Delegated Report		Analysis sheet		Expiry	Date:	01/04/2014		
		N/A / attack			Iltation			
		IN/A / alla		Expiry	Date:	27/02/20	014	
Officer			Application	•	s)			
Neil Collins			2014/0653/	,				
Application Addres	s		Drawing No	umbers				
St. Regis Heights 9 Firecrest Drive								
London			See draft De	ecision Not	ice			
NW3 7NE								
PO 3/4 Area	Team Signatur	re C&UD	Authorised	Officer Si	gnature			
	J							
Proposal(s)								
Replacement and er enclosure over the ir			ole glazed enclosur	e with triple	e glazed ba	arrel vault	roof	
ellolosule over the h	ilerriai spirai sta	iii case.						
Recommendation(s	s):							
Application Type: Full Planning Permission								
Conditions or Reasons for Refusal:		aft Decision N	Matian					
Informatives:	Relei to Dia	III Decision i	votice					
Consultations								
Adjoining Occupiers:	No. notified	d 24	No. of response	es 00	No. of ob	jections	00	
	Adjoining n	Adjoining neighbours were consulted on 6 th February 2014, but no responses have						
Summary of consultati		been received in relation to the application.						
responses:								
CAAC/Local groups*	N/A							

Site Description

St. Regis Heights is a five storey purpose built block of flats comprising a modern architectural style.

The site is not located within any designated conservation area, nor is the building statutorily listed.

Relevant History

No relevant planning history.

Relevant policies

LDF Core Strategy and Development Policies

CS5 (Managing the impact of growth and development)

CS14 (Promoting high quality places and conserving our heritage)

DP24 (Securing high quality design)

DP26 (Managing the impact of development on occupiers and neighbours)

Camden Planning Guidance 2011

CPG1 (Design)

CPG6 (Amenity)

The London Plan (2011)

The NPPF 2012

Assessment

Proposal

This application seeks permission regarding the replacement of an existing staircase enclosure on the roof of the building. The replacement enclosure would be almost identical in form and would comprise similar materials, but would be slighter wider through due to the capabilities of the manufacturer.

Design

The proposal incorporates the replacement of an existing enclosure and it is noted that both the proposed size and the framing would be very similar to the existing.

The very minor increase in the size of the enclosure to accommodate triple-glazing is not considered to have any significant impact upon the overall appearance of the building, given its location on the roof away from public views. The proposed colour would also be very similar in appearance to the existing framing, which would maintain the existing continuity in the appearance of the windows and doors within the building.

Replacement of the glazing would also improve the thermal and energy efficiency of the property in line with 'sustainable development', which underpins current planning policy.

Amenity

There would be no impact upon the amenity of neighbouring occupants as a result of the proposed alterations.

Conclusion

It is considered that the proposed replacement enclosure would accord with LDF policy and Camden's Planning Guidance.