

94 Heath Street

Hampstead

London N.W.3. 1DP

Mr. Hugh Miller
Camden Planning Officer
Town Hall, Argyll Street WC1H 8ND

Dear Mr. Miller,

75A Heath Street, NW3 – refs, 2013/7044/P 2013 7122/L

We wish to lodge objection to the above application, on the following grounds:

The proposed construction is not in keeping with the local character of the listed building, or of the neighbouring properties in this area of historic buildings.

Moreover, neighbours will lose privacy, will be overlooked and deprived of outlook and light, as will be the narrow, already dark, adjoining public pathway and steps. A roof terrace would probably cause more noise nuisance if there were to be activities such as parties held there.

The building works involved will have to be from a very busy and narrow Heath Street and will cause serious problems with traffic congestion which already rife.

If permission were granted, a dangerous precedent would be set for anyone else wishing to build this type of extension.

Yours sincerely,

A.F. HAYES C. McNEIL

Muthoora, Leela

Subject: FW: 75a Heath Street, NW3 Ref: 2013/7044/P & 2013/7122/L - ALREADY ON M3
REF. 4554206

From: Munro, Alastair
Sent: 24 February 2014 12:21
To: David Castle; Miller, Hugh
Subject: RE: 75a Heath Street, NW3 Ref: 2013/7044/P & 2013/7122/L

Just to clarify that there is **absolutely no support** from me or any of the residents named on the joint objections submitted today for any kind of mansard roof or other upwards extension of this property.

From: David Castle
Sent: 24 February 2014 12:10
To: hugh.miller@camden.gov.uk
Cc: Munro, Alastair
Subject: 75a Heath Street, NW3 Ref: 2013/7044/P & 2013/7122/L

FROM THE HEATH & HAMPSTEAD SOCIETY.

Dear Sir We understand that you will still receive objections today.

We have already sent an objection to you dated 27 Jan. 2013, in which we strongly objected to the roof terrace. We wish to add the further 3 comments.

In order to enhance the Conservation Area:

- 1) to improve the balance of the elevations the top flat roof should be only as high as to give adequate ceiling height, (2.30M.)..
- 2) the mansard roof slope ought to continue around the west elevation (not just the front and side), &
- 3) the mansard must be finished with Welsh Slates (to match the area)

David Castle

This email has been sent by and on behalf of one or more of KPMG LLP, KPMG Audit plc, KPMG Europe LLP ("ELLP"), KPMG Resource Centre Private Limited or a company under the control of KPMG LLP, including KPMG United Kingdom plc and KPMG UK Limited (together, "KPMG"). ELLP does not provide services to clients and none of its subsidiaries has authority to bind it.

This email, and any attachments, is confidential and may be privileged or otherwise protected from disclosure. It is intended solely for the stated addressee(s) and access to it by any other person is unauthorised. If you are not the intended recipient, you must not disclose, copy, circulate or in any other way use or rely on the information contained herein. If you have received this email in error, please inform us immediately and delete all copies of it.

Any communications made with KPMG may be monitored and a record may be kept of any communication.

Any opinion or advice contained herein is subject to the terms and conditions set out in your KPMG LLP client engagement letter.

A list of members of KPMG LLP and ELLP is open for inspection at KPMG's registered office.

KPMG LLP (registered no. OC301540) and ELLP (registered no. OC324045) are limited liability partnerships registered in England and Wales. Each of KPMG Audit plc (registered no. 03110745), KPMG United Kingdom plc (registered no. 03513178) and KPMG UK Limited (registered no. 03580549) are companies registered in England and Wales. Each entity's registered office is at 15 Canada Square, London, E14 5GL.

Muthoora, Leela

Subject: FW: 75A Heath Street NW3 refs 2013/7044/P and 2013/7122/L -TO BE LOGGED ON IDOX 25/02/14 RC

From: ili sarkozi

Sent: 24 February 2014 17:22

To: Miller, Hugh

Subject: 75A Heath Street NW3 refs 2013/7044/P and 2013/7122/L

We are opposing to the extension of the above property because of loss of light, even our house would be affected by it.

Yours sincerely,

Mr Matyas Sarkozi and Ilona Sarkozi
3, Back Lane, NW3 1HL

Muthoora, Leela

Subject: FW: Planning Application Nos 2013/7044/P and 2013/7122/L - NOW ON M3 LOG
ONTO IDOX

-----Original Message-----

From: Elizabeth Davies Sent: 23 February 2014 17:13

To: Miller, Hugh

Subject: Planning Application Nos 2013/7044/P and 2013/7122/L

Dear Mr Miller,

I write to object to the planning applications 2013/7044/P and 2013/7122/L involving the addition of a mansard roof and a roof terrace to the listed building at 75a Heath Street. This addition is out of character with the existing building and will damage the roof line of an important part of the Conservation area. This application should be rejected as it degrades the status of the listed building and damages the continuity of the neighbouring buildings.

Yours sincerely,

Percival Stanion
12 The Mount
Hampstead
NW3 6SZ

Sent from my iPad

Muthoora, Leela

Subject: FW: 2013/7044/P and 2013/7122/L - Heath St roof extension and roof terrace - ON M3 LOG ONTO IDOX

From: VICTORIA NICHOLL
Sent: 24 February 2014 16:56
To: Planning
Subject: 2013/7044/P and 2013/7122/L - Heath St roof extension and roof terrace - ON M3 LOG ONTO IDOX

Dear Mr Miller

We understand that the time limit for comments on these applications has been extended to today.

Our comments as neighbours (at 4 Holly Mount) are as follows:

- 1. The roof extension and terrace will not protect the amenity of neighbours – Camden Core Strategy CS5. Such amenities include: the outlook (including the outlook from our home), visual privacy and overshadowing. It would block light from a number of surrounding properties and make the enclosed public pathway on Holly Mount Steps which it adjoins a darker and therefore more dangerous route for pedestrians, increasing the risk of injury and crime.*
- 2. It will not enhance, preserve or conserve the heritage of the conservation area (CS14). The design is modern, square and ugly and would be fully visible to the public from Heath Street. It would not be in keeping with the 18th century buildings in the vicinity.*

Regards

Richard and Victoria Nicholl