

Application N	Consultees Name	Recipient Address	Received	Comment	Response
2025/1805/P	Jeanette Murch	Flat 1 36 Lowfield Road London NW6 2PR	30/05/2025 08:41:23	OBJ	<p>I object to the development proposed for the rear of 34 Lowfield Road as set out in planning application 2025/1085/P.</p> <p>The choice of materials wood slats plus black iron railings is not in keeping with the existing rear developments in Lowfield and Kylemore roads. Laminated frosted glass would have been a better choice and certainly less obtrusive. (See balcony surrounds to the rear of one Flat at 50 Hemstal.)</p> <p>A daylight and sunlight assessment regarding the impact of this development on 32 Lowfield has been provided. As 34 is in a terrace why no mention of the effect of this development on 36?</p> <p>I realise that 32 was probably chosen for the daylight and sunlight assessment because its windows are further away from the proposed development than the windows of 36. Nice one! But it is not acceptable to ignore 36 just because the windows nearest to 34 are for a staircase or bathroom. There would be loss of light because of over shadowing and the new use of this development is likely to result in noise disturbance for 36 and other near neighbours.</p> <p>The rear gardens of Lowfield, Kylemore and Hemstal provide a very welcome green view for all who live here. An overbearing development with a poor choice of materials will definitely detract from this and significantly reduce the level of amenity we currently enjoy.</p>
