the high st.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2013/8302/P	Mr Timothy J Coles-Liddle	Flat 5 1 Prince of Wales Road London NW5 3LW	19/02/2014 15:13:55	OBJ	<p>I write to express my concern at the proposed development plans for the building at 187 Kentish Town Road, London NW1 8PD. Although as a whole the proposed development appears to be well-thought out and designed, I do have two comments regarding access and noise.</p> <p>I strongly oppose the external terracing on the 3rd storey as this will result in the building's future aesthetics relying purely on new residents' tidiness and cleanliness. Any chairs, belongings and rubbish will be observed from the road below, and from properties at 1 Prince of Wales Road, and will be an eyesore. I am also deeply concerned that residents' access to the proposed terraces on the third floor could cause ongoing noise issues to at least three properties at No. 1 Prince of Wales Road.</p> <p>I would also like to express some considerable concern for the proposed internal balconies on the second floor. Flat 2.4, in particular, has an internal balcony which leads on to a courtyard. At least two properties at No. 1 Prince of Wales Road, including mine, have windows adjacent to this courtyard. I express concern at the sound acoustics should the residents' of flat 2.4 regularly play loud music. The proximity of the proposed internal balconies, in my eyes, is too close to properties at No. 1 Prince of Wales Road.</p>
2013/8302/P	Adrian Corker Ltd company Director	33 York Rise London NW5 1SP	18/02/2014 08:51:01	OBJ	<p>B. The smaller 4 storey application with restaurant I haven't objected to but have objected to the design. http://planningrecords.camden.gov.uk/Northgate/PlanningExplorer17/PLComments.aspx?pk=375608 I am satisfied with the addition of a one storey roof addition however I object to the design on the following grounds:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1.The proposed development, by virtue of its design, bulk and massing, would appear as an over-dominant feature in the townscape, and would fail to preserve and enhance the character and appearance of the existing and adjacent buildings. 2. The retaining of the existing facade involves removing the existing elegant period windows and replacing them with modern clumsy large paned glazing units which are not sympathetic to the design of the existing building or the adjacent building.
2013/8302/P	Mr Lewis Durham	3 Evangelist Road NW5 1UA	18/02/2014 21:03:00	COMMNT	<p>I am satisfied with the addition of a one storey roof addition however I object to the design on the following grounds:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1.The proposed development, by virtue of its design, bulk and massing, would appear as an over-dominant feature in the townscape, and would fail to preserve and enhance the character and appearance of the existing and adjacent buildings. 2. The retaining of the existing facade involves removing the existing elegant period windows and replacing them with modern clumsy large paned glazing units which are not sympathetic to the design of the existing building or the adjacent building.