Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Printed on: 27/02/20 Response:	14	09:05:18
2014/0619/P	Caterina Badan	Flat 1, 105 York Way	25/02/2014 10:23:36		-I am concerned about the impact of such plan on the building, which is currently suffering from serious structural issues. There is damage caused by subsidence, and part of the building is not structurally sound. The proposed plan for the mansard extension has been drafted without taking this into account, as neither Flat 1 nor Flat 2 have been inspected. Contrary to what is stated in the planning application, the owners of Flat 1 and Flat 2 were never notified about this proposal, which we became aware of through the Council's notice posted on the street.  -The building is founded on shrinkable London Clay sub-soil, and located on a sloping hillside site. Heavy traffic pass in front of the building every day, particularly double-decker busses and trucks driving towards the industrial estates located in the area. All this causes strong vibrations to the building which can be felt from inside.  This has had an impact over the years, as is clear from the front elevation of the building, where the brick courses are distorted into a wavy line particularly noticeable at first floor level. Inside, there are cracks that I fear could potentially get worse by adding more weight to the structure.		
		N7 9QF					
					-From an Aesthetic point of view, the property is located in Camden Square conservation area. Adding a roof extension would be detrimental to the appearance of the terrace, and being the building on top of a slope it would look even taller.		
					-Planning permission was previously rejected twice in 1999 and in 2005, for similar reasons as listed above.		