CONSULTATION SUMMARY

Case reference number(s)

2025/1728/P
Case Officer:
Application Address:
Flat 2
49 Minster Road
London
NW2 3SH
Proposal(s)

Erection of ground-floor conservatory extension at rear.

Representations					
	No. of responses	1	No. of objections	1	
Consultations:			No of comments	0	
			No of support	0	
Summary of representations (Officer's Response in italics)	 Road. Their comme Privacy concernsion 2.5m beyond property and intrusion wour Ligh Pollution accentuated additional art (i.e., light tress wildlife. Noise and Di activity oncernoise levels t neighbourhoor 	erns – The proposed con- the existing building extended garden, leading to a sign and affect the enjoyment and m – The location and struct by its depth and glass con- ificial light that may spillor spass) and affect overall we sturbance – Construction the conservatory is comp- than currently experience	servatory would push anothension and would overlook of ificant loss of privacy. This nd use of our outdoor space ture of the conservatory, instruction, may introduce ver into neighbouring prope well-being of residents and noise, as well as increased leted, could lead to higher d, affecting the tranquillity of	ner our e. erties local	
		•	ntly serves as a habitat for l	ocal	

	 wildlife, in particular hedgehogs which were reintroduced to the area a few years ago. This could disturb the local ecosystem and diminish the biodiversity in the area. Aesthetic concerns – The design and materials of the proposed conservatory does not appear to be in keeping with the character and appearance of the existing buildings and local streetscape, which is an essential aspect of our community's heritage and visual appeal. Property Value – Potential negative impacts on the value of surrounding properties, including ours, could arise due to the aforementioned issues of privacy, noise, and aesthetic appeal. 	
	Oncer's Response.	
	 Given the location of the conservatory extension, which is set back from the shared boundary with no.51 by 1.5m, it is not considered to unduly impact the amenity of neighbouring occupiers. Further, the extension has a relatively low height, with views into neighbouring rear gardens being shielded by the existing boundary fencing. Given the use of the conservatory is for domestic purposes as part of a two-bedroom flat, it is not considered that it would result in unacceptable levels of light pollution to the surrounding area. Given the conservatory's simple design and form, utilising glass and metal framing, it is not considered to result in long term construction impacts and would be constructed quickly without major disturbances to neighbouring occupiers. It is also considered that a Construction Management Plan is unnecessary given the scale and scope of the works. Given the relatively small area of existing garden which would be built upon, it is not considered to unduly impact the immediate natural environment. Based on the information provided, this proposal will not require the approval of a Biodiversity Gain Plan before development 	
	 is begun because it is below the de minimis threshold of 25sqm. The design of the conservatory extension is considered to be appropriate in design terms, being subordinate to the host building, and consistent with the height of neighbouring buildings. The materials are consistent with other conservatory extensions elsewhere in the immediate area. 	
Fortune Green & West Hampstead Neighbourhood Forum	The Fortune Green & West Hampstead Neighbourhood Forum was consulted and did not provide a response.	
West Hampstead Neighbourhood Forum	 elsewhere in the immediate area. Impacts on property value are not a material planning consideration. The Fortune Green & West Hampstead Neighbourhood Forum was	